ELECTIONS

Rent control tanking, gas tax on fumes, Newsom, Feinstein cruising, poll finds

By John Woolfolk

CALIFORNIA » A new poll Wednesday found that even with two-thirds of California voters calling housing affordability a big problem, support for a hotly contested ballot measure that would greenlight rent control expansion is crumbling.

The San Francisco-based Public Policy Institute of California's poll just weeks before Election Day also found that an initiative to repeal a gas tax is failing to gain traction, while Democrats Gavin Newsom in the governor's race and U.S. Sen. Dianne Feinstein are cruising to the finish line with comfortable leads over their rivals.

"The biggest change in the survey was the growth in opposition to Proposition 10," said PPIC President and CEO Mark Baldassare, referring to the ballot initiative that would expand local governments' authority to enact rent control on residential property.

Just 25 percent of likely California voters said they would vote for Proposition 10, down sharply from 36 percent who said they supported the initiative in the PPIC's last survey published Sept. 26 and well short of the majority needed for approval. Opposition soared to 60 percent from 48 percent in September. The poll found 15 percent remain undecided, almost unchanged from 16 percent a month ago.

Proposition 10 would repeal the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act of 1995, which prohibits California cities from limiting how much landlords charge for newer apartments built since then. The act also prohibits rent controls on townhomes or condominiums and lets landlords of paign was gaining ground. older rent-controlled prop-

a new occupant after a tenant moves out.

At a time when housing affordability has reached crisis levels in California's urban areas, debate has raged on whether more rent control will ease the burden on tenants or make it worse.

The poll found that 66 percent of likely voters consider housing affordability a "big problem" and that 23 percent say it's "somewhat of a problem.'

Vernon, a Michael 26-year-old who works in hospital administration at Stanford, said that with rent on his one-bedroom Mountain View apartment approaching \$3,000 a month, he'd like to see Prop 10 pass.

"Rent at my apartment complex has risen about \$300 per month within the last two years," said Vernon, who participated in the poll and is registered to vote with no party preference.

But Dan Bosshart, a 61-year-old Lafayette lawyer and Democrat, was among poll respondents inclined to vote against Prop 10, arguing it will drive up rent by reducing supply of rental prop-

"You create a disincentive for people to invest in rental real estate," Bosshart said. 'We just don't have enough rental housing."

Opponents, including business groups and property owners, have spent more than \$18 million fighting Prop 10. Supporters, including progressive and labor groups, have spent more than \$12 million promoting it.

Matt Regan, senior vice president of public policy for the Bay Area Council, a business-sponsored public policy advocacy organization that opposes Prop 10, was glad to hear the opposition cam-

"The reason we have an

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Mendocino County Board of Supervisors at its regular

meeting on Friday, November 16, 2018, at 9:00 a.m., to be held in the Board of Supervisors

Chambers, 501 Low Gap Road, Ukiah, California, will conduct a public hearing on the

following project at the time listed or as soon thereafter as the item(s) may be heard.

AGENT: Paul Junker, Michael Baker International (Consultant)

erties charge market rate to affordability crisis is because tion, a PPIC poll in May had we have a shortage," Regan said Wednesday. "It's a law of $economics-price\ controls$ limit supply. This is a price control. We see it as another impact on supply, which is the last thing we need in this

situation." The Prop 10 campaign said Wednesday the latest poll "is out of line with our internal polling as well as other external polls, and we firmly believe that this ballot measure will finish strong." A USC Dornsife-Los Angeles Times poll this month had 41 percent in support and 37 percent opposed, while SurveyUSA had 35 percent in support and 45 percent op-

The survey found that Proposition 6, which would repeal a 12-cent-per-gallon tax on gasoline, had made insignificant gains in the final weeks of the campaign. Support inched up to just 41 percent among likely voters in the October poll from 39 percent in September, while opposition ebbed to 48 percent from 52 percent last month, and 11 percent were undecided.

The gas tax increase is targeted for road and transit construction, and many say they're willing to pay.

"We have a lot of roads in need of repair," Bosshart said. "As cars have gotten more efficient, there's less gas being sold, so I think it's way past due to raise that

In the governor's race, the latest poll found Newsom's support among likely voters has slid to 49 percent from 51 percent last month and 55 percent in July. But Republican John Cox is stuck at 38 percent support, virtually unchanged from 39 percent a month ago and only slightly better than his 31 percent showing in July. Before the June primary elec-

Newsom at 25 percent and Cox at 19 percent. Newsom ended up getting 33.7 percent and Cox 25.4 percent.

Feinstein, the Senate's oldest member at 85, regained some ground lost in September amid Supreme Court confirmation hearings for Brett Kavanaugh. Republicans and her opponent, fellow Democratic state Sen. Kevin de León, criticized her handling of allegations by a Palo Alto professor that Kavanaugh had sexually assaulted her when they were in high school.

The latest poll found Feinstein's support among likely voters has risen to 43 percent from 40 percent in September, though still down from 46 percent in July. De León's support was 27 percent, down slightly from 29 percent last month, though still above his 24 percent showing in June.

Among other findings, the poll found that competitive races for congressional seats in the House of Representatives, where Democrats are hoping to wrest majority control from Republicans, are too close to call in the state.

The poll found Democratic candidates were preferred 60 percent to 30 percent in Democratic-held districts, while Republican candidates were preferred 55 percent to 40 percent in Republican-held districts.

In the 11 California districts deemed competitive by the Cook Political Report – nine of which are currently held by Republicans — likely voters were divided, with 49 percent favoring the Republican candidate and 44 percent the Democratic candidate.

"As we look at the competitive House races in California, it's a tossup," Baldassare said.

STATE POLITICS

NorCal vs. SoCal in battle of the ballots

By Laurel Rosenhall

California is politically lopsided: Most of the people live in the south, but most of the political power is based in the north.

For the last several years, the majority of politicians elected statewide have been northern Californians—including the governor, lieutenant governor, schools superintendent and both U.S. senators.

That could change after November's election, because a striking number of statewide races this year pit a NorCal candidate against SoCal candidate, testing the political power and competing priorities of the Golden State's two most populous regions.

But don't count on it. Northern California is likely to continue to dominate for reasons that largely boil down to this: People in the Bay Area just vote a lot more than those in Los Angeles. Economic and demographic changes overlap with voting trends, together situating California's political nucleus in the heavily

around San Francisco. "There is some built-in disadvantage for statewide candidates coming from the Los Angeles area," said Mark Baldassare, president of the Public Policy Institute of California.

Democratic region in and

"The voter turnout and participation is disappointing in L.A., compared to the rest of the state.

Even though Los Angeles is the state's most populous county, it has the lowest turnout rate for registered voters. Of the 58 counties, L.A.'s turnout was dead last in the 2014 election and second-to-last in the June primary. Partici-Angeles County that voters defy the recent trend.

ballots than voters in the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area—even though Los Angeles County has 1.2 million more people registered to vote.

Turnout is better in other populous SoCal counties such as Orange and San Diego, but still not as strong as in the Bay Area.

"It's a tale of two economies. Where you have a declining middle class, you have fewer voters and less civic participation," said Mike Madrid, a GOP political consultant with expertise in Latino voting trends.

Southern California is home to a greater share of Latinos than the Bay Area, and has many more people living in poverty—both characteristics correlated with low voting. Per-capita income is much higher in the Bay Area and jobs there are being created faster. That not only means people are more likely to vote but also gives candidates from the region a stronger network for fundraising.

"As the economy has separated, so has our democracy," Madrid said. "The nine-county Bay Area is becoming whiter, wealthier and older. And that's creating a power base that is driving the political leadership and discourse for the rest of the state."

Of course, voters don't always choose the candidate from their own region, and a home address in the Bay Area is no guarantee of a candidate's success. Other factors — such as politics, fundraising and the power of incumbency — also come into play.

But with seven of the nine statewide races on November's ballot featuring a north-south matchup, the question pation is so abysmal in Los now is whether voters will

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

CASE#: OA 2018-0008/R 2018-0005

APPLICANT: County of Mendocino

STAFF PLANNER: Mary Lynn Hunt

DATE FILED: 9/7/2018

OWNER: Numerous Owners

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

Legal Notices

in writing withfollowing Jamie cords, Pusich, P.O. Box SELL 966, Ukiah, CA 11/15/2018 95482 or call the main Publish:

County

house,

EL 2, AS NUM-BERED AND

mav

the County Re-corder of

MENDOCINO

County, California, and pur-

Court-

11/01/2018 NOTICE TRUSTEE'S SALE SUMMARY SHOULD TACT A L. YER.NOTICE

UNDER DEED OF TRUSTLOAN: RATHBLOTT O T H E R : O T H E R : 91210758 T.S. #: 18081-PRNOTE: IS A Y OF THE INFORMA-TION IN THIS D O C U M E N T ATTACHED.**PU RSUANT TO CIV-IL CODE Section 2923.3(a), THE SUMMARY OF INFORMATION REFERRED TO ABOVE IS NOT ATTACHED TO THE RECORDED COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT, BUT TO THE COPIES PROVID-ED TO THE TRUSTOR.YOU ARE IN DEFAULT CON-LAW- above given hereby given that REDWOOD TRUST DEED SERVICES, INC., trustee, or s u c c e s s o r trustee, or sub-stituted trustee pursuant to the Deed of Trust executed by A A R O N RATHBLOTT, recorded on 11/4/2013 as Inin the office of

Legal Notices Legal Notices

tions, please suant to the No- in 10 days of vey title for any contact the fol- tice of Default the date of first reason, the suclowing person and Election to publication of cessful bidder's in writing within 10 days of recorded Sale. The propsive remedy the date of this strument No. described is bettern of monies notice at the 2018-08362 of ing sold "as paid to the following add sold official Policy III The trust and the control of the strument No. and the strument No. and the control of the strument No. and the strument No described is be- turn of monies ing sold "as paid to the is".The total Trustee, and

> sonable 100 mated house, 100 mated costs, SERVICES, INC., requires that in-North State expenses and as said Trustee form at ion Street, Ukiah, advances at the ATTN: ROBERT about trustee CA 95482 at time of the ini-CULLEN P.O. s a le 10:00 AM AT tial publication BOX 6875SANTA postponements PUBLIC AUC- of the Notice of ROSA, CA 95406- be made availa-TION TO THE sale is: 0875By:ROBERT ble to you and HIGHEST BID- \$700,944.53.In C U L L E N, to the public, as DER FOR CASH addition

interest con- drawn by a derstand that poned, and, if veyed to and state or federal there are risks applicable, the now held by it credit union, or involved in bidunder said a check drawn ding at a trust- time and date Deed of Trust in by a state or ee auction. You for the sale of the property federal savings will be bidding this property, situated in said and loan asso- on a lien, not on you may call County and ciation, savings the property it- (714) 730-2727 State herein- association or self. Placing the or visit this Ina f t e r savings bank highest bid at a ternet Web site: described:PARC specified in trustee auction www.servicelin described:PARC specified in trustee auction www.servicelin EL 2, AS NUM- Section 5102 of does not auto- kASAP.com, us-BERED AND the Financial matically enti- ing the Trustee DESIGNATED Code and autle you to free Sale number as-UPON PARCEL thorized to do and clear own- signed to this MAP MD 176-74, business in this ership of the file, T.S. #18081-FILED FOR RE- state. In the property. You PR. Information CORD MAY, event tender should also be a bout the property of the prostrongments. UNDER A DEED CORD MAY, event tender should also be a b o u t 1975 IN MAP other than cash aware that the postponements CASE 2, DRAW- is accepted, the lien being aucthat are very tioned off may short in dura-TABLE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A BUR TY, IT MAY BE SOLD ASSES Trustee's Deed nignest bidder time to the SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EX
Parcel until funds beat the auction, scheduled sale you are or may may not immeto the payee or be responsible diately be readdress endorsee as a for paying of flattery be re-

ty described nant or warran-receive clear ti-best way to verabove is pur-ty, express or tle to the prop-ify postpone-is ported to be: implied, regard-erty. You are ment information in the property of the pr disclaims any a d v a n c e s liability for any thereunder, property

incorrectness with interest as county recordaddress and in, and the undersignation, if balance of the ther of which any, shown Note(s) secured may charge you herein. If no by said Deed of a fee for this instreet address Trust with information. If or other compans as provided in ther of these results of the street address thereon you consult eight and the results of the street address thereon you consult eight and the results of the street address thereon you consult eight and the results of the street address thereon you consult eight and the results of the street address thereon you consult eight and the results of the street address thereon you consult eight and the results of the street address thereon you consult eight and the results of the street address the results of th or other comterest thereon you consult eimon designation is shown, said Note(s), sources, you directions to fees, charges should the office of the sources of and the sources of the location of and the sources of the location of of the lo property of the trustee same lender be ob- and the trusts may hold more

tained by send- created by said than one morting a written re- Deed of Trust.If gage or deed of quest to the un- the Trustee is trust on the dersigned with- unable to con- property.NOTIC

contacting

Legal Notices

E TO PROPERTY OWNER: sale notice of sale may be postponed the 2018-08362 of ing sold "as paid to the more times by ad-said Official Re-is". The total Trustee, and the mortgagee, amie cords, WILL amount of the the successful beneficiary, Box SELL on unpaid balance bidder shall trustee, or a CA 11/15/2018 At of the obliga-have no further court, pursuant the main ention secured by recourse. Dated: to Section trance to the the property to October 16, 2924g of the Mendocino be sold and rea-2018REDWOOD California Civil County Court-sonable esti-TRUST DEED Code. The law esti- TRUST DEED Code. The law costs, SERVICES, INC., requires that in-

Legal Notices

to PresidentNOTIC courtesy DER FOR CASH addition to PresidentNOTIC a courtesy to (payable at the cash, the Trust- E TO POTENTIAL those not prestime of sale in ee will accept a BIDDERS: If you ent at the sale. lawful money of cashier's check are considering If you wish to the United drawn on a bidding on this learn whether States), all state or nation- property lien, your sale date right, title and al bank, a check you should unhas been post-interest conditions the property of the property and interest conditions. rescheduled LIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF
THE NATURE OF
THE PROCEEDING AGAINST
YOU,
YOU
THE REAL STREET AND THE PROPERTY AN described nant or warran- receive clear ti- best way to ver-

> MB#6240312 10/25/18.



and Chapter 20.119 Commercial Cannabis Prohibition Combining District to the Inland Zoning Ordinance (Mendocino County Code, Title 20, Division I), and (4) Rezone certain properties to apply the Cannabis Accommodation Combining District in areas within the Covelo Core and Covelo-Fairbanks Road, Laytonville, South Leggett, and the Mitchell Creek areas near Fort Bragg; and apply the Cannabis Prohibition Combining District to the Deerwood and Boonville Road-Woodyglen areas of Ukiah Valley. SUMMARY: Amendments to County Code Chapters 10A.17 Mendocino Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance and 20.242 Cannabis Cultivation Sites would provide greater

REQUEST: Mendocino County proposes to (1) Amend the Mendocino County

Code-Chapter 10A.17-Cannabis Cultivation, (2) Amend Chapter 20.242-Cannabis

Division I), (3) Add Chapter 20.118 Cannabis Accommodation Combining District

Cultivation Site, of the Inland Zoning Ordinance (Mendocino County Code, Title 20,

flexibility for setbacks and lot sizes in the review of cannabis cultivation permits. The new Chapter 20.118 Cannabis Accommodation (CA) Combining District is intended to support continued operation of existing cultivation sites and the new Chapter 20.119 Cannabis Prohibition (CP) Combining District is intended to prohibit new commercial cannabis uses and would sunset existing permitted commercial cannabis uses. Also proposed is the establishment of the first CA and CP Districts. **LOCATION:** Unincorporated inland areas within Mendocino County in addition. specific areas as noted. Mitchell Creek Area, Fort Bragg; Covelo Core, Covelo Fairbanks Road, Covelo; Laytonville; South Leggett; Deerwood and Boonville Road-Woodyglen areas of Ukiah Valley. The areas not included are, the city limits of Ukiah, Fort Bragg, Willits and Point Arena. Not applicable to those areas within the designated Coastal Zone Areas of the County.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Addendum to adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION: The Planning Commission, at their October 18, 2018 meeting, adopted a resolution recommending that the Board of Supervisors approve Ordinance Amendment No. OA 2018-0008, which will make amendments to Chapters 10A.17 and 20.242 and add Chapters 20.118 and 20.119 to the Mendocino County Code, with modifications as specified in the resolution. The resolution further recommends that the Board of Supervisors (1) approve the rezoning of the Covelo Core, Covelo-Fairbanks Road, Laytonville and South Leggett areas to the CA Combining District, and (2) approve the rezoning of the Deerwood and Boonville Road-Woodyglen areas to the CP Combining District. The Planning Commission specifically recommended that the Board of Supervisors not rezone the Mitchell Creek areas near Fort Bragg to the CA Combining District.

The staff report and notice are available for public review at 860 North Bush Street, Ukiah, California and on the Department of Planning and Building Services website at:

https://www.mendocinocounty.org/government/planning-building-services/public-notices

Your comments regarding the above project(s) are invited. Written comments should be submitted to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, at 501 Low Gap Road, Room 1010, Ukiah, California, 95482, no later than Thursday, November 15, 2018. Oral comments may be presented to the Board of Supervisors during the public hearing.

The Board of Supervisors action shall be final. If you challenge the project in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors or the Board of Supervisors at, or prior to, the public hearing. All persons are invited to appear and present testimony in this matter.

Additional information regarding the above noted item(s) may be obtained by calling the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at 463-4441, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. through 5:00 p.m., or the Department of Planning and Building Services at 234-6650, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. through 5:00 p.m. Should you desire notification of the Board's decision you may do so by requesting notification in writing and providing a self-addressed stamped envelope to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

BRENT SCHULTZ, Director of Planning and Building Services

Publish: 11/1/18