

RESOLUTION NO. 18-173

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO ADOPTING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE REZONING AND MINOR SUBDIVISION (R_2017-0004 / MS_2017-0005) OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY IN MENDOCINO COUNTY

WHEREAS, the applicant, John Boardman, filed an application for a property rezoning from Rural Residential (RR-1) to Suburban Residential (SR) ("Rezone") and Minor Subdivision to split the property into two (2) parcels of 1.01± and 0.73± acres, located at 3571 Tollini Lane, Ukiah (APN: 169-071-23), General Plan SR; Zoning RR-1, Supervisorial District 5, (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, an Initial Study was prepared for the Project and noticed and made available for agency and public review on August 29, 2018 in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code section 21000 *et seq.*; "CEQA") and the State and County CEQA Guidelines, which Initial Study recommended the adoption of a Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with applicable provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public meeting on September 20, 2018, to solicit public comments on the proposed Initial Study/Negative Declaration and the Project, at which time the Planning Commission heard and received all relevant testimony and evidence presented orally or in writing regarding the Initial Study/Negative Declaration and the Project. All interested persons were given an opportunity to hear and be heard regarding the Initial Study/Negative Declaration and the Project; and

WHEREAS, on September 20, 2018, the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. PC 2018-0033, making its report and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors on the Initial Study/Negative Declaration for the Project; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, based on the evidence in the record before it, that the Board of Supervisors makes the following determinations and findings:

1. The recitals set forth in the above resolution are true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference.
2. The Initial Study/Negative Declaration for the Project was prepared pursuant to CEQA and the State and County CEQA Guidelines.
3. The Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that the Initial Study/Negative Declaration has been completed, reviewed and considered, together with the comments received during the public review process, in compliance with CEQA and the State and County CEQA Guidelines, and finds that the Initial Study/ Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Board of Supervisors.
4. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and determines, on the basis of the whole record before it, that there is no substantial evidence in the record that there is any significant environmental impact that might arguably be anticipated to occur as a result of the Project that cannot be adequately addressed through the conditions of approval; therefore a Negative Declaration is adopted.
5. The Board of Supervisors hereby adopts the Initial Study / Negative Declaration attached to this resolution as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference.

6. The Board of Supervisors designates the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as the custodian of the documents and other materials which constitutes the record of proceedings upon which the Board of Supervisors' decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, 501 Low Gap Road, Room 1010, Ukiah, CA 95482.

7. The Board of Supervisors hereby directs the Department of Planning and Building Services to file a notice of determination following the adoption of the Project in accordance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.

The foregoing Resolution introduced by Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor McCowen, and carried this 13th day of November, 2018, by the following vote:

AYES: Supervisors Brown, McCowen, Croskey, and Gjerde
NOES: None
ABSENT: Supervisor Hamburg

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared said Resolution adopted and SO ORDERED.

ATTEST: CARMEL J. ANGELO
Clerk of the Board

GEORGEANNE CROSKEY, Chair
Mendocino County Board of Supervisors

Deputy

I hereby certify that according to the provisions of Government Code Section 25103, delivery of this document has been made.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
KATHARINE L. ELLIOTT,
County Counsel

BY: CARMEL J. ANGELO
Clerk of the Board

Deputy

EXHIBIT A

INITIAL STUDY / NEGATIVE DECLARATION R_2017-0004 / MS_2017-0005 – SHASTA and JOHN BOARDMAN NOVEMBER 13, 2018

Section I	Description Of Project.
<p>DATE: July 12, 2018 CASE#: R_2017-0004 / MS_2017-0005 OWNERS: SHASTA AND JOHN BOARDMAN APPLICANTS: JOHN BOARDMAN REQUEST: Rezone of a 1.74± acre parcel from Rural Residential (RR-1) to Suburban Residential (SR) and subdivide into two parcels of 1.01± and 0.73± acres. LOCATION: 3.2± miles north of Ukiah town center, between Tollini Ln. (CR 228) and Hwy. 101, located at 3571 Tollini Ln., Ukiah (APN: 169-071-23). ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: Negative Declaration STAFF PLANNER: Eduardo Hernandez</p>	
Section II	Environmental Checklist.
<p><i>“Significant effect on the environment” means a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project, including land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, ambient noise, and aesthetic significance. An economic or social change by itself shall not be considered a significant effect on the environment. A social or economic change related to a physical change, may be considered in determining whether the physical change is significant (CEQA Guidelines, Section 15382).</i></p> <p><i>Accompanying this form is a list of discussion statements for <u>all</u> questions, or categories of questions, on the Environmental Checklist (See Section III). This includes explanations of “no” responses.</i></p>	

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture and Forestry Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Geology /Soils
<input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions	<input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Land Use / Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Noise
<input type="checkbox"/> Population / Housing	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Recreation
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic	<input type="checkbox"/> Tribal Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems
	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance	

An explanation for all checklist responses is included, and all answers take into account the whole action involved, including off site as well as on-site; cumulative as well as project level; indirect as well as direct; and construction as well as operational impacts. The explanation of each issue identifies (a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and (b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance. In the checklist the following definitions are used:

"Potentially Significant Impact" means there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant.

"Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" means the incorporation of one or more mitigation measures can reduce the effect from potentially significant to a less than significant level.

"Less Than Significant Impact" means that the effect is less than significant and no mitigation is necessary to reduce the impact to a lesser level.

"No Impact" means that the effect does not apply to the Project, or clearly will not impact nor be impacted by the Project.

INITIAL STUDY/ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: This section assesses the potential environmental impacts which may result from the project. Questions in the Initial Study Checklist are stated and answers are provided based on analysis undertaken.

<u>I. AESTHETICS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

b) **No Impact:** There are currently no scenic highways anywhere in Mendocino County, just eligible ones. The closest one is the Hwy 101 interchange with Hwy 20 East located 3± miles north of the project site; thus there will be no adverse impact on any scenic resources.

a, c, d) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The project site is visible from Hwy 101 and is adjacent to agriculture land; however it also forms part of a residential area and is already developed with two residences and accessory structures to the residences. The proposed subdivision itself does not degrade quality of the site, but allows for development in the future that could change the existing visual character. However, due to the size of the parcels being created, any future development would have a "less than significant impact" on the aesthetic quality of the site. A condition is recommended to lessen the impacts any new source of light might have on nighttime views in the area.

<u>II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a, c, d, e) **No Impact:** There is no farmland at the subject location. The proposed subdivision does not contain any land in an Agriculture Preserve, thus it will not conflict with any existing land used for agriculture, or with any Williamson Act contracted lands on-site. Additionally, the proposed subdivision will not conflict with any existing forest land, timberland, or timberland production zoning as there are minimal forest resources found on the parcel. With the lack of forest and agricultural resources, there is little potential for the proposed subdivision to have any impact on forest land and Farmland with regards to their conversion to another use.

b) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The project site is adjacent to agricultural land, and it is not expected to interfere with the adjacent agricultural operations. However, a condition has been made to alert any future occupants of the subject site about the possible inconvenience or discomfort of living next to agricultural land.

<u>III. AIR QUALITY.</u> Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of any applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<u>III. AIR QUALITY.</u> Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a, b, d, e) **No Impact:** Both of the new proposed parcels are already developed with own residence, leachfield, and accessory structures to the residence; no additional development is proposed with the subdivision. A condition is included to ensure that any future construction activity will not conflict with any air quality plan or violate any air quality standard.

c) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The proposed subdivision itself will not increase any pollutants, however, there is potential for some pollutants to increase with future development of either parcel, such as dust from driveways. However, this increase is not expected to exceed state or federal standards. Conditions will ensure that the project will achieve compliance with the Mendocino County Air Quality Management District (AQMD) standards.

<u>IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<u>IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a–f) **No Impact:** The proposed subdivision is not located near any sensitive habitats, thus there is no potential for any substantial adverse impacts on a sensitive habitat such as a riparian zone, wetland, wildlife corridor, or any form of conservation land. There is no potential for the proposed project to have a substantial adverse impact on any sensitive species or native residents.

Additionally, the project is subject to the Department of Fish and Game Code Section 711.4 wildlife habitat loss mitigation fee. A condition is recommended to achieve compliance with the habitat loss mitigation fee.

<u>V. CULTURAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- a–e) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The proposed subdivision request was reviewed at the April 11, 2018, Archaeological Commission, which reviewed the archaeological survey prepared by Thad M. Van Bueren dated January 23, 2017. The survey did not identify any archaeological, paleontological, or cultural resources that could be adversely impacted by the proposed subdivision. The Archaeological Commission accepted the survey, a condition for this project applies.

<u>VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iv) Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a, c, d e) **No Impact:** The project site is flat, and is not in an earthquake fault zone. No new development is being proposed that would result in any impacts to geology and soils, or to any existing structures. Displacement of soil within the project area resulting from future earth movement is expected to be minimal. Furthermore, the existing soil type does not reflect any incapability to adequately support the use of a septic system or alternative system as two septic systems already exist in the property.

b) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The site is already developed with two residences and accessory structures. There is no proposed development with the subdivision. Significant erosion from the site and the related placement of additional structures is unlikely. Potential impacts caused by grading activities in the future will be limited by implementation of a condition to implement "Best Management Practices."

<u>VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) **Less Than Significant Impact:** While the proposed subdivision itself will not generate any greenhouse gas emissions, any future development on the new parcels has the potential to generate such emissions. However, this is considered to be a less than significant impact because of the minimal scale at which any future development would occur.
- b) **No Impact:** Since the scale at which any potential generation of greenhouse gas emissions is minimal, there will be no conflicts with any plan, policy, or regulation regarding such emissions.

<u>VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<u>VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a–h) **No Impact:** No hazardous sites are located near the project site, nor is the site within an airport land use planning area. Additionally, the project is not located in a wildland fire area, and has year round structural fire coverage provided by the Ukiah Valley Fire District. Impacts are not anticipated and no mitigation is required. A condition is recommended to ensure the project satisfies the requirements from the Local Fire Authority.

<u>IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100 year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within a 100 year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<u>IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
k) Result in an increase in pollutant discharges to receiving waters considering water quality parameters such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity and other typical stormwater pollutants (e.g. heavy metals, pathogens, petroleum derivatives, synthetic organics, sediment, nutrients, oxygen-demanding substances, and trash)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
l) Have a potentially significant impact on groundwater quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
m) Impact aquatic, wetland or riparian habitat?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a, c – k) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The proposed subdivision itself will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. The proposed subdivision itself will not result in any pollutant discharges which would degrade groundwater quality. However, should the parcels be developed any further in the future, there is the potential that more intensive uses could result in pollutant discharges and impacts on water quality. The site is not located within the 100 year flood area. The project location it is within an inundation zone and floods can occur due to levee or dam failure; however both proposed new parcels are already developed with a residence each, making the inundation zone having a less than significant impact.

b, l) **No Impact:** The proposed new parcels will both have a residence with connection to the local water district (all existing). Any future development on either parcel would be accessory to the existing residence with a lesser water usage; therefore, it will not substantially deplete any groundwater supplies. The project was reviewed by the Division of Environmental Health during the Subdivision Committee meeting on June 14, 2018 and did not provide any recommendation specific to hydrology or water quality.

m) **No Impact:** There are no identified aquatic, wetland or riparian habitat on or near the project site; therefore no wildlife impact is projected. A Condition reinforcing wildlife protection is however recommended as stated in Section IV of this report to be in compliance with Department of Fish and Wildlife Code 711.4.

<u>X. LAND USE AND PLANNING.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<u>X. LAND USE AND PLANNING.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a-c) **No Impact:** As the proposed subdivision is the subdivision of a single parcel, it will not physically divide any established community, nor will it conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project. The subject parcel is to be rezoned from Rural Residential (RR-1) with 40,000 sq. ft. minimum lot area, to Suburban Residential (SR) with 12,000 sq. ft. minimum lot area. Both of the proposed parcels will meet the minimum parcel size requirement, this will be verified through a condition having an appropriate professional certifying minimum lot area compliance. Additionally, there are no identifiable conservation plans for special habitats or natural communities in the vicinity, therefore no impact is projected in those areas.

<u>XI. MINERAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a-b) **No Impact:** The proposed project site has not been identified as a location with mineral resources, thus it will not result in any loss of mineral resources, nor will it result in the loss of any available locally important mineral resource recovery sites.

<u>XII. NOISE.</u> Would the project result in:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a, b, d) **Less Than Significant Impact:** As shown on the tentative map both of the new parcels have already been developed and there is no proposed new structures at this time. However, after subdivision approval additional living spaces would be permitted on each new parcel. There is a potential for people to be exposed to increased noise levels and ground borne vibrations during new construction; although it is to be less than significant. While the subdivision itself would not increase any ambient noise levels, future development of the parcels could increase ambient noise levels, either permanently or temporarily. No excessive noise will result from the project and no mitigation is required.

c, e, f) **No Impact:** The proposed project will not create a substantial permanent increase in ambient noises. The subdivision is not located within an airport land use plan, the nearest airport zone is in Ukiah; 2.9± miles south of the project. There are no private airstrips in the vicinity of the project site, therefore no people residing or working in an airport would be affected by this project.

<u>XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<u>XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The project does not propose any development and both of the new parcels will have an existing residence each. However, the subdivision would allow for more housing to be developed later, as the Mendocino County Code allows for up to two single family residences on each of the new parcels. The project would split one parcel into two, thus population growth is possible but at a small scale. No mitigation is required.
- b-c) **No Impact:** The proposed project does not propose the demolition of any housing, thus there will be no displacement of housing or people as a result of the project.

<u>XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Medical Services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a) **Less Than Significant Impact:** The project location is within the Local Responsibility Area of the Ukiah Valley Fire Protection District, therefore a condition is recommended to ensure the applicant meets the standards of the Fire Department.

<u>XV. RECREATION.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

a–b) **No Impact:** The closest park to the project site is Low Gap Park, a County park, and it is 2± miles south. The project will not result in any impact to recreation in the area. No mitigation is required.

<u>XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Generate substantial additional vehicular movement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Effect existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially impact existing transportation systems?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Alter present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Increase traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

a, c, f) **Less than Significant Impact:** The proposed subdivision will not immediately generate substantial vehicular movement, have an impact on existing transportation systems, or increase traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians. However, should the proposed subdivision be further developed in the future, there could be a small increase in traffic.

b, d, e) **No Impact:** The proposed subdivision will not affect existing parking facilities nor will it create demand for new parking as the project only entails the split of one residential parcel into two new residential parcels. However, future development could lead to an increased need for parking, but this would be alleviated through the creation of on-site parking. There will not be any issues regarding emergency access as the proposed parcels are located less than 0.25± miles from entrances to Hwy 101.

The Mendocino County Department of Transportation (MCDOT) provided their recommendations of approval on September 26, 2017, and were later reassured during the project's public review during the Subdivision Committee meeting on June 14, 2018. These recommendations are reflected in the recommended conditions, which will ensure the project satisfies MCDOT requirements.

<u>XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is a resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

a – b) **Less Than Significant Impact:** As stated in Section V of this report, an archaeological survey dated January 23, 2018 was prepared by Thad M. Van Bueren. It was reviewed and accepted by the County's Archaeological Commission on April 11, 2018. The Archaeological Commission provided a recommendation made a condition to ensure tribal cultural resources are protected.

<u>XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<u>XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS.</u> Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a-g) **No Impact:** The project would not result in any significant impacts to utility or services systems. Millview County Water District will continue to provide water service to the existing residential dwelling units. The property is not within a Sanitation District, thus the provision of such service is restricted to on-site septic systems. Each of the new parcels will keep one of the existing leachfields. The Division of Environmental Health (DEH) reviewed the project during the June 14, 2018 Subdivision Committee meeting, and did not provide any requirements for septic and water, since the water connections and septic systems for each parcel are already existing. The proposed subdivision will comply with federal, state, and local regulations regarding solid waste.

<u>XIV. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.</u>	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- a, c) **No Impact:** The proposed subdivision does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, nor eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory. Additionally, the proposed subdivision will not have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.
- b) **Less Than Significant Impact:** While the proposed subdivision itself will not have considerable impacts, cumulatively, the project has the potential for impacts should future development occur. These issues have been taken into consideration and it has been determined that the cumulative effects from the proposed subdivision will have a less than significant impact on local residents and the environment.

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- ☒ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☐ I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- ☐ I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- ☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

DATE

EDUARDO HERNANDEZ
PLANNER II