

Even though the June 3, 2021, meeting has been canceled and the application delayed, I thank you for the opportunity to make this public comment concerning the rezoning application in my neighborhood.

1. I find it an interesting application of governance that the property owners listed on the case are "various." It seems wrong that they do not have to reveal their names to the public, but those of us who make public comments must make our names available and public. I do not consider this use of "various" in the application as either transparent or neighborly.

2. The application was filed on October 30, 2019. This is 19 months before the hearing date which has been canceled. During this 19 months, there has been no effort by these property owners to get to know their neighbors, to inform them of what is going on with their property, to engage them in a robust and rich discussion of how a cannabis accommodation would be of benefit to the neighborhood.

3. Surveys which were circulated within our neighborhood and all contiguous neighborhoods in this area two years ago were conclusive in their findings that the neighbors wished the county to enforce the sunset regulations. This neighborhood is residential, there is no desire for any commercial zoning of any kind. Nevertheless, the "various" property owners in the application have spent effort during the past two years in building up their business in this location rather than looking at how best to sunset their business and move to an alternative site.

4. I find it disheartening that the county, at best, did not pay attention to the information gathered two years ago, and, at worst, deliberately ignored this information. You are better than this. I hope that during this delay the staff will now perform their due diligence and realize that while other areas of the county are open to cannabis cultivation, this residential neighborhood has consistently and appropriately expressed its opinion against this.

Raven Deerwater