

Hi John,

Thanks for your reply.

I think having a budget line item off by a factor of \$650,000 to be reconciled at the end of the year is a little cavalier, yes, and not in line with what I consider to be sound business practices.

It's not that the number is wrong (I have been an elected official myself), it's that fiscal responsibility is more important this year than ever.

Yet the need for an Oversight Committee is more than that. Not only do I think every single office ought to have some checks and balances, I do, but that the nation is systematically calling for greater reigns and accountability on Law Enforcement. This is clearly the time to act - law enforcement nationwide is being called out on acts that obviate a citizen's expectations of constitutionality and safety, identifying clear biases and outright abuse; such behavior is no longer going to be tolerated.

San Francisco just came out stating repeated offenses would be grounds for dismissal, which metric I find common in every position, every career path, so I do not find this unusual in Law Enforcement. Indeed, I think, this is a pretty modest item you are considering and I hope Oversight passes de rigueur.

With regard to the social media post you keep referring to, I am on Facebook, but do not recall seeing this one - would you kindly tag me in it? I learned about the \$0 line item, which for me was the pebble in the road, then the trifling correction, on the several day budget hearings of about two weeks ago.

Also, I am very appreciative of the three Supervisors who do use Social Media to communicate - it creates a better informed public and greater transparency, which is all that is being asked anyway - now of Law Enforcement. I do not recall any of the three Supervisors ever publishing anything errant or untoward.

Thank you -

Scott Roat