

Mendocino County Cannabis Working Group
State Requirements
Phone Conference 9/14/17

| Participant: | Question/Talking Point: |
|----------------------------|--|
| Paul Hansbury/Susan Tibbon | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Local Temporary Nonprofit License 2. Microbusiness (medical Cottage industry) 3. Serving vs. Dosage 4. Ethanol (nonvolatile methods) 5. Cottage outdoor (25 plants) 6. Personal use (100 sq. ft. vs. 6 plants) |
| Julia Carrera | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is/are the goals of this working group? Do we have a Board member and staff in the Group? What is the timeline for accomplishing the goal and what are the standards of participation? 2. Matching Local Policy with State Policy: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Maximum Square Footage of Cultivation - must be the same for Mendocino County as it is across the State for Mendocino County industry to have a viable option in the industry. Let's not repeat what Mendocino County did to the vintners back in the 70s where Sonoma and Napa counties welcomed them and Mendocino County what not as open and inviting. 3. How will State Offices/Departments and Mendocino County Office/Departments interface? What happens when there is a conflict between the regulations? 4. Micro Business License: <p>This must be a working group of its own at some point. The micro business license is a viable option for the success of the small farmer. It is in the economic interest of Mendocino County to mirror this license.</p> 5. Will Mendocino County be lobbying the State for State regulations that support the small farmer of Mendocino County? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Current caps on collectives at State level |

| Participant: | Question/Talking Point: |
|---------------|---|
| | <p>6. State Environmental Requirements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Transfer from NCRWQCB to CDFW program. b. Can there be an environmental component to the Cannabis Unit for a one stop shop for applicants to understand what they need to do before they get started for site viability |
| Working Group | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provisional local permits 2. Concerns regarding State regulations on volatile and non-volatile 3. Difference in canopy definition between state and county 4. Transportation from the farm 5. Routine State updates 6. Concerns regarding cooperative regulations from the State 7. Suitable pesticides by the State vs. organic standards |