GLENN MCGOURTY DISTRICT 1 JOHN HASCHAK DISTRICT 3



DARCIE ANTLE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLERK OF THE BOARD

JAMES R. ROSS INTERIM COUNTY COUNSEL

## MENDOCINO COUNTY GENERAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE AGENDA REGULAR MEETING

## February 28, 2024 - 9:00 AM

Meeting Location(s): 501 Low Gap Road, Room 1070, Ukiah, CA. 95482 (Board Chambers)

Zoom Link: https://mendocinocounty.zoom.us/j/87336548653 Zoom Phone Number (if joining via telephone): 1 669 900 9128 ; Zoom Webinar ID: 873 3654 8653

Listed below are some of the Board of Supervisors Public Engagement options. For streaming options and a complete list of ways to interact with agenda items (or more information on any of these listed) please visit: https://www.mendocinocounty.org/government/board-of-supervisors/public-engagement

Written Comment

- Submit online via the eComment platform at https://mendocino.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx

Verbal Comment

- Speak in person at any physical meeting location when the Chair calls for Public Comment
- Join the Zoom Webinar and use the "raise hand" feature when the Chair calls for Public Comment
- (if joining via telephone: press \*9 to raise your hand, and \*6 to unmute yourself when called)
- Leave a voicemail message, up to 3 minutes in length, by calling 707-234-6333

\*Note: Voicemail comments will no longer be played back during Open Session, but are immediately available to the full Board of Supervisors upon submittal.

#### COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Chair Haschak and Supervisor/Member McGourty

#### 1. CALL TO ORDER

#### 2. COMMITTEE ACTION ITEMS

2a) Discussion and Possible Action Including Consideration of Potential Revisions to Mendocino County Code (MCC) Chapter 8.77, Titled "Hazardous Vegetation, Combustible Material, Rubbish, and Weeds" (Abatement of Hazardous Vegetation and Combustible Material Nuisance Ordinance) and Evaluating Potential Funding Sources for Implementation (Sponsor: Supervisor Haschak)

#### <u>Recommended Action:</u>

Discuss potential revisions to MCC Chapter 8.77, titled "Hazardous Vegetation, Combustible Material, Rubbish, and Weeds" (Abatement of Hazardous Vegetation and Combustible Material Nuisance Ordinance) and evaluate potential funding sources for implementation and determination of recommended next steps.

2b) Discussion and Possible Action Including Acceptance of Informational Presentation from the Mendocino Cannabis Department (MCD) Regarding MCD's Adopted Fee Schedule (Sponsor: Cannabis)

Recommended Action:

Accept the informational presentation from MCD regarding the currently adopted MCD Fee Schedule.

<u>Attachments:</u> MCD Fee Schedule 020324

CN Master Fee Worksheet 20231030 Inspection Policy

2c) Discussion and Possible Action Including Providing Recommendations to Staff Regarding the Sensitive Species Habitat Review ("SSHR") Screening Tool (Sponsor: Cannabis)

#### Recommended Action:

Provide recommendations to staff regarding the SSHR Screening Tool.

<u>Attachments:</u> Pilot Policy for Sensitive Species Review in Cooperation wit Pilot Policy SSR Form Exhibit A: 9.30.20 SSHR Redline 2d) Discussion and Possible Action Including Providing Recommendations to Staff and a Referral to the Board of Supervisors Recommending Approval of the County of Mendocino Cannabis Department Monthly Update for January 2024 (Sponsor: Cannabis)

#### Recommended Action:

Provide recommendations to staff; and a referral to the Board of Supervisors recommending approval of the County of Mendocino Cannabis Department Monthly Update for January 2024.

Attachments: 2024.01 MCD Monthly Report - January 2024.1 MCD Gantt Chart

#### 3. OTHER BUSINESS

#### 3a) Approval of Minutes of January 24, 2024 Regular Meeting

<u>Recommended Action</u>: Approve minutes of January 24, 2024 regular meeting.

Attachments: 01-24-24 Minutes (Draft)

#### 3b) PUBLIC EXPRESSION

Members of the public are welcome to address the Committee on items not listed on the agenda, but within the jurisdiction of the Committee. The Committee is prohibited by law from taking action on matters not on the agenda.

Individuals wishing to address the Committee under Public Expression are welcome to do so via any method listed on the front page of this agenda or on our Public Engagement page, at: https://rb.gy/d3p0

For more information on any of these methods, please call the Mendocino County Clerk of the Board at (707) 463-4441

#### **3c) ANNOUNCEMENTS**

#### ADJOURNMENT

#### Additional Meeting Information for Interested Parties

For a full list of the latest available options by which to engage with agenda items, please visit https://www.mendocinocounty.org/government/board-of-supervisors/public-engagement

All electronically submitted comment is immediately available to Supervisors, staff, and the general public by clicking this meeting's eComment link at https://mendocino.legistar.com/Calendar.asp>

LIVE WEB STREAMING OF BOARD MEETINGS is available at https://mendocino.legistar.com or visit the Mendocino County YouTube channel. Meetings are also livestreamed from the Mendocino County Facebook page. For technical assistance, please contact the Clerk of the Board at (707) 463-4441. Please reference the departmental website to obtain additional resource information for the Board of Supervisors: www.mendocinocounty.org/bos

The Mendocino County Board of Board of Supervisors complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements and upon request, will attempt to reasonably accommodate individuals with disabilities by making meeting material available in appropriate alternative formats (pursuant to Government Code 54953.2). Anyone requiring a reasonable accommodation to participate in a meeting of the Board of Supervisors or Affiliate Meeting Body should contact the Mendocino County Clerk of the Boards Office at (707) 463-4441, not less than 48 hours prior to the meeting.

Thank you for your interest in the proceedings of the General Government Committee.



Mendocino County Board of Supervisors Agenda Summary

**Item #:** 2a)

#### To: GENERAL GOVERNMENT STANDING COMMITTEE

From: Supervisor Haschak

Meeting Date: February 28, 2024

Department Contact: Supervisor Haschak

**Phone:** 707-463-4221

Time Allocated for Item: 1.5 Hours

#### Agenda Title:

Discussion and Possible Action Including Consideration of Potential Revisions to Mendocino County Code (MCC) Chapter 8.77, Titled "Hazardous Vegetation, Combustible Material, Rubbish, and Weeds" (Abatement of Hazardous Vegetation and Combustible Material Nuisance Ordinance) and Evaluating Potential Funding Sources for Implementation

(Sponsor: Supervisor Haschak)

#### Recommended Action/Motion:

Discuss potential revisions to MCC Chapter 8.77, titled "Hazardous Vegetation, Combustible Material, Rubbish, and Weeds" (Abatement of Hazardous Vegetation and Combustible Material Nuisance Ordinance) and evaluate potential funding sources for implementation and determination of recommended next steps.

#### Previous Board/Board Committee Actions:

On February 23, 2021 the Board adopted an ordinance creating Mendocino County Code Chapters 8.77 providing for the abatement of hazardous vegetation and combustible material to reduce fire hazards. On January 23, 2024, Board of Supervisors referred the consideration of potential revisions and potential funding sources to the General Government Standing Committee.

#### Summary of Request/Referral:

MCC Chapter 8.77 titled "Hazardous Vegetation, Combustible Material, Rubbish, and Weeds", was originally adopted as an "intentional broad framework" with an expectation set by the Board that there would be additional modifications to the ordinance, identification of dedicated resources, and/or Board direction committed to a multistep process developing this as a functional enforcement tool to reduce fire hazards in the County.

The grants division has identified two grants (Fire-Hazard Abatement Ordinance Implementation Planning and Capacity Building grant for \$107,000 and the Fire Hazard Abatement Ordinance Implementation Public Services grant for \$187,000) that may be re-purposed for costs of County personnel, including but not limited to County Counsel, Code Enforcement, Building Division staff, or any other department/division determined by the County as necessary to carry out enforcement.

Additionally, staff have stated it is reasonable that collaboration and coordination with local fire entities remains a critical matter to successfully address hazardous vegetation, with the County perhaps in a supporting role.

### **Item #:** 2a)

Finally, there are hazardous situations in the County that need to be addressed, especially in regards to absentee owners. In some instances, community organizations have received grants for fuel reduction and hazardous vegetation abatement work, but they are unable to proceed for lack of authorization from absentee owners. All options should be explored, including acquisition or transfer of deadbeat properties to an entity that will be responsible stewards of the properties.

Supplemental Information Available Online At: N/A

#### Fiscal Details:

source of funding: Possible funding from re-purposed grants current f/y cost: NA budget clarification: NA annual recurring cost: NA budgeted in current f/y (if no, please describe): No revenue agreement: No

**CEO Liaison:** Executive Office

CEO Review: Yes CEO Comments:

#### FOR COB USE ONLY

Executed By: Deputy Clerk Date: Date Executed Final Status: Item Status Executed Item Type: item Number:



## Mendocino County Board of Supervisors Agenda Summary

### **Item #:** 2b)

### To: GENERAL GOVERNMENT STANDING COMMITTEE

From: Cannabis

Meeting Date: February 28, 2024

Department Contact:	Sara McBurney	Phone:	707-234-6680
Department Contact:	Steve Dunnicliff	Phone:	707-463-4441

Time Allocated for Item: 30 Minutes

#### Agenda Title:

Discussion and Possible Action Including Acceptance of Informational Presentation from the Mendocino Cannabis Department (MCD) Regarding MCD's Adopted Fee Schedule (Sponsor: Cannabis)

#### Recommended Action/Motion:

Accept the informational presentation from MCD regarding the currently adopted MCD Fee Schedule.

#### Previous Board/Board Committee Actions:

The Board of Supervisors has provided standing direction that fees for services should provide for full cost recovery. The Board regularly updates fees; the current fee schedule was approved on December 5, 2023. Fees approved on December 5 became effective February 3, 2024.

#### Summary of Request/Referral:

Questions have come up regarding nuances of MCD's adopted fee schedule. Specifically, there has been interest in the way renewal fees incorporate inspection costs. MCD staff will provide an informational presentation regarding the approved fees charged for services.

#### Supplemental Information Available Online At: N/A

#### Fiscal Details:

source of funding: N/A current f/y cost: N/A budget clarification: N/A annual recurring cost: N/A budgeted in current f/y (if no, please describe): N/A revenue agreement: N/A

**CEO Liaison:** Executive Office

CEO Review: Yes

## Item #: 2b)

#### **CEO Comments:**

#### FOR COB USE ONLY

Executed By: Deputy Clerk Date: Date Executed

Final Status: Item Status Executed Item Type: item Number:



## CANNABIS DEPARTMENT FEES

This fee schedule reflects Mendocino County's Exhibit X – Master Fee Schedule adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 5, 2023 and is effective February 3, 2024

NEW APPLICATION FEE	TOTAL COST
10A.17 – Initial Application Review, Inspection, & Issuance Fee	\$2,593.00
RENEWAL OR MODIFICATION FEES	TOTAL COST
10A.17 – Annual Renewal and Inspection Fee	\$1,448.00
10A.17 - Modification Application Fee	\$695.00
LICENSE TRANSFER FEE	TOTAL COST
Cannabis Transferability Fee	\$274.00
SPECIAL INSPECTION FEE	TOTAL COST
Special Inspection Fee	\$647.00
PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE FEE (optional)	TOTAL COST
Review of the application (fee includes first hour hourly fee)	\$324.00
DEPARTMENT HOURLY FEE	TOTAL COST
Dept. Hourly Fee	\$90.00
CANNABIS BUSINESS TAX APPEAL	TOTAL COST
Business Tax Appeal – Initial fee	\$153.00
Business Tax Appeal – Hearing fee	\$5,775.00
CANNABIS CULTIVATION BUSINESS LICENSE DENIAL FEES	TOTAL COST
Appeal of Application Denial - Departmental Review	\$1,489.00
Appeal of Application Denial - Appeal Hearing	\$5,777.00
FALLOWING FEE	TOTAL COST
Fallowing Fee	\$186.00
WITHDRAWAL FEE	TOTAL COST
Program Withdrawal Fee	\$48.00
NOTICE OF APPLICATION STAY ("NAS") FEE	TOTAL COST
NAS Fee	\$48.00
NOTICE OF NON-CULTIVATION ("NON-CULT") FEE	TOTAL COST
Non-Cult Fee	\$48.00

Payments can be made from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday at the counter or through Accela

ACCEPTED FORMS OF PAYMENT AT THE COUNTER: Cash, personal check, or money order

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:** For cost information on Administrative Permits, Use Permits and Building Permits, please contact Planning & Building Services

Department Info	Exhibit C		*** This section should be completed by departments first ***
			Information regarding employee positions/titles, weighted rates, and departmental budget is entered on this sheet
		Figure A	
			Departments will enter the Position Title for all employees involved in the fees to be calculated. This may be all employees in your department. Add lines as needed to capture all positions involved with fees
			Using either the top-end or an average of top and bottom pay for the positions involved this section will calculated employee weighted rates for the services required
		Figure B	Departments will enter their Budget Information with regards to their 1000 and 2000 series expenses. These figures should reflect the department's FY20-21 Adopted budget. The County Adopted Budget book can be found here: https://www.mendocinocounty.org/home/showpublisheddocument?id=39202
	5 1 1 1 1 5		
ee Calculation	Exhibit B	Fee Data	Input Fee Title and a short desciption of the service being provided
		Employees Involved	If needed, Link cells in column D and F to the employees involved with that fee from Exhibit C. This should only be required for departments with a large number of employees. Please contact the EO Budget office for assistance with this field if you're unsure how to proceed.
		Time Studies	Input the amount of time each employee spends performing their portion of the service provided under the fee. This may require a time study if the department does r have a historical average for their services
		# of Unit per Year	Input either the historical average or projected # of times this fee is collected within a fiscal year
ee Change Detail	Exhibit A	L .	
		Fee Change Detail	Input the current fee value and this section will compare the prior fee with the new fee value. Departments may also enter additional justification or explaination for th fee adjustment.
		Employee Service Detail Review & Approval	A short narrative on the services each employee provides for each fee under consideration for change.

DEPARTMENT:	<b>Cannabis Dep</b>	artment		Enter Information in green colored cells						
Budget Unit Number:	2810				Pink cells ar	e formula driven.				
FIGURE A - EMPLOYEE INVOLVEMENT WITH FEE										
Position Title	Hourly Benefit	Annual Salary	Benefits	Services & Supplies	A-87	Weighted Hourly				
ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	23.61	49,098	31,231	7,773	15,186	57.38				
PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	28.41	59,093	37,589	9,356	18,277	69.06				
PLANNER I/II/III	40.15	83,512	53,122	13,222	25,829	97.60				
PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	39.05	81,226	51,668	12,860	25,122	94.93				
SR PROGRAM MANAGER	49.38	102,700	65,327	16,259	31,764	120.03				
DIRECTOR	59.25	123,240	78,393	19,511	38,117	144.03				
SENIOR PLANNER	43.97	91,458	58,176	14,480	28,287	106.89				
Hearing Officer	200.00	-	-	-	-	200.00				
CoCo Office	172.97	-			-	172.97				
	-	-	-	-	-	-				
	-	-	-		-	-				
	-	-	-	-	-	-				
		-	-		-	-				
		-	-		-	-				
		-	-		-	-				
	-	-	-	-	-	-				
	-	-	-	-	-	-				
	-	-	-	-	-	-				
	-	-	-	-	-	-				
	-	-		-	-	-				
	-	-		-	-	-				

FIGURE B - DEPARTMENTAL PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL SALARIES (per original budget)

Budget Unit Number	Approved Budget	Percentage of	Cost Allocation	
-	Totals from Budget	Totaled Salaries	Amount for Budget	
2810	Book		Unit from Auditor	
				Obtain this amount from Auditor's Office Cost Allocation Plan
Salaries per line item			-	(A-87) for this budget unit.
861011-Regular Employees	854,693		ļ	
861012-Extra Help	-		Ļ	
861013-Overtime Reg Emp				
Total Budgeted Salaries	854,693	30.93%		
			Divides Cost Allocation I	by Total Salaries
Benefits per line item			-	
861021-Retirement	272,276		ļ	
861022-OASDI	56,667		ļ	
861023-Medicare	13,253		1	
861024-Retirement COLA	59,293		1	
861030-Health Insurance	141,067		1	
861031-Unemployment Insurance	-		Ļ	
861035-Workers Comp	1,111			
Total Budgeted Benefits	543,667	63.61%	Benefits as a percentage	
Total Sal & Ben to verify	1,398,360		Divides Total Benefits by	y Total Salaries
Services and Supplies			т	
862050 - Clothing & Personal Items 862060 - Communications 862101 - Insurance General	-		Please add any objects t	
862060 - Communications	7,440		budget that may not be	included here.
862101 - Insurance General	60,217		ļ	
862120 - Maintenance Equipment	-		1	
862150 - Memberships	-		ļ	
862170 - Office Expense	10,000		ļ	
862187 - Education & Training	5,000		1	
862189 - Prof & Spec Svcs - Other	-		1	
862190 - Public & Legal Notices	140		1	
862200 - Rents & leases - Equipment (misc) 862210 - Rnts & Leases Bld Grd (PBS)	-		1	
862210 - Rnts & Leases Bld Grd (PBS)	-		ļ	
862230 - Info Tech Equip	45,018		ļ	
862239 - Spec Dept Exp	5,000		1	
862250 - Transportation & Travel	2,000		1	
862253 - Travel & Trsp Out of County	500		1	
Total Services & Supplies	135,315	15.83%	S&S as a percentage of	
Total Sal+Ben+S&S	1,533,675		Divides Total Services an	nd Supplies by Total Salaries

Fee Name	Proposed Fee Rate	Position of People Working on the Fee	Number of Hours Spent	Weighted Rate	Total Cost	Number of Units per Year	ADMINIST RATIVE ASSISTANT	G TECHNIC		#REF!	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	DIRECTOR	SENIOR PLANNER	Hearing Officer	CoCo Office	0
10A.17 Application Fee - Initial	\$2,593.74	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	1.24	57.38	\$71.15	20	24.80	D									
Application Review, Inspection, &		PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	3.00	69.06	\$207.18	20	)	60.0	0								
Issuance Fee. Plus, actual time as		PLANNER I/II/III	21.00	97.60	\$2,049.60	20	)		420.00								
		PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	1.00	94.93	\$94.93	20	)			20.00							
		SR PROGRAM MANAGER	0.63	120.03	\$75.62	20	)				12.60						
		DIRECTOR	0.13	144.03	\$18.72	20	)					2.60					
		SENIOR PLANNER	-	106.89	\$0.00	20	)						0.00	1			
		Hearing Officer	-	200.00	\$0.00	20	)							0.00			
		CoCo Office	-	172.97	\$0.00	20	1								0.00		
			-	-	\$0.00	20	1									0.00	
		Miles	116.85	0.66	\$76.54												
					40 - 00 - 0	1											
				Total Cost>	\$2,593.74												Yearly H
2 10A.17 Annual Permit Renewal Fee	- \$1,448.56	ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	1.13	57.38	\$64.84	200											Yearly H
Application Review, Inspection, &	- \$1,448.56	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	1.13	57.38 69.06	\$64.84 \$138.12	200		400.0	-								Yearly H
	- \$1,448.56	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III	1.13 2.00 11.50	57.38 69.06 97.60	\$64.84 \$138.12 \$1,122.40	200 200			0 2300.00								Yearly H
Application Review, Inspection, &	- \$1,448.56	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	1.13 2.00 11.50 0.13	57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93	\$64.84 \$138.12 \$1,122.40 \$12.34	200 200 200			-	26.00							Yearly H
Application Review, Inspection, &	- \$1,448.56	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER	1.13 2.00 11.50 0.13 0.13	57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03	\$64.84 \$138.12 \$1,122.40 \$12.34 \$15.60	200 200 200 200			-	26.00	26.00						Yearly H
Application Review, Inspection, &	- \$1,448.56	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER //I/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR	1.13 2.00 11.50 0.13 0.13 0.13	57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03	\$64.84 \$138.12 \$1,122.40 \$12.34 \$15.60 \$18.72	200 200 200 200 200 200			-	26.00	26.00	26.00					Yearly H
Application Review, Inspection, &	\$1,448.56	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER	1.13 2.00 11.50 0.13 0.13 0.13 -	57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89	\$64.84 \$138.12 \$1,122.40 \$12.34 \$15.60 \$18.72 \$0.00	200 200 200 200 200 200 200	Image: Constraint of the second sec		-	26.00	26.00		0.00				Yearly H
Application Review, Inspection, &	- \$1,448.56	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer	1.13 2.00 11.50 0.13 0.13 0.13 - -	57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00	\$64.84 \$138.12 \$1,122.40 \$12.34 \$15.60 \$18.72 \$0.00 \$0.00	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	Image: Control of the second		-	26.00	26.00		0.00	0.00			Yearly He
Application Review, Inspection, &	\$1,448.56	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER	1.13 2.00 11.50 0.13 0.13 0.13 -	57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97	\$64.84 \$138.12 \$1,122.40 \$12.34 \$15.60 \$18.72 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200			-	26.00	26.00		0.00		0.00		Yearly He
Application Review, Inspection, &	\$1,448.56	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer	1.13 2.00 11.50 0.13 0.13 0.13 - -	57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00	\$64.84 \$138.12 \$1,122.40 \$12.34 \$15.60 \$18.72 \$0.00 \$0.00	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200			-	26.00	26.00		0.00		0.00		Yearly He

Page 3 of 14

10A.17 Modification Application Fee	\$695.64 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	0.40	57.38	\$22.95	75	30.00										
- Application Review & Issuance	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	1.00	69.06	\$69.06	75		75.00									
Fee. Plus, actual time and inspection	PLANNER I/II/III	5.00	97.60	\$488.00	75			375.00								
	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	0.73	94.93	\$69.30	75				54.75							
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	0.23	120.03	\$27.61	75					17.25						
	DIRECTOR	0.13	144.03	\$18.72	75						9.75					
	SENIOR PLANNER	-	106.89	\$0.00	75							0.00				
	Hearing Officer	-	200.00	\$0.00	75								0.00			
	CoCo Office	-	172.97	\$0.00	75									0.00		
		-	-	\$0.00	75										0.00	
		7.49	Total Cost>	\$695.64												Yearly
10A.17 Appendix G Checklist Fee -	\$0.00 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	-	57.38	\$0.00	0	0.00										
Review & Certification Fee. Plus	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	-	69.06	\$0.00	0		0.00						-			
actual time as applicable.	PLANNER I/II/III	-	97.60	\$0.00	0			0.00								
	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	-	94.93	\$0.00	0				0.00							
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	-	120.03	\$0.00	0					0.00						
REMOVE	DIRECTOR	-	144.03	\$0.00	0						0.00					
NEIVIOVE	SENIOR PLANNER	-	106.89	\$0.00	0							0.00				
	Hearing Officer	-	200.00	\$0.00	0								0.00			
	CoCo Office	-	172.97	\$0.00	0									0.00		
		-	-	\$0.00	0										0.00	
		- [	Total Cost>	\$0.00												Yearly
10A.17 Nursery Add-On Application	\$0.00 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	-	57.38	\$0.00	0	0.00										
Fee - Application Review, Inspection	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	-	69.06	\$0.00	0		0.00									
& Issuance Fee. Plus actual time and	PLANNER I/II/III	-	97.60	\$0.00	0			0.00								
	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	-	94.93	\$0.00	0				0.00							
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	-	120.03	\$0.00	0					0.00						
REMOVE	DIRECTOR	-	144.03	\$0.00	0						0.00					
	SENIOR PLANNER	-	106.89	\$0.00	0							0.00				
	Hearing Officer	-	200.00	\$0.00	0								0.00			
	CoCo Office	-	172.97	\$0.00	0									0.00		
		-	-	\$0.00 \$0.00	0										0.00	·
	Miles	-	0.66 Total Cost>	\$0.00 \$0.00												Yearly
10117 Course bis Down it Town for Foo		0.30	57.20	¢17.01	400	20.00										
10A17 Cannabis Permit Transfer Fee - Application Review and Issuance	\$274.89 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	0.30	57.38 69.06	\$17.21 \$34.53	100 100	30.00	50.00									
Fee. Plus actual time as applicable.		2.00	97.60	\$34.53 \$195.20	100		50.00	200.00								
ree. This actual time as appliedble.	PLANNER I/II/III	0.13	97.60 94.93	\$195.20 \$12.34	100			200.00	12.00							
	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER	0.13	120.03	\$12.34	100				13.00	13.00						
	DIRECTOR	0.13	120.03	\$15.60	100					13.00	0.00					
	SENIOR PLANNER		144.03	\$0.00	100						0.00	0.00				
	SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer		200.00	\$0.00	100							0.00	0.00			
	CoCo Office		200.00	\$0.00	100								0.00	0.00		
	coco onice	-		\$0.00										0.00	0.00	-
			-		100											

Page 4 of 14

Cannabis Business Tax Appeal -	\$153.64 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	0.50	57.38	\$28.69	70	35.00										
Administrative Fee	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	-	69.06	\$0.00	70		0.00									
	PLANNER I/II/III		97.60	\$0.00	70		0.00	0.00								
	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	0.25	94.93	\$23.73	70				17.50							
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	0.25	120.03	\$30.01	70					17.50						
	DIRECTOR	-	144.03	\$0.00	70					17150	0.00					
	SENIOR PLANNER		106.89	\$0.00	70							0.00				
	Hearing Officer	_	200.00	\$0.00	70								0.00			
	CoCo Office	-	172.97	\$0.00	70								0.00	0.00		
			-	\$0.00	70									0.00	0.00	
	Tax Collector	0.50	142.42	\$71.21	70										0.00	
	Tax concetor		Total Cost>	\$153.64												Yearly
Cannabis Business Tax Appeal -	\$5,775.70 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	0.25	57.38	\$14.35	1	0.25										
Hearing Fee	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	-	69.06	\$0.00	1		0.00									
	PLANNER I/II/III	-	97.60	\$0.00	1			0.00								
	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	1.25	94.93	\$118.66	1				1.25							
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	1.50	120.03	\$180.05	1					1.50						
	DIRECTOR	4.50	144.03	\$648.14	1						4.50					
	SENIOR PLANNER	-	106.89	\$0.00	1							0.00				
	Hearing Officer	4.00	200.00	\$800.00	1								4.00			
	CoCo Office	22.00	172.97	\$3,805.34	1									22.00		
		-	-	\$0.00	1										0.00	
		-	-	\$0.00	1											
	Legal Services Supervisor	1.00	66.61	\$66.61	1											
	Legal Secretary	3.00	47.52	\$142.56	1											
						-										-
	\$1,489.51 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	0.63	57.38	\$36.15	12											
Administrative Fee	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	0.13	69.06	\$8.98	12		1.56									
	PLANNER I/II/III	8.13	97.60	\$793.49	12			97.56								
	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	1.88	94.93	\$178.47	12				22.56							
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	1.38	120.03	\$165.64	12					16.56						
	DIRECTOR	2.13	144.03	\$306.78	12						25.56					
	SENIOR PLANNER	-	106.89	\$0.00	12							0.00				
	Hearing Officer	-	200.00	\$0.00	12								0.00			
	CoCo Office	-	172.97	\$0.00	12									0.00		
		-	-	\$0.00	5										0.00	
		14.28	Fotal Cost>	\$1,489.51											l	Yearly
10117 Annual of A			F7 20			0.30										
10A17 Appeal of Application Denial - Hearing Fee	\$5,777.64 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	0.30	57.38 69.06	\$17.21 \$0.00	1	0.30	0.00									
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III	-	97.60	\$0.00	1		0.00	0.00								
	PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR		97.60	\$94.93	1			0.00	1.00							
	PROGRAMI ADMINISTRATOR	1.00	120.03		1				1.00	1.09						
			120.03	\$130.83	1					1.09	F 00					
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	1.09		6700.45							5.00					
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR	5.00	144.03	\$720.15	1							0.00				
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER	5.00	144.03 106.89	\$0.00	1							0.00	4.00			
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer	5.00 - 4.00	144.03 106.89 200.00	\$0.00 \$800.00	1							0.00	4.00	22.55		
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER	5.00	144.03 106.89	\$0.00	1 1 1 1 1 1							0.00	4.00	22.00	1.00	

Page 5 of 14

Special Inspection Fee	\$647.94 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	0.25	57.38	\$14.35	50	12.50								
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	1.00	69.06	\$69.06	50	50.00								
	PLANNER I/II/III	5.00	97.60	\$488.00	50		250.00							
	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	-	94.93	\$0.00	50			0.00						
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	_	120.03	\$0.00	50				0.00					
	DIRECTOR	-	144.03	\$0.00	50					0.00				
	SENIOR PLANNER	-	106.89	\$0.00	50					0.00	0.00			
			200.00	\$0.00	50						0.00	0.00		
	Hearing Officer	-										0.00		
	CoCo Office	-	172.97	\$0.00	50								0.00	
		-	-	\$0.00	50									0.00
	Miles	116.85	0.66	\$76.54										
		6.25	Total Cost>	\$647.94										Yearly
Cannabis Business Facility License	\$0.00 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	-	57.38	\$0.00		0.00								
Application Fee - Application	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	-	69.06	\$0.00	0	0.00								
Review, Inspection & Issuance Fee.	PLANNER I/II/III	_	97.60	\$0.00	0		0.00							
	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	_	94.93	\$0.00	0			0.00						
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER		120.03	\$0.00	0			2.20	0.00					
	DIRECTOR		144.03	\$0.00	0				5.00	0.00				
REMOVE					0					0.00	0.00			
	SENIOR PLANNER	-	106.89	\$0.00	0						0.00	0.55		
	Hearing Officer		200.00	\$0.00	0							0.00		
	CoCo Office	-	172.97	\$0.00	0								0.00	
		-	-	\$0.00	0									0.00
	Miles	-	0.66	\$0.00										
Cannabis Department Pre-	\$324.41 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	0.25	57.38	\$14.35	5	1.25								
Cannabis Department Pre- Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	0.25	69.06	\$17.27	5	1.25								
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III	0.25 3.00	69.06 97.60	\$17.27 \$292.80	5		15.00							
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	0.25	69.06 97.60 94.93	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00	5 5 5 5		15.00	0.00						
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER VIII/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER	0.25 3.00	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00	5 5 5 5 5		15.00	0.00	0.00					
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER //II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR	0.25 3.00	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	5 5 5 5 5 5		15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER VIII/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER	0.25 3.00	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER	0.25 3.00	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Cannabis Department Pre- Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER /II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer	0.25 3.00	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER	0.25 3.00	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER /II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer	0.25 3.00	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER //II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer CoCo Office	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost>	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 Yearly
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER /III/III PROGRAM MOMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer CoCo Office \$186.99 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	0.25 3.00	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost>	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 90	22.50	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER /II/III PROGRAM MOMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer CoCo Office \$186.99 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost>	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00	90			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER //II/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         CoCo Office         \$186.99         ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNER I/II/III	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 2200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40	90 90	22.50	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER /II/III PROGRAM MOMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer CoCo Office \$186.99 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost>	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00	90	22.50		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER //II/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         CoCo Office         \$186.99         ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNER I/II/III	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 2200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40	90 90	22.50			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER //II/III PROGRAM MONINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer CoCo Office \$186.99 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 140.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00	90 90 90 90	22.50					0.00	0.00	0.00	
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER (/II/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         CoCo Office         \$186.99         ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER I/II/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00	90 90 90 90 90	22.50				0.00		0.00	0.00	
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER //II/III PROGRAM MOMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer CoCo Office \$186.99 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00	90 90 90 90 90 90	22.50					0.00		0.00	
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER (/II/III         PROGRAM DOMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         CoCo Office         \$186.99         ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNER //II/III         PLANNER //II/III         PLANNER //II/III         PROGRAM DOMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.83 200.00 172.97 <b>Total Cost&gt;</b> <b>Total Cost&gt;</b> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.000 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	22.50						0.00		
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER //II/III PROGRAM MOMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer CoCo Office \$186.99 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER I/II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	22.50							0.00	Yearly
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER (/II/III         PROGRAM DOMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         CoCo Office         \$186.99         ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNER //II/III         PLANNER //II/III         PLANNER //II/III         PROGRAM DOMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 97.60 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.000 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	22.50								Yearly
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER (/II/III         PROGRAM DOMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         CoCo Office         \$186.99         ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNER //II/III         PLANNER //II/III         PLANNER //II/III         PROGRAM DOMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.83 200.00 172.97 <b>Total Cost&gt;</b> <b>Total Cost&gt;</b> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	22.50								Yearly
Application Conference Fallowing Fee	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER /II/III PROGRAM MOMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer CoCo Office \$186.99 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER /II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR SR PROGRAM MANAGER DIRECTOR SENIOR PLANNER Hearing Officer CoCo Office	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 <b>Total Cost&gt;</b> 57.38 69.06 97.60 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - <b>Total Cost&gt;</b>	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.35 \$0.000 \$0.00	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	22.50								Yearly
Application Conference	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER (/II/III         PROGRAM DOMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         CoCo Office         \$186.99         ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNER I/II/III         PLANNER I/II/III         PLANNER I/II/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         COCo Office	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> Total Cost>	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	22.50								Yearly
Application Conference Fallowing Fee	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER (/II/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         CoCo Office         \$186.99         ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNER //II/III         PLANNER //II/III         PLANNER //II/III         PCOGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         CoCo Office	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> Total Cost>	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$146.40 \$146.40 \$146.40 \$146.40 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$0.00	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 225 25	22.50	135.00							Yearly
Application Conference Fallowing Fee	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER (/II/III         PROGRAM DOMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         CoCo Office         \$186.99         ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNER I/II/III         PLANNER I/II/III         PLANNER I/II/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer         COCo Office	0.25 3.00 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00 172.97 - Total Cost> Total Cost>	\$17.27 \$292.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$324.41 \$14.35 \$0.00 \$146.40 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.24 \$12.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$14.85 \$0.00 \$0	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	22.50								Yearly

Page 6 of 14

	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	-	120.03	\$0.00	25			_	0.00						
	DIRECTOR	-	144.03	\$0.00	25					0.00					
	SENIOR PLANNER	-	106.89	\$0.00	25						0.00				
	Hearing Officer	-	200.00	\$0.00	25							0.00			
	CoCo Office	-	172.97	\$0.00	25								0.00		
		-	-	\$0.00	25									0.00	
	Miles	-	0.66	\$0.00											
		0.50	Total Cost>	\$48.80										١	Yearly H
NAS Fee	\$48.80 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT	-	57.38	\$0.00	5	0.00									
	PLANNING TECHNICIAN I	-	69.06	\$0.00	5		0.00								
	PLANNER I/II/III	0.50	97.60	\$48.80	5			2.50							
	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	-	94.93	\$0.00	5			0.0	0						
	SR PROGRAM MANAGER	-	120.03	\$0.00	5				0.00						
	DIRECTOR	-	144.03	\$0.00	5					0.00					
	SENIOR PLANNER	-	106.89	\$0.00	5						0.00				
	Hearing Officer	-	200.00	\$0.00	5							0.00			
	CoCo Office	_	172.97	<u> </u>	-								0.00		
			1/2.9/	\$0.00	5										
		-	-	\$0.00	5				1					0.00	
	Miles				5									0.00	
		-	-	\$0.00	5										Yearly H
		-	- 0.66	\$0.00 \$0.00	5										Yearly H
7 Non-Cult Fee	Miles	-	- 0.66 Total Cost>	\$0.00 \$0.00 <b>\$48.80</b>	5	0.00									Yearly H
7 Non-Cult Fee	Miles \$48.80 Administrative assistant	- 0.50	- 0.66 Total Cost> 57.38	\$0.00 \$0.00 <b>\$48.80</b> \$0.00	50		0.00								Yearly H
7 Non-Cult Fee	Miles \$48.80 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PLANNING TECHNICIAN I		- 0.66 Total Cost>	\$0.00 \$0.00 <b>\$48.80</b> \$0.00 \$0.00				5.00							Yearly H
Non-Cult Fee	Miles \$48.80 Administrative assistant	0.50 	- 0.66 Total Cost> 57.38 69.06	\$0.00 \$0.00 <b>\$48.80</b> \$0.00	50			5.00							Yearly I
Non-Cult Fee	\$48.80     ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT       PLANNING TECHNICIAN I       PLANNER VIL/III	0.50 	- 0.66 Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60	\$0.00 \$0.00 <b>\$48.80</b> \$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80	50 50				0 0.00						Yearly I
7 Non-Cult Fee	\$48.80 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PLANNING TECHNICIAN I PLANNER /II/III PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR	0.50 	- 0.66 Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93	\$0.00 \$0.00 <b>\$48.80</b> \$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	50 50 50				-	0.00					Yearly I
7 Non-Cult Fee	\$48.80       ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER VI/I/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER	0.50 	- 0.66 Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	50 50 50 50				-		0.00				Yearly I
7 Non-Cult Fee	\$48.80       ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER I/II/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR	- - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 0.66 Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03	\$0.00 \$0.00 <b>\$48.80</b> \$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	50 50 50 50 50 50				-		0.00	0.00			Yearly H
7 Non-Cult Fee	\$48.80       ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER VII/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER	0.50 	- 0.66 Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50				-		0.00		0.00		Yearly F
7 Non-Cult Fee	\$48.80       ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER VI/I/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer		- 0.66 Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50				-		0.00				Yearly F
7 Non-Cult Fee	\$48.80       ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT         PLANNING TECHNICIAN I         PLANNER VI/I/III         PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR         SR PROGRAM MANAGER         DIRECTOR         SENIOR PLANNER         Hearing Officer	- - 0.50 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 0.66 Total Cost> 57.38 69.06 97.60 94.93 120.03 144.03 106.89 200.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$48.80 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50				-		0.00			0.00	Yearly F

Page 7 of 14

0

Page 8 of 14

Page 9 of 14

70.00 70.00 33.50

Page 10 of 14

Page 11 of 14

Page 12 of 14

### COUNTY OF MENDOCINO FEE SCHEDULE FEE JUSTIFICATION CHART

Department/Fee Description	Budget Unit	Anticipated Annual Units of Service	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Percent Change	Total Annual Increase / Decrease	Government Code Justification (if applicable)	Approved by Auditor (Yes) (No)
Department Name: Cannabis Department		Cannabis D	epartment					
Division:		Your Division	on					
Fee Section In Master Fee Schedule (Exhibit X): Cannabis Manag	gement							
Additional Description:								
10A.17 Application Fee - Initial Application Review, Inspection, & Issuance Fee. Plu	2810	20	\$1,648.59	\$2,593.74	57.3%	\$18,903.02		
10A.17 Annual Permit Renewal Fee - Application Review, Inspection, & Issuance Fe	2810	200	\$733.33	\$1,448.56	97.5%	\$143,046.97		
10A.17 Modification Application Fee - Application Review & Issuance Fee. Plus, act	2810	75	\$733.33	\$695.64	-5.1%	(\$2,826.62)		
10A.17 Appendix G Checklist Fee - Review & Certification Fee. Plus actual time as	2810	0	\$693.33	\$0.00	-100.0%	\$0.00	REMOVE	
10A.17 Nursery Add-On Application Fee - Application Review, Inspection & Issuance	2810	0	\$369.49	\$0.00	-100.0%	\$0.00	REMOVE	
10A17 Cannabis Permit Transfer Fee - Application Review and Issuance Fee. Plus a	2810	100	\$255.63	\$274.89	7.5%	\$1,925.88		
Cannabis Business Tax Appeal - Administrative Fee	2810	70	\$282.87	\$153.64	-45.7%	(\$9,046.10)		
Cannabis Business Tax Appeal - Hearing Fee	2810	1	\$1,131.95	\$5,775.70	410.2%	\$4,643.75		
10A17 Appeal of Application Denial - Administrative Fee	2810	12	\$1,152.94	\$1,489.51	29.2%	\$4,038.83		
10A17 Appeal of Application Denial - Hearing Fee	2810	1	\$1,140.00	\$5,777.64	406.8%	\$4,637.64		
Special Inspection Fee	2810	50	\$448.49	\$647.94	44.5%	\$9,972.59		
Cannabis Business Facility License Application Fee - Application Review, Inspection	2810	0	\$176.04	\$0.00	-100.0%	\$0.00	REMOVE	
Cannabis Department Pre-Application Conference	2810	5	\$493.20	\$324.41	-34.2%	(\$843.95)		
Fallowing Fee	2810	90	\$0.00	\$186.99	100%	\$16,828.88		
Withdrawal Fee	2810	25	\$0.00	\$48.80	100%	\$1,220.00		
NAS Fee	2810	5	\$0.00	\$48.80	100%	\$244.00		
Non-Cult Fee	2810	50	\$0.00	\$48.80	100%	\$2,440.00		
Total						\$195,184.87		
AUDITOR'S COMMENTS: Weighted rates are calculated correctly. Time estimates appear reasonable and are to nearest dollar to avoid dealing with coins. RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL:	e reporte	d to be based	on Planning &	k Building experie	ence. It is reco	ommended that al	ll fees be roun	ided down
	Auditor				Date			
EXECUTIVE OFFICE COMMENTS:								
RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL:								
	Chief Exe	cutive Officer,	or Designee		Date			

### COUNTY OF MENDOCINO FEE SCHEDULE FEE JUSTIFICATION CHART

Department/Fee Description	Budget Unit	Anticipated Annual Units of Service	Current Fee	Proposed Fee Rounded to the nearest whole dollar	Percent Change	Total Annual Increase / Decrease	Government Code Justification (if applicable)	Approved by Auditor (Yes) (No)
Department Name: Cannabis Department		Cannabis D	epartment	U				
Division:		Your Divisio	on					
Fee Section In Master Fee Schedule (Exhibit X):		Cannabis N	lanagement	Unit				
Additional Description:			-					
10A.17 Application Fee - Initial Application Review, Inspection, & Issuance Fee. Plu	2810	20	\$1,648.59	\$5,329.00	223.2%	\$73,608.20		
10A.17 Annual Permit Renewal Fee - Application Review, Inspection, & Issuance Fe	2810	200	\$733.33	\$1,092.00	48.9%	\$71,734.00		
10A.17 Modification Application Fee - Application Review & Issuance Fee. Plus, act	2810	75	\$733.33	\$794.00	8.3%	\$4,550.25		
10A.17 Appendix G Checklist Fee - Review & Certification Fee. Plus actual time as	2810	0	\$693.33	\$871.00	25.6%	\$0.00	REMOVE	
10A.17 Nursery Add-On Application Fee - Application Review, Inspection & Issuance	2810	0	\$369.49	\$1,092.00	195.5%	\$0.00	REMOVE	
10A17 Cannabis Permit Transfer Fee - Application Review and Issuance Fee. Plus a	2810	100	\$255.63	\$334.00	30.7%	\$7.837.00		
Cannabis Business Tax Appeal - Administrative Fee	2810	70	\$282.87	\$329.17	16.4%	\$3,241.00		
Cannabis Business Tax Appeal - Hearing Fee	2810	1	\$1,131.95	\$1,632.44	44.2%	\$500.49		
10A17 Appeal of Application Denial - Administrative Fee	2810	12	\$1,152.94	\$1,260.00	9.3%	\$1,284.72	Reso No. 22	-243
10A17 Appeal of Application Denial - Hearing Fee	2810	1	\$1,140.00	\$1,872.00	64.2%	\$732.00	Reso No. 22	
Special Inspection Fee	2810	50	\$448.49	\$556.00	24.0%	\$5,375.50		
Cannabis Business Facility License Application Fee - Application Review, Inspection	2810	0	\$176.04	\$1,427.00	710.6%	\$0.00	REMOVE	
Cannabis Department Pre-Application Conference	2810	5	\$493.20	\$327.00	-33.7%	(\$831.00)		
Fallowing Fee	2810	90	\$0.00	\$186.99	100%	\$16,828.88		
Withdrawal Fee	2810	25	\$0.00	\$48.80	100%	\$1,220.00		
NAS Fee	2810	5	\$0.00	\$48.80	100%	\$244.00		
Non-Cult Fee	2810	50	\$0.00	\$48.80	100%	\$2,440.00		
Total						\$188,765.04		
AUDITOR'S COMMENTS: Weighted rates are calculated correctly. Time estimates appear reasonable and ar to nearest dollar to avoid dealing with coins. RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL:	e reporte	d to be based	on Planning 8	& Building experie	ence. It is rec	ommended that al	ll fees be rour	ided down
	Auditor				Date	-		
EXECUTIVE OFFICE COMMENTS: RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL:								
	Chief Exe	cutive Officer,	or Designee		Date			



## COUNTY OF MENDOCINO CANNABIS DEPARTMENT

860 NORTH BUSH STREET UKIAH, CALIFORNIA •95482

DATE: N	November 13, 2023
TO: N	Mendocino Cannabis Department Staff
FROM: S	Steve Dunnicliff, Interim Director Mendocino Cannabis Department
RE: I	nternal Procedure #07 – Site Inspections

#### PREVIOUS PROCEDURE

None.

#### PURPOSE

To promote accountability, consistency, equal application, and efficiency, the Mendocino County Cannabis Department (hereby "Department") shall define and implement a uniform strategy regarding site inspections.

#### DEFINITIONS

**Cannabis Cultivation Business License** or **CCBL** – An issued Cannabis Cultivation Business License for cultivation or nursery operations in Mendocino County.

#### SCOPE

All Divisions within the Department and any authorized contractors performing work on behalf of the Department shall be responsible to this procedure.

#### PROCEDURE

#### **Site Inspections**

Due to limited staffing resources within the Department, the Department is instituting a discretionary policy decision to prioritize site inspections to increase efficiency and reduce the overall workload burden. Through experience and partnership with other regulatory partners, the Department has determined the best path forward to be: to perform required inspections, complaint driven inspections, and quality control inspections while appropriately dividing the cost of all Department inspections across the related fees in the annual BOS approved fee schedule.

The Department shall utilize site inspection information provided by our regulatory partners, such as photographs and written narratives, and/or when appropriate, remote and physical inspections, whenever possible to reduce the need of physical site inspections while relying on CCBL holders to proactively meet the requirements and performance standards outlined in MCC Sec. 10A.17.

Prioritized Site Inspection List is as follows:

- 1. Inspections Deemed Necessary by the Department
  - a. Per Sec. 10A.17.070(Y), the Department may deem a site inspection necessary. If the site inspection does not fall into the categories below, then it shall require Department head, or Department Head Designee, approval prior to the Department conducting the inspection.

Internal Procedure #07 Revised 2023

- b. An additional site inspection fee may be required if it is determined that the CCBL applicant or holder caused the need for the site inspection.
- 2. On-site pre-CCBL inspection
  - a. Per Sec. 10A.17.070(Y), the Department shall perform one site inspection prior to issuance of a CCBL.
  - b. This fee shall be captured in the initial application fee.
- 3. Complaint Driven or Performance Monitoring Inspection
  - a. Per MCC Sec. 10A.17.140(A), a site inspection may be required to confirm violations and/or correction of violations.
  - b. An additional site inspection fee shall be required for onsite compliance inspections per MCC Sec. 10A.17.140(B) if it is determined that the CCBL applicant or holder caused the need for the site inspection.
- 4. CCBL Renewal Quality Control Inspections
  - a. A physical site inspection will be conducted at least every 5 years
  - b. Additionally, 5% percent of all in program applications and CCBLs will be randomly selected for a priority inspection annually. Factors utilized to determine whether the random inspection will be physical or remote may include:
    - i. Does the CCBL have a confirmed deviation between submitted site plan and aerial imagery and/or history of non-compliance with the Department or other regulatory agencies?
    - ii. If viewed remotely does the CCBL location appear to be consistent with the most current site plan on file?
  - c. This fee shall be captured in the renewal fee.
- 5. Upon Request of CCBL Applicant or CCBL Holders
  - a. The Department may consider providing requested inspections based on the availability of staff, and appropriate cost recovery. For example, per MCC Sec. 10A.17.120 Certifications, for a CCBL holder to maintain the "Certified Mendocino County Grown" certificate, as it may require an annual inspection from the Department.

#### RESPONSIBILITY

Any Department staff or authorized contractors performing any functions described in the scope are responsible to ensure compliance with this procedure and the subsequent revisions of this procedure. For MCD staff, failure to perform within the parameters of this procedure may result disciplinary action up to and including termination. For any contractor providing services on behalf of the County, failure to perform within the parameters of this procedure may result in termination of contracted services and responsibility of any costs incurred by the County.

#### <u>AUTHORITY</u>

Procedure Approved:

1/20/23 Date:

Steve Dunnicliff, Interim Cannabis Department Director



Mendocino County Board of Supervisors Agenda Summary

### **Item #:** 2c)

#### To: GENERAL GOVERNMENT STANDING COMMITTEE

From: Cannabis

Meeting Date: February 28, 2024

Department Contact:	Sara McBurney	Phone:	707-234-6680
Department Contact:	Jared Schwass	Phone:	707-234-6885

Time Allocated for Item: 30 Minutes

#### Agenda Title:

Discussion and Possible Action Including Providing Recommendations to Staff Regarding the Sensitive Species Habitat Review ("SSHR") Screening Tool (Sponsor: Cannabis)

#### Recommended Action/Motion:

Provide recommendations to staff regarding the SSHR Screening Tool.

#### Previous Board/Board Committee Actions:

On February 23, 2021, the Board requested that staff issue guidance clarifying which permit applications must be referred to CDFW for Sensitive Species Habitat Review (SSHR). On October 4, 2022, the Cannabis Ad Hoc Committee recommended that staff be directed to work with CDFW and stakeholders to review and modify a screening tool for SSHR referrals, with the intent of expediting workload and applicant screening for SSHR review by CDFW. On January 24, 2024, the General Government Committee (GGC) requested that staff bring proposed revisions to the SSHR Screening Tool to the regular February GGC Meeting.

#### Summary of Request/Referral:

On September 30, 2020, the Cannabis Program was within Planning & Building Services (PBS). The PBS Director signed a "Pilot Policy for Sensitive Species Review (SSR) in Cooperation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)". The policy noted that it was developed in consultation between the County and CDFW, and could be modified from time to time by mutual agreement. The original SSHR screening tool was attached as an exhibit to this policy. In September 2023, County staff met with CDFW to request changes to the Screening Tool, sharing written comment from both staff and the community. On January 5, 2024 the County received CDFW's comments on proposed changes. At this time, Cannabis Department staff request input and recommendations from the General Government Committee regarding the SSHR Screening Tool.

#### Supplemental Information Available Online At: N/A

#### Fiscal Details:

source of funding: N/A

### **Item #:** 2c)

current f/y cost: N/A budget clarification: N/A annual recurring cost: N/A budgeted in current f/y (if no, please describe): N/A revenue agreement: N/A

**CEO Liaison:** Executive Office

CEO Review: Yes CEO Comments:

#### FOR COB USE ONLY

Executed By: Deputy Clerk Date: Date Executed Final Status: Item Status Executed Item Type: item Number:



**COUNTY OF MENDOCINO DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING SERVICES** 860 North Bush Street · Ukiah · Čalifornia · 95482 120 West Fir Street · Ft. Bragg · California · 95437 **Brent Schultz, Director** 

Planning and Building Services Phone: 707-234-6650 FAX: 707-463-5709 Ft. Bragg 707-964-5379 FB Fax: 707-961-2427 cannabisprogram@mendocinoccounty.org

www.mendocinocounty.org/government/cannabis-cultivation

## Cannabis Program

## Mendocino County Cannabis Ordinance

## (MCCO) Sec. 10A.17.100(A)(2)

## Pilot Policy For Sensitive Species Review (SSR)

## In Cooperation With the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)

#### PURPOSE

The purpose of this pilot policy is to satisfy the requirements of MCCO Sec. 10A.17.100(A)(2) by creating the required policy in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) in order to provide a process to the evaluate the potential impact to sensitive species on proposed Cannabis Cultivation Permit locations and receive a determination from CDFW, if necessary, in order to issue a Mendocino County Cannabis Cultivation Permit.

#### **AUTHORITY**

CDFW is California's Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources, and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State. (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a).) The Department, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species. (Id., § 1802.) Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, the Department is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

Under this Policy, CDFW will provide biological expertise to assist the County in making a determination whether or not the proposed project demonstrates a less than significant impact to sensitive species. The County has the express authority for permit issuance. If the cultivator cannot demonstrate that there will be a less than significant impact to sensitive species, the County will not issue a Cannabis Cultivation Permit pursuant to MCC Sec. 10A.17.100(A)(2).

#### PROCEDURE

The following will be required of each Phase 1 and Phase 2 Mendocino County Cannabis Cultivation Permit Applicant and a County cultivation permit will not be issued until such time as the applicant can demonstrate that there will be a less than significant impact to sensitive species for the permit location:

- 1. Each Applicant seeking a Mendocino County Cultivation Permit for a particular cultivation location will complete a "Sensitive Species Habitat Questionnaire" (SSHQ).
- 2. During the permit application review process, the Cannabis Program Planning Staff, Biologists or Environmental Scientists, either employed or contracted by the County, shall review the information provided in the SSHQ, the CNDDB, against the objective set of criteria, "Exhibit A," and any other resource information available to the Program to determine if the information shall be referred to CDFW pursuant to MCCO Sec. 10A.17.100(A)(2).
- 3. If the Cannabis Program finds that consultation from CDFW is necessary under this Policy because of unique site specific concerns or practices, any relevant documentation shall be referred to CDFW.
- 4. During the duration of this Pilot Policy, the Cannabis Program will set periodic meetings with CDFW to review findings on projects that were given clearance without referral to CDFW.
- 5. CDFW will review the information received from the Cannabis Program, for those projects referred to them, and respond in writing with either a determination that there will be a less than significant impacts to sensitive species for the proposed cultivation permit location or that the applicant will need to provide further studies and information to assist CDFW in our role in advising the County of potential significant impacts to Trustee resources. CDFW may also request an inspection of the proposed cultivation permit location if they deem it is necessary to aid them in making their determination.
- 6. Pursuant to MCC Sec. 10A.17.090, any referral to or consultation with an agency other than the County of Mendocino shall state that a response must be returned within thirty (30) days of the date of the referral.
- 7. Any required responses from applicants, after proper notice, for information needed to fulfill the requirements for a determination required by MCC Sec. 10A.17.100(A)(2), will be on the same timeline as any other request for information as set by policy of the Cannabis Program.
- 8. A Cannabis Cultivation Permit will not be issued to an applicant that cannot demonstrate there will be a less than significant impact to sensitive species at their proposed Cannabis Cultivation Permit location.

This pilot policy is developed in consultation between The Mendocino County Cannabis Program and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and may be modified from time to time by mutual agreement between CDFW and the Mendocino County Cannabis Program.

Policy Approved: Brent Schultz

9/30/2020 Date:

Director of Planning and Building Services

Page 2



#### COUNTY OF MENDOCINO DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING SERVICES 860 North Bush Street · Ukiah · California · 95482 120 West Fir Street · Ft. Bragg · California · 95437

### 10A.17.100(A)(2) PILOT POLICY WITH CDFW Exhibit A Sensitive Species Performance Standards Review

(Rev. 9/30/2020)

Applicant Name:	
Current Mendocino County Cannabis Application or Permit Number (AG_XXXX-XXXX):	
APN:	
Site Address:	

#### SENSITIVE SPECIES PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

**Instructions**: The Cannabis Program Planner assigned will review SSHQ materials, and the CNDDB data base and any other resource information available to the Cannabis Program to determine if the information meets the performance standards outlined below.

If <u>all</u> performance standards are met, this completed review form is an approval determination that the cultivator has demonstrated that there will be a less than significant impact to sensitive species on the parcel of the proposed cultivation permit location. The assigned Cannabis Planner must complete all the appropriate reviews, check boxes, and clearance section with signature and review determination.

If one or more <u>do not</u> meet the performance standards, or one or more performance standards are unknown, the application must be referred to CDFW for final determination, per the 10A.17.100(A)(2) Pilot Policy for Sensitive Species Review in Cooperation with CDFW.

For the purposes of this document, the "project" includes, but is not limited to, existing or proposed access roads, cultivation areas, and associated structures and activities related to cultivation.

Meets performance standard				
Yes	No	Unknown		
			1. No Notice of Violation from CDFW	
			Meets performance standard:	
			<ul> <li>No Notice of Violation has been issued by CDFW for the parcel, or</li> </ul>	
			CDFW has provided written verification stating that the violation has been	
			resolved, or that the violation is in the process of being resolved satisfactorily and that approving the cultivation permit would not risk further impact to public trust	
			resources.	
			Does not meet performance standard:	
			<ul> <li>A Notice of Violation has been issued by CDFW and has not been resolved satisfactorily</li> </ul>	
			2. No obvious violations of Fish and Game Code (FGC), or unpermitted activities	
			that would require a permit from CDFW, are present on the project parcel, to the best of County staff's knowledge.	
			Staff knowledgeable about the most common types of FGC violations on cannabis	
			cultivation sites staff should make this assessment based on information in the cannabis	
			application and reference to other site information (e.g. aerial imagery).	
			The most violations most frequently observed relate to water diversion and/or stream	
			alteration (e.g. road/stream crossings, ponds, etc.), and water pollution (trash, sediment, and/or other materials). Refer to reference material from CDFW.	
			3. Project footprint has not expanded, and is not proposed for expansion. Grading, tree removal or vegetation removal is not proposed (nor has occurred) beyond what existed on January 1, 2016.	
			4. For projects with a surface water source (stream, spring, pond, or	
			offset/shallow/hydrologically connected well) for cultivation, domestic, or other consumptive use; stream crossings (culverts, bridges, fords, etc.); or other activities subject to Fish and Game Code Section 1602:	
			<ul> <li>a) Applicant has obtained a final LSA Agreement, verification an LSA Agreement is not needed, or an "operation of law" letter, <u>OR</u></li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>b) If applicant has not obtained one of the above documents: applicant has submitted an LSA Notification to CDFW.</li> </ul>	
			Obtaining a final LSA Agreement or other written documentation from CDFW - within the	
			one year cultivation permit term - shall be required (i.e. County cultivation permit shall not be renewed without a final LSA Agreement).	

Yes	No	Unknown	Exhibit A: Sensitive Species Review
			5. Project meets the following stream and wetland setbacks (for cultivation sites and associated infrastructure): a minimum of 150 feet from perennial streams/wetlands, and a minimum of 50 feet from intermittent streams, measured from the outer edge of the riparian vegetation or top of bank, whichever is greater. These areas should be identified and maintained as no-disturbance buffers.
			6. For projects with existing or proposed ponds
			Bullfrog monitoring and management plan has been submitted. Plan appears feasible and includes sufficient detail. For a project with an existing or proposed pond of any kind (on- or off-stream, including rainwater catchment), applicant shall implement a bullfrog monitoring and management plan. Projects proposing new ponds, or where a pond has been constructed within the past five years, should be referred to CDFW.
			<ol> <li>Permanent infrastructure associated with cannabis cultivation is located outside of the 100-year floodplain.</li> </ol>
			<ol> <li>Project shall completely avoid impacts to oak woodlands (genus Quercus) and provide an adequate protection buffer between oak woodlands and project activities.</li> </ol>
			<ol> <li>Cultivation site is not located within 0.25 mile of a known Northern Spotted Owl activity center or forested habitat contiguous with a known activity center.</li> </ol>
			<ul> <li>10. For projects using artificial light</li> <li>Light containment plan has been submitted. Plan appears feasible and includes sufficient detail.</li> <li>To protect wildlife and comply with the County ordinance, all lights used for the indoor or mixed light cultivation of cannabis shall be fully contained within structures or otherwise shielded to fully contain any light or glare involved in the cultivation process.</li> </ul>
			11. For projects using generators and other machinery
			Noise containment plan has been submitted. Plan appears feasible and includes sufficient detail. Noise generated on any portion of the project site shall not exceed 50 decibels when measured from 100 feet. This includes but is not limited to projects using a generator for any purpose, motorized trimming machines, fans, ventilation systems, and other machinery. The applicant shall submit information on containment structures, and a plan demonstrating that the generator or other machinery would not deliver, or have the potential to deliver noise exceeding the above limits.

Yes	No	Unknown	
			12. To protect fish and wildlife and comply with the State Water Resources Control Board Cannabis Policy:
			Cannabis cultivators shall only use geotextiles, fiber rolls, and other erosion control measures made of loose-weave mesh (e.g., jute, coconut (coir) fiber, or from other products without welded weaves). To minimize the risk of ensnaring and strangling wildlife, cannabis cultivators shall not use synthetic (e.g., plastic or nylon) monofilament netting materials for erosion control for any cannabis cultivation activities. This prohibition includes photo- or bio-degradable plastic netting.
			13. No evidence suggests that sensitive natural resources would be impacted by the proposed project (based on County staff scoping using CNDDB and other recommended resources, biological assessment or survey reports, or observation of the site).

### CANNABIS PROGRAM PLANNER REFERENCE RESOURCES USED

Reference Resources Used			
Yes	No	Unavailable	
			Most recent aerial imagery available (Google Earth, Bing maps, NAIP imagery, etc.).
			Imagery source:
			Date of Imagery:
			BIOS/California Natural Diversity Database
		•	Minimum recommended data sets:
			<ul> <li>California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) – 9 quad search</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Unprocessed Data from CNDDB Online Field Survey Form</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Northern spotted owl observations</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>California Streams</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>USFWS National Wetlands Inventory</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>NFHL 1% Annual Chance Flood (100 Year Flood)</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Vegetation - Mendocino Cypress and Related Vegetation</li> </ul>
			USFWS IPaC
			USGS soils maps
			CNPS Rare Plant Inventory

### CANNABIS PROGRAM PLANNER DETERMINATION

Meets criteria to be referred to CDFW			
Yes	No	Unknown	
			Evidence suggests there is a potential for the project to impact rare, sensitive, threatened or endangered species, or streams, springs, seeps, wetlands, oak woodlands, native grasslands, or other sensitive resources or habitats. (See also "Expansion and Biological Surveys" section below.)
			A new pond is proposed, or was constructed on the parcel within the past (approximately) five years.
			Applicant is unable (for any reason) to comply with performance standards detailed above.

## Expansion and Biological Surveys

Projects that meet Expansion Definition and Require a Biological Survey

Request	Significant	Significant	
Biological	impacts	impacts	
Survey	likely:	unlikely:	
from	refer to	consult with	
applicant	CDFW	CDFW	
			<ul> <li>If the project footprint has been or will be significantly expanded or modified, or significant vegetation removal or grading has occurred or is proposed beyond what was existing on January 1, 2016, and/or tree removal is proposed or occurred after May 4, 2017 (Meeting Standards Criteria #3):</li> <li>The County should require a biological survey, the type and scope of which would be based on the risk of potential impacts (proposed size increase, site characteristics, potential species or habitat to be affected, etc.)</li> <li>If impacts are unknown or likely to be significant, the County should refer the project (with completed biological survey) to CDFW. If preferred, the County may contact CDFW for recommendations regarding the type/scope of biological survey to require.</li> <li><i>If County staff believe impacts are likely to be minimal, County may refer the project to CDFW for a recommendation regarding whether a biological survey should be required.</i></li> </ul>

# Exhibit A: Sensitive Species Review CANNABIS PROGRAM PLANNER DETERMINATION CONTINUED

Meets all Performance Standards:	□ Yes □ No
Cannabis Planner Recommendation	Approval      Denial      CDFW Referral
of Proposed Project:	Biological Survey from Applicant due to Expansion Definition
Potential Impact Comments for CDFW Referral:	
CDFW Referral Required:	□ Yes □ No
CDFW Referral Sent Date:	Date: 🗆 N/A
Reviewing Planner's Name:	
Planner's Signature:	
Review Date:	



### COUNTY OF MENDOCINO

**CANNABIS DEPARTMENT** 125 EAST COMMERCIAL ST WILLITS CALIFORNIA • 95490 PHONE: 707-234-6680 FAX: 707-234-6337 cannabisprogram@mendocinocounty.org

https://www.mendocinocounty.org/departments/cannabis-department

#### 10A.17.100(A)(2)SUMMARY: PILOT POLICY WITH CDFW Exhibit A Sensitive Species Performance Standards Review

Applicant Name:	{Planner to insert applicant name}
Current Mendocino County Cannabis Application or Permit Number (AG_XXXX-XXXX):	{Planner to insert AG Number. If completing for Cultivation and Nursery, insert both AG numbers}
APN:	{Planner to insert ALL applicable APN's}
Site Address:	{Planner to insert site address for cultivation}

#### SENSITIVE SPECIES PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

**Instructions**: The Cannabis Program Planner assigned will review SSHQ materials, and the CNDDB data base and any other resource information available to the Cannabis Program to determine if the information meets the performance standards outlined below.

If <u>all</u> performance standards are met, this completed review form is an approval determination that the cultivator has demonstrated that there will be a less than significant impact to sensitive species on the parcel of the proposed cultivation permit location. The assigned Cannabis Planner must complete all the appropriate reviews, check boxes, and clearance section with signature and review determination.

If one or more <u>do not</u> meet the performance standards, or one or more performance standards are unknown, the application must be referred to CDFW for final determination, per the 10A.17.100(A)(2) Pilot Policy for Sensitive Species Review in Cooperation with CDFW.

For the purposes of this document, the "project" includes the cultivation site, as that term is defined by Mendocino County Code Section 10A.17.020, but is not limited to, existing or proposed access roads, cultivation areas, and associated structures and activities related to cultivation.

**Commented [LA1]:** This is too limiting, as it basically describes the footprint of the cultivation site. This would not include surrounding areas that might be impacted by cultivation activities. We may be able to refine what was previously included. However, limiting review to the cultivation footprint is not what was intended by CDFW or previous County staff, and does not provide adequate protection as outlined in the County's CEQA document.

**Commented [SM2R1]:** MCD agrees to leave in the existing language.

Meet	s per	formance	standard	
Yes	No	Unknown		
			<ol> <li>No Notice of Violation from CDFW <u>Meets performance standard</u>:         <ul> <li>No Notice of Violation has been issued by CDFW for the parcel, or</li> <li>CDFW has provided written verification stating that the violation has been resolved, or that the violation is in the process of being resolved satisfactorily and that approving the cultivation permit would not risk further impact to public trust resources.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Does not meet performance standard:         <ul> <li>A Notice of Violation has been issued by CDFW and has not been, or is not being, resolved satisfactorily</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	<b>Commented [JS3]:</b> Additional proposed change.
			2. No obvious violations of Fish and Game Code (FGC), or unpermitted activities that would require a permit from CDFW, are observed during the County's site inspection. present on the project parcel, to the best of County staff's knowledge. Staff knowledgeable about the most common types of FGC violations on cannabis cultivation sites staff should make this assessment based on information in the cannabis application and reference to other site information (e.g. aerial imagery). The most violations most frequently observed relate to water diversion and/or stream	<b>Commented [AL4]:</b> Since there are other ways to detect possible violations (e.g. site photos, verbal communication, aerial imagery), and regular site visits by County staff no longer seem standard, I propose we keep the existing language. It does not mean County staff will be responsible for being aware of violations that have not been brought to their attention.
			alteration (e.g. road/stream crossings, ponds, etc.), and water pollution (trash, sediment, and/or other materials). Refer to reference material from CDFW.	Commented [JS5R4]: MCD conducts site inspection before any CCBL issuance and before all renewals where the inspector should identify unpermitted water diversion
			<ol> <li>Project footprint has not expanded and is not proposed for expansion: Grading related to cultivation beyond what existed on January 1, 2016.</li> </ol>	and/or stream alteration, and water pollution to the CCBL Holder to ensure compliance with CDFW and 10A.17
			4. Project footprint has not expanded and is not proposed for expansion. Tree removal or vegetation removal is not proposed (nor has occurred) related to	<b>Commented [JS6]:</b> Additional proposed change due to the rejected change to the definition of the "project". Prior proposed change was to limit grading "for the project"
			cultivation beyond what existed on January 1, 2016.	<b>Commented [JS7]:</b> Same comment as above in #3.

County of Mendocino

1

			Exhibit A: Sensitive Species Review 5. For projects with a surface water source (stream, spring, pond, or offset/shallow/hydrologically connected well) for cultivation, domestic, or other consumptive use; stream crossings (culverts, bridges, fords, etc.); or other activities subject to Fish and Game Code Section 1602: <ul> <li>a) Applicant has obtained a final LSA Agreement, verification an LSA Agreement is not needed, or an "operation of law" letter, <u>OR</u></li> <li>b) If applicant has not obtained one of the above documents: applicant has submitted an LSA Notification to CDFW.</li> </ul> <li>Obtaining a final LSA Agreement or other written documentation from CDFW— within the one year cultivation permit term—shall be required (i.e. County cultivation permit shall not be renewed without a final LSA Agreement).</li>		<b>Commented [JS8]:</b> Additional proposal. MCD proposes to remove this language because the SSHR is preformed before CCBL issuance.
Yes	No	Unknown			
			<ul> <li>6. Project meets the following stream and wetland setbacks;</li> <li>a. If not enrolled under a Regional Water Board order prior to October 17, 2017, a minimum of 150 feet from perennial streams (or springs and ponds), a minimum of 100 feet from intermittent streams and wetlands, and a minimum of 50 feet from ephemeral streams. Distance to be measured from the bankfull stage or from the top edge of the waterbody bank in incised channels, whichever is more conservative.</li> </ul>	`	Formatted: Font: Not Bold
			<ul> <li>6-b. If enrolled under a Regional Water Board order prior to October 17, 2017, a minimum of 100 feet from perennial and intermittent streams, and 50 feet from ephemeral streams or wetlands. Distance to be measured from the bankfull stage or from the top edge of the waterbody bank in incised channels, whichever is more conservative. Project meets the following stream and wetland setbacks (for cultivation sites and associated infrastructure): a minimum of 150 feet from perennial streams/wetlands, and a minimum of 50 feet from intermittent streams, measured from the outer edge of the riparian vegetation or top of bank, whichever is greater. These areas should be identified and maintained as no disturbance buffers.</li> </ul>		Formatted Commented [JS9]: Additional proposed new language to align the review with the State Water Board Cannabis General Order's minimum riparian setbacks. Formatted: Font: Not Bold

County of Mendocino

Page 3 of 8

			Exhibit A: Sensitive Species Review	,		
			7. For projects with existing or proposed ponds	L.	[	Commented [AL10]: Agree.
			Bullfrog monitoring and management plan has been submitted. Plan appears feasible and includes sufficient detail.			<b>Commented [AL11]:</b> Agree, as this is better handled through CDFW's FGC 1600 et seq authority.
			For a project with an existing or proposed pond of any kind (on-or off stream, including rainwater catchment), applicant shall implement a bullfrog monitoring and management plan. Projects proposing new ponds, or where a pond has been constructed within the past five years, should be referred to CDFW.			
				•		Formatted: Normal
			8. Permanent infrastructure associated with cannabis cultivation is located outside of the 100-year floodplain.      9.7. Project shall completely avoid significant impacts to oak woodlands (genus			<b>Commented [AL12]:</b> Do not currently agree. I would like to understand the County's reasoning, and how many projects have been affected by this standard, or referred or otherwise delayed because of it.
			<i>Quercus</i> ) and provide an adequate protection buffer between oak woodlands and project activities.			Commented [JS13R12]: MCD agrees to leave in the existing language.
			<b>10.8.</b> Cultivation site is not located within 0.25 mile of a known Northern Spotted Owl activity center or forested habitat contiguous with a known activity			<b>Commented [AL14]:</b> Disagree. I would like to understand the County's reasoning, and how many projects have been affected by this.
			center.			Commented [JS15R14]: MCD agrees to leave the existing language.
			11.9. For projects using artificial light		- 11	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or
			Light containment plan has been submitted. Plan appears feasible and includes		- 1	Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0.5"
			sufficient detail.	_``	\ \	<b>Commented [JS16]:</b> Additional proposed language to better align with standards found in the MND.
			To protect wildlife and comply with the County ordinance, all lights used for the indoor or mixed light cultivation of cannabis shall be fully contained within structures or otherwise shielded to fully contain any light or glare involved in the cultivation process.		Ì	<b>Commented [JS17]:</b> Additional proposed revision. As-is, this is an overly subjective standard.
			12-10. For projects using generators and other machinery	ł		
			Noise containment plan has been submitted. Plan <mark>appears feasible and</mark> includes sufficient detail.		(	Commented [JS18]: Same comment as above in #9
			Noise generated on any portion of the project site shall not exceed 50.55 decibels when measured from 100 feet. This includes but is not limited to projects using a generator for any purpose, motorized trimming machines, fans, ventilation systems, and other machinery. The applicant shall submit information on containment structures, and a plan demonstrating that the generator or other machinery would not deliver, or have the potential to deliver noise exceeding the above limits.		[	<b>Commented [JS19]:</b> Additional proposed revisions to align with DE100, 101, & 103.
Yes	No	Unknown		]		

I

I

I

I

Page 4 of 8

	Exhibit A: Sensitive Species Review
	13-11. To protect fish and wildlife and comply with the State Water Resources Control Board Cannabis Policy:         Cannabis cultivators shall only use geotextiles, fiber rolls, and other erosion control measures made of loose-weave mesh (e.g., jute, coconut (coir) fiber, or from other products without welded weaves). To minimize the risk of ensnaring and strangling wildlife, cannabis cultivators shall not use synthetic (e.g., plastic or nylon) monofilament netting materials for erosion control for any cannabis cultivation activities. This prohibition includes photo- or bio-degradable plastic netting.
	<b>14-12.</b> No evidence suggests that sensitive natural resources would be impacted by the proposed project (based on County staff scoping using CNDDB and other recommended resources, biological assessment or survey reports, or observation of the site).

#### CANNABIS PROGRAM PLANNER REFERENCE RESOURCES USED

Yes	No	Unavailable	
			Most recent aerial imagery available (Google Earth, Bing maps, NAIP imagery, etc.). Imagery source: Date of Imagery:
			BIOS/California Natural Diversity Database https://apps.wildlife.ca.gov/bios6/Default.aspx?bookmark=326 Minimum recommended data sets:
			<ul> <li>California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) – 9 quad search</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Unprocessed Data from CNDDB Online Field Survey Form</li> </ul>
			Northern spotted owl observations
			California Streams
			USFWS National Wetlands Inventory
			<ul> <li>NFHL 1% Annual Chance Flood (100 Year Flood)</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Vegetation - Mendocino Cypress and Related Vegetation</li> </ul>
			USEWS IPaC
			USGS soils maps
			CNPS Rare Plant Inventory

**Commented [AL20]:** I do not agree with the County omitting any reference material (including USFWS resources) that will give planners information regarding what sensitive species may be impacted by the project, including NSO observations and the California Streams layer. I would like to understand the County's reasoning for proposing this.

**Commented [JS21R20]:** MCD agrees to leave the existing language.

**Commented [SM22]:** MCD believes this language does not need to be deleted.

**Commented [JS23]:** Is this redundant given all other resources?

#### CANNABIS PROGRAM PLANNER DETERMINATION

County of Mendocino

Ì

Page 5 of 8

Mee	ts crit	eria to be	referred to CDFW	ſ	
Yes	No	Unknown			
			Evidence suggests there is a potential for the project to significantly impact rare, sensitive,		
			threatened or endangered species, or streams, springs, seeps, wetlands, oak woodlands, _ native grasslands, or other sensitive resources or habitats. (See also "Expansion and		<b>Commented [AL24]:</b> I strongly disator remove this language.
			Biological Surveys" section below.)	,	Commented [JS25R24]: What was
			A new pond is proposed, or was constructed on the parcel within the past (approximately)		removed? It appears that there was j "significantly" in this sentence.
			five years.	K	Commented [JS26]: Does this requ
			Applicant is unable (for any reason) to comply with performance standards detailed		referral if even if all questions were a If not, this should be removed.
	1	1	above.		Commented [LA27R26]: It should

#### **Expansion and Biological Surveys** Projects that meet Expansion Definition and Require a Biological Survey

Request	Significant	Significant			as is.
Biological Survey	impacts likely: refer	impacts unlikely:			Commented [LA2 understand the reas
from applicant	to CDFW	consult with CDFW		1	Commented [JS3 language.
			If the project footprint has been or will be <mark>significantly</mark> expanded or modified, or <mark>significant</mark> vegetation removal or grading has occurred or is		Commented [JS3 performance standa
			proposed beyond what was existing on January 1, 2016, and/or tree removal is proposed or occurred after May 4, 2017 (Meeting Standards Criteria #3):		Commented [JS3 please recommend?
			<ul> <li>The County should require a biological survey, the type and scope of which would be based on the risk of potential impacts (proposed size</li> </ul>		Commented [LA3 "major vegetation r be a useful parallel.
			increase, site characteristics, potential species or habitat to be affected, etc.)		Commented [JS3 to determine major for your review with
			<ul> <li>If impacts are unknown or likely to be significant, the County should refer the project (with completed biological survey) to CDFW. If preferred, the County may contact CDFW for recommendations regarding the type/scope of biological survey to require.</li> </ul>		Commented [JS3
			If County staff believe impacts are likely to be minimal, County may refer the project to CDFW for a recommendation regarding whether a biological survey should be required.		

isagree with the proposal

vas being proposed to be s just the addition of

quire an automatic answered "yes" above?

A27R26]: It should probably be refined, e.g. is the pond permitted either through the County or CDFW? If unpermitted it definitely should be referred; if on-stream and not permitted by CDFW, it should be referred.

Commented [JS28R26]: Got confirmation that this is an 

**429]:** Currently disagree as I do not asoning for removing this.

30R29]: MCD agrees to leave the existing

**31]:** Removed due to reference to dards.

32]: Needs objective standards. Can you d?

A33R32]: How does the County define removal" in the coastal zone? This might

34R32]: The County uses a questionnaire or vegetation removal. I will send it along ith our comments.

35]: Same as above.

County of Mendocino

#### CANNABIS PROGRAM PLANNER DETERMINATION CONTINUED

Meets all Performance Standards:	🗆 Yes 🗆 No
Cannabis Planner Recommendation of Proposed Project:	<ul> <li>Approval</li> <li>Denial</li> <li>CDFW Referral</li> <li>Biological Survey from Applicant due to Expansion</li> <li>Definition</li> </ul>
Potential Impact Comments for CDFW Referral:	
CDFW Referral Required:	🗆 Yes 🗆 No
CDFW Referral Sent Date:	Date: 🗆 N/A
Reviewing Planner's Name:	
Planner's Signature:	
Review Date:	

#### Attachments Required for CDFW Referral:

Exhibit A Aerial Image from 2016 Aerial Image from most current (Either 2020 NAIP from BIOS or Google Earth) LSAA - Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement SSHQ - Sensitive Species Habitat Questionnaire Site Plan Cultivation & Operations Plan

#### **Optional:**

Bullfrog Management Plan (Must provide if a pond is on site) Artificial Light Management Plan (must provide if artificial light is being used) Generator Noise Management Plan (must provide if a generator is used) NOV's if indicated Biological reports, if any exist Reports from other professionals relating to tree removal or other environmental issues, if they <mark>exist</mark> County of Mendocino

Page 7 of 8

<u>Who to Email:</u>

Angela.liebenberg@wildlife.ca.gov; Jessica.ryan@wildlife.ca.gov;

County of Mendocino

Page 8 of 8



# Mendocino County Board of Supervisors Agenda Summary

### Item #: 2d)

### To: GENERAL GOVERNMENT STANDING COMMITTEE

From: Cannabis

Meeting Date: February 28, 2024

Department Contact:	Sara McBurney	Phone:	707-234-6680
Department Contact:	Steve Dunnicliff	Phone:	707-463-4441

Time Allocated for Item: 30 Minutes

### Agenda Title:

Discussion and Possible Action Including Providing Recommendations to Staff and a Referral to the Board of Supervisors Recommending Approval of the County of Mendocino Cannabis Department Monthly Update for January 2024

(Sponsor: Cannabis)

#### Recommended Action/Motion:

Provide recommendations to staff; and a referral to the Board of Supervisors recommending approval of the County of Mendocino Cannabis Department Monthly Update for January 2024.

### Previous Board/Board Committee Actions:

On April 19, 2022, the Board directed Department staff to provide a written update during the second Board Meeting of every month. On August 16, 2022, the Board referred the topic of Cannabis to the General Government Committee.

### Summary of Request/Referral:

It is requested that the General Government Committee provide recommendations to staff regarding the above referenced monthly update and a referral to the Board of Supervisors recommending approval of the Mendocino Cannabis Department's Monthly Update.

### Supplemental Information Available Online At: N/A

### Fiscal Details:

source of funding: N/A current f/y cost: N/A budget clarification: N/A annual recurring cost: N/A budgeted in current f/y (if no, please describe): N/A revenue agreement: N/A

CEO Liaison: Executive Office

# CEO Review: Yes CEO Comments:

### FOR COB USE ONLY

Executed By: Deputy Clerk Date: Date Executed Final Status: Item Status Executed Item Type: item Number:



department

# Mendocino County Cannabis Department Deliverables

DATE:	February 29, 2024
то:	Mendocino County General Government Committee
FROM:	Mendocino County Cannabis Department
RE:	Monthly Activity Report – January 2024

### **Mendocino County Cannabis Department Mission Statement**

"The Mendocino County Cannabis Department's mission is to issue cannabis cultivation licenses in accordance with regulations as approved by the Board of Supervisors and ensure that all program cultivation sites comply with all applicable environmental, community safety, and regulatory performance standards. The Department shall implement these services fairly and equitably in a timely, professional, and compassionate manner."

### **Department Priorities**

The Mendocino County Cannabis Department ("MCD") has identified the following priorities via Board of Supervisor ("BOS") directives. These priorities are beyond the normal duties required for normal business operations and does not represent the entirety of all assigned objectives.

<u>Planning</u> Staff Support Process Management Streamlining Priority Reviews (PH. I & II DCC timelines) Contract Planners Phase III Applications Monthly Reporting Public Meetings **Administration** 

Staff Support Process Management Fiscal and Budget Grant Management PRAs

All the priorities listed above shall support the primary function of MCD, as outlined in the mission statement, which is to issue cannabis cultivation licenses within the regulatory framework.

### **MCD** Activity

The total workload of MCD is best reflected by the following two primary data sets:

Active Commercial Cannabis Cultivation Applications submitted to MCD: 313

	Active Commercial Cannabis Cultivation Business Lice	enses ("CCBL")	459
To January B	otal: Breakdown		772
•	CCBL Renewals issued:	10	
С	CCBL Initial issuance:	31	
С	CCBL Withdrawn:	36	
С	CCBL Denial:	2	
Т	otal number of CCBLs processed for the month:	79	

### **Issued MCD CCBL Status**

When a CCBL application meets all required criteria and is approved by MCD it becomes an issued CCBL. Issued CCBLs are renewed annually and require a state license issued by the Department of Cannabis Control ("DCC") to actively cultivate cannabis. The main objective is for all applicants and licensees to have an issued county CCBL as well as an annual state license. All provisional CCBLs have a December 31, 2024, deadline for transition to annual.

### Active Commercial CCBLs issued by MCD

)
11
1
52

Annual 2024 MCD CCBL Renewals (Applies to the issued licenses above)

Renewals Issued YTD:	10
Renewals pending (waiting for review by staff):	57

### Mendocino County Approximate Square Footage<sup>2</sup>

Approximate total of issued square footage for cultivation: 4,228,000

CCBL Subtype	Number Issued	Approx. Sq. Ft.
1	53	265,000
2	312	3,120,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Renewals are accounted for within the Issued Licenses data set.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  "Type 1" (5,000) square feet of total plant canopy - for medium outdoor, indoor or mixed light cultivation

<sup>&</sup>quot;Type 2" (10,000) square feet of total plant canopy - for large outdoor, indoor, or mixed light cultivation "Type 4" (22,000) square feet of total plant canopy for the cultivation of cannabis nursery stock and/or seed production

<sup>&</sup>quot;Type C" (2,500) square feet of total canopy - for small outdoor, indoor, or mixed light cultivation

4	64	768,000
С	30	75,000

Approximate total of under-review square footage for cultivation: 2,836,000

CCBL Subtype	Number Under Review	Approx. Sq. Ft.
1	31	155,000
2	209	2,090,000
4	43	516,000
С	30	75,000

### **MCD CCBL Applications**

Information regarding current "under review" applications is as follows:

Review Data	
Number of Applications and Renewals assigned to contract planners:	238
Number of Applications and Renewals assigned to MCD planners:	209
Department Log Jams	
California Department of Fish and Wildlife ("CDFW")	
Response Required and Past 45-Day Deadline: Total Number of Days Since Referral Sent to CDFW: Total Number MCD Policy Has Allowed to Move Forward with Issuance:	28 referrals 8 - 226 days 63 Applications
CDFW Referral Responses Received:	55 Responses
Longest Response Time: 226 days	

Longest Response Time: 226 days Quickest Response Time: 28 days Average Response Time: 74 days

### Non-responsive Applicants and CCBL Holders

The Department sent out a total of 210 notices to applicants during the month of December as we initiated review of applications without an active DCC license on file.

During the month of January, the Department sent out 135 certified USPS mail notifications in addition to regular USPS mail notifications and follow-up emails to applicants deemed "non-responsive applicants" due to no response received from the original December outreach.

In total, the Department has received 120 responses. Failure by the CCBL applicant or CCBL holder to respond to the Department's outreach may result in the Department issuing CCBL Denial or CCBL termination based on non-responsiveness.

### New Applications

All new Phase III CCBL applications should be applied for online. To start the application process, please visit the Department's <u>Accela webpage</u>. Please note, the application process may take more than one hour. Applicants may also pause at any time throughout the application process and save their progress.

Furthermore, the Department is excited to announce there is now a total of 7 new "Accela How-To's" which are published as applicant guidance materials on the Accela webpage.

As a reminder, the submission of a CCBL application through Accela and the assigned license number does not allow you to cultivate until all County and State licenses have been acquired.

### Site Inspections

Virtual site inspections are a part of the new streamline ordinance. The Department will be using the below CCBL Renewal Quality Control for Inspections:

- New Phase III Applications must complete an initial physical onsite inspection
- A physical site inspection must be conducted at least every 5 years
- At least 5% of all in program applications and CCBLs will be randomly selected for a priority inspection annually.
- Factors utilized to determine whether renewal or random inspections will be physical or remote may include:
  - Does the CCBL have a confirmed deviation between submitted site plan and aerial imagery and/or history of non-compliance with the Department or other regulatory agencies?
  - If viewed remotely does the CCBL location appear to be consistent with the most current site plan on file?

Number of Inspections Completed Month of January:	28
Miles Traveled Month of January:	933

### Is MCD on track?

Please find the Department Gantt Chart attached which reflects goals and expected timelines. The Department is working towards the DCC provisional license cultivation deadline of December 31, 2024.

- Internal staff will focus on Phase III applications, site inspections, renewals, and applications with no DCC provisional licenses, and applications with an annual license.
- Contract planners are focused on under-review applications with expiring DCC provisional licenses by order of expiration and renewals with a DCC provisional license as a condition of the contract planner funding source, the Local Jurisdiction Assistance Grant Program ("LJAGP"). As a part of their review, contract planners will assist with applicable Administrative Permits ("AP"), and essential Accela input.

### **Environmental Review**

### Environmental Impact Report ("EIR"):

The DCC is leading CEQA review in connection with annual state licensure of cannabis cultivation in Mendocino County. DCC and a consultant, Ascent Environmental, are working to prepare a programmatic EIR addressing state licensure of cannabis cultivation in Mendocino County. As CEQA requires, this process will include opportunities for public review and input. Once complete, this programmatic EIR will allow for streamlined CEQA review of license applicants' specific cultivation sites in Mendocino County, using site-specific addenda. This process may also result in the identification of specific sites that can satisfy CEQA using other documentation, such as negative declarations or mitigated negative declarations; if so, it may be possible to complete environmental review as to those specific sites without awaiting completion of the programmatic EIR. Meanwhile, and of significant note, while this CEQA review process is underway, DCC can continue to renew provisional cultivation licenses in Mendocino County that otherwise satisfy applicable renewal requirements through December 31, 2024.

### **Ordinance Streamlining Update**

MCD, in coordination with the General Government Committee, held a special meeting for discussion and possible action including providing recommendations to staff and a referral to the BOS regarding Chapter 10A.17 on October 10, 2023.

The new proposed streamline ordinance went in front of the BOS for first reading, discussion, and approval on January 23, 2024. The second reading is scheduled as a consent item for the February 6, 2024, BOS meeting. If approved, the Department anticipates the new ordinance would go into effect on March 8, 2024.

### Local Equity Entrepreneur Program ("LEEP") Grant

The State's Cannabis Equity Grants Program for Local Jurisdictions aims to advance economic justice for populations and communities impacted by cannabis prohibition and the War on Drugs (WoD) by providing support to local jurisdictions as they promote equity in California and eliminate barriers to entering the newly regulated cannabis industry for equity program applicants and licensee. The County has submitted applications for LEEP funding in the five rounds which have opened so far; three of those applications were funded, one was not funded, and one is awaiting award notification. With \$6 million awarded from the State and administered by the Governor's Office of Business & Economic Development ("GO-Biz") and \$100,000 in matching grant funds from the BOS, the program offers applicants waivers of cannabis business expenses, direct grants funds for start-up and ongoing expenses and direct technical assistance based on individual needs and circumstances. The Department has distributed \$5,125,531.55 in LEEP funding to verified local equity applicants via direct grant and fee relief to date.

### Audit Findings and Funding

LEEP Round 3 (CEG-2022-387) close out was submitted for review to Go-Biz on November 28, 2023. The Department received a final audit memo from GO-Biz confirming a total of \$54,592.75 in Direct Technical Assistance funding that will need to be returned from Round 3.

No new LEEP direct grant applications or waivers will be accepted at this time as all funding has been exhausted.

The Department has applied for the 2023-24 Grant Solicitation (Round 5). Grant Evaluation and Award announcement was not made during the month of January. The Department recommends priority funding to the Tax Relief applications from verified equity applicants submitted on or before the deadline of April 25, 2023, that were not paid with previous LEEP funds. In addition to providing Direct Grant and Fee Relief support to our locally verified applicants, with Round 5 funding, the application also included funding to update Mendocino County's equity assessment. Furthermore, if awarded Round 5 funding, the Department plans to utilize a portion of the awarded funds to enter into a contract agreement with our procured vendor Canna Business Services who will offer Direct Technical Assistance to our equity applicants.

### Equity Eligibility Criteria (Proposed)

The Department has revamped our Local Equity Criteria which was included as a part of the Round 5 application. If approved by GO-Biz, the Department plans to reach out to equity applicants who previously qualified solely under the 5-mile radius criterion in an attempt to requalify these individuals under new equity criteria so that they will be eligible to receive grant funding and fee relief.

### LEEP Direct Grant Amendments

The Department opened a LEEP amendment window on December 15, 2023, for applicants that have an already approved LEEP Grant funded contract but would like to amend their previously approved Schedule A and B. If an applicant would like to amend their current LEEP contract, they should email <u>cannabisprogram@mendocinocounty.gov</u> to start this process.

### Local Jurisdiction Assistance Grant Program (LJAGP)

Mendocino County was one of 21 local jurisdictions awarded funds from Department of Cannabis Control (DCC) for the LJAGP. This one-time funding is to assist local jurisdictions with the greatest need to transition provisional licensees to annual licenses. In January 2022, the County was awarded over \$17.5 million in assistance, a portion of which was set aside by County staff to offer direct grants and fee waivers to qualified provisional license holders located within the unincorporated areas of Mendocino County. On February 17, 2023, the County received notification that the LJAGP Grant was under routine audit; to date, this audit has not yet been cleared.

The LJAGP Grant Program Manual has been approved by the DCC. The direct grant program is a reimbursement model with award amounts available of up to \$25,000.00 per applicant. The direct grant application window is currently expected to open on March 1, 2024. Applicants will be required to submit receipts totaling the requested award amount, and a copy of their DCC Provisional or Annual License along with their application. Additionally, applicants *may* be required to submit a W-9, Valid Liability Insurance, and any applicable fee relief requests. Supplemental Grant Application document requests and information will be added to the Department's website when available.

The Department will be hosting a LJAGP Grant Application Workshop on February 23, 2024. The Department invites anyone who may be interested to join us in person in the Agriculture/University of California Cooperative Extension Large Conference Room, 890 N. Bush Street, Ukiah CA 95482 or via Zoom from 8:30am-11:30am.

### Budget

MCD's Fiscal Year 2023-2024 budget shows the Department is currently projecting to end the year as overbudget.

### **Department Staffing levels**

As of December 2023:	MCD Planners:	4
	Contract Planners:	20 (9 Full Time Equivalent ("FTE"))
As of January 2024: MCD	Planners:	4
	Contract Planners:	20 (10 FTE)
Filled positions:	Department Head (In	nterim)
	Senior Program Man	ager
	Program Administrate	or
	Planner I/II (x3)	
	Planner I/Tech	
	Administrative Assist	ant

### Current Recruitments: None

For additional and up-to-date information regarding the Cannabis Department Activities please sign up for "Canna-Notes" through the county's eNotification system at the following link: <u>https://www.mendocinocounty.org/government/cannabis-cultivation/enotifications</u>

# **CCBL Application Status**

### Mendocino County Cannabis Department January 2024 Update

Department Deadline: December	r <b>31, 2024</b>									2024					
				January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
Milestone description	Total	Total Months Needed	Hours												
MCD Assigned Applications				_											
Phase 1/2	135	8.4375	25												
Phase 3	17	1.0625	25		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Renewals	19	0.7125	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4Leaf Assigned Applications															
Phase 1/2	160	2.380952381	25											Status	
Renewals	70	0.625	15		-	-	-	-					Initial Issu	ance	
Total Applications													Ongoing V	Vorkload	
CCBL Issued	459												Workload	Phase Out	
Under Review	313														



Mendocino County Board of Supervisors Agenda Summary

### **Item #:** 3a)

### To: GENERAL GOVERNMENT STANDING COMMITTEE

From: Executive Office

Meeting Date: February 28, 2024

Department Contact:	Atlas Pearson
Department Contact:	Darcie Antle

Phone:707-463-4441Phone:707-463-4441

Item Type: Regular Agenda

Time Allocated for Item: 5 Minutes

Agenda Title: Approval of Minutes of January 24, 2024 Regular Meeting

### Recommended Action/Motion:

Approve minutes of January 24, 2024 regular meeting.

CEO Liaison: Executive Office CEO Review: Yes

CEO Comments:

### FOR COB USE ONLY

Executed By: Deputy Clerk Date: Date Executed

Final Status: Item Status Executed Item Type: item Number: GLENN MCGOURTY 1st District Supervisor Chair MAUREEN MULHEREN 2nd District Supervisor Vice-Chair JOHN HASCHAK 3rd District Supervisor DAN GJERDE 4th District Supervisor TED WILLIAMS 5th District Supervisor



James Ross Interim County Counsel

DARCIE ANTLE Chief Executive Officer/ Clerk of the Board

> COUNTY ADMINISTRATION CENTER 501 Low Gap Road, Room 1070 Ukiah, CA 95482 (707) 463-4441 (t) (707) 463-5649 (f) cob@mendocinocounty.org

# **MENDOCINO COUNTY GENERAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE**

### **ACTION MINUTES – January 24, 2024**

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF MENDOCINO - STATE OF CALIFORNIA FAIR STATEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS (PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE §25150)

### AGENDA ITEM NO. 1 - OPEN SESSION (PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND ROLL CALL 9:00 A.M.)

**Present:** Committee Member/Supervisor Glenn McGourty and Committee Member/Chair John Haschak. Chair Haschak presiding.

**Staff Present:** Steve Dunnicliff, Deputy Chief Executive Officer; James Ross, Interim County Counsel; and Lillian Bearden, Deputy Clerk of the Board.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by: Sara McBurney.

#### 2A) DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION INCLUDING PROVIDING RECOMMENDATIONS TO STAFF AND A REFERRAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO CANNABIS DEPARTMENT MONTHLY UPDATE FOR DECEMBER 2023 - SPONSOR: CANNABIS

**Presenter/s:** Sara McBurney, Senior Program Manager, Cannabis; Jared Schwass, Deputy County Counsel; and Steve Dunnicliff, Deputy Chief Executive Officer.

Public Comment: Steve Amato; Hannah Nelson; Corrine Powell; and Chantal Simonpietri.

**Committee Action:** Upon motion by Supervisor McGourty, seconded by Supervisor Haschak, IT IS ORDERED that the General Government Committee accepts the reports; and recommends a referral to the Board of Supervisors recommending approval of the County of Mendocino Cannabis Department Monthly Update for December 2023. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 2 – Supervisor McGourty and Supervisor Haschak

No: 0 – None

Absent: 0 – None

COMMITTEE RECESS: 10:35 A.M. – 10:48 A.M.

#### 2B) DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION INCLUDING DIRECTION REGARDING THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MENDOCINO COUNTY'S 2024 LEGISLATIVE PLATFORM - SPONSOR: EXECUTIVE OFFICE

**Presenter/s:** Kelly Hansen, Administrative Analyst, Executive Office; Steve Dunnicliff, Deputy Chief Executive Officer; and Jim Ross, Interim County Counsel.

Public Comment: Steve Amato; and Hannah Nelson.

**Committee Action:** Upon motion by Supervisor McGourty, seconded by Supervisor Haschak, IT IS ORDERED that the General Government Committee recommends a referral to the Board of Supervisors recommending approval of the Mendocino County's Legislative Platform as amended. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 2 – Supervisor McGourty and Supervisor Haschak

No: 0 – None

Absent: 0 – None

#### **AGENDA ITEM NO. 3 – OTHER BUSINESS**

#### 3A) APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF DECEMBER 18, 2023 REGULAR MEETING - SPONSOR: EXECUTIVE OFFICE/CLERK OF THE BOARD

Presenter/s: Lillian Bearden, Deputy Clerk of the Board.

Public Comment: None.

**Committee Action:** Upon motion by Supervisor McGourty, seconded by Supervisor Haschak, IT IS ORDERED that the General Government Committee approves minutes of the December 18, 2023, regular meeting. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 2 – Supervisor McGourty and Supervisor Haschak

No: 0 – None

Absent: 0 – None

### **3B)** PUBLIC EXPRESSION

**Presenter/s:** Hannah Nelson; Paul Hansbury; and David A.

### 3C) ANNOUNCEMENTS

None.

THERE BEING NOTHING FURTHER TO COME BEFORE THE COMMITTEE, THE MENDOCINO COUNTY GENERAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE ADJOURNED AT 11:40 A.M.

ATLAS M.A. PEARSON
Senior Deputy Clerk of the Board

JOHN HASCHAK, Chair

### NOTICE: PUBLISHED MINUTES OF THE MENDOCINO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETINGS

- Effective March 1, 2009, Board of Supervisors minutes are produced in "action only" format
- LIVE WEB STREAMING OF BOARD MEETINGS is available via the County's YouTube Channel, which can be found here: <u>https://www.youtube.com/@MendocinoCountyVideo</u>
- Minutes are considered draft until adopted/approved by the Board of Supervisors
- The Board of Supervisors' action minutes are also posted on the County of Mendocino website at: https://mendocino.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx
- For technical assistance or any requests for official meeting records of the Mendocino County Board of Supervisors, please contact the Clerk of the Boards Office at (707) 463-4441
- Additional resource information: <u>https://www.mendocinocounty.org/government/board-of-supervisors</u>

### Thank you for your interest in the proceedings of the Mendocino County Board of Supervisors