

EXHIBIT A

ADDENDUM TO THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

FOR

MENDOCINO COUNTY

MENDOCINO CANNABIS CULTIVATION REGULATION

SCH NO. 2016112028

DRAFT

EXHIBIT A

Modified Project Description and Project History

The Mendocino County Board of Supervisors (County) adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) (SCH# 2016112028) for Ordinance No. 4381, known as the Medical Cannabis Cultivation Regulations, which added Chapters 10A.17 and 20.242 to the Mendocino County Code, on April 4, 2017. Since that time, the County has approved multiple modifications for minor changes, including one change that renamed the project title to the Mendocino Cannabis Cultivation Regulations, which modifications have had separate addenda.

The current project involves modifying the previously adopted ordinance (Section 10A.17.080) to extend the deadline for acceptance of "Phase One" applications. "Phase One" allows permit applications for existing cannabis cultivation sites that include proof of cultivation at a site prior to January 1, 2016. Applications were previously accepted until June 30, 2018 but would now be accepted until December 31, 2018.

Purpose

Section 15164 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) provides that the lead agency shall prepare an addendum to a previously adopted Negative Declaration (ND) if some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for a subsequent ND have occurred. Section 15162 states that when an ND has been adopted for a project, no subsequent ND shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in the light of the whole record, one or more of the following:

- 1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project which require major revisions of the previous ND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;*
- 2. Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous ND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or*
- 3. New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous ND was certified as complete, shows any of the following: A) the project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous ND; B) significant effect previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous ND; C) mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or D) mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous ND would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.*

No substantial changes are proposed which would require major revisions to the previously approved Mitigated Negative Declaration. None of the proposed changes to the project will increase the severity of previously identified significant effects. The proposed changes will not result in a new environmental effect.

No additional mitigation is required. The proposed changes do not affect the effectiveness of the mitigation measures as there will be no additional environmental impact associated extending the deadline for application submittals.

EXHIBIT A

Explanation of Decision Not to Prepare a Supplemental Mitigated Negative Declaration:

See Purpose section above. In every impact category analyzed in this review, the projected consequences of the proposed ordinance changes are either the same or less than significantly increased compared to the project for which the Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted. Based upon this review, the following findings are supported:

Findings

1. For the modified project there are no substantial changes proposed in the project which require major revisions of the previous MND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

No new significant effects or increase of severity of effects are anticipated. Extending the "Phase One" application acceptance deadline will not change the anticipated environmental impacts because the applications being accepted are for sites that were already in existence at the time the IS was drafted, and are therefore considered part of the baseline conditions.

2. For the modified project no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous MND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

Based on the discussion in Finding 1, above, no new significant environmental effects resulting from the proposed text amendments are anticipated. The circumstances under which the project is undertaken remain the same.

3. For the modified project there has been no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous MND was adopted as complete.

There has been no new information of substantial importance which was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was complete. The baseline conditions describing the overall impacts of existing cannabis cultivation remain the same.

4. The proposed changes do not constitute a change in the level of significance previously discussed in the original MND. As such, it is concluded that: the current project will not have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous MND. Furthermore, significant effects previously examined will not be substantially more severe than shown in the previous MND. There are no mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible that would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project.

The proposed extension of the application acceptance deadline does not involve changes to, or analysis of any mitigation measures. No new potential impacts have been identified requiring new mitigation measures to be developed.

5. Finally, there are no mitigation measures or alternatives identified in this analysis which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous MND, and which would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment.

EXHIBIT A

The proposed text amendments do not involve changes to, or analysis of any mitigation measures.

Conclusion

Based on these findings it is concluded that an Addendum to the adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration is appropriate to address the requirements under CEQA for the proposed ordinance changes.