RESOLUTION NO. 18-

RESOLUTION OF THE MENDOCINO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPROVING AND ADOPTING AN ADDENDUM TO THE PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION, IN COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT REQUIREMENTS, FOR AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER 10A.17 OF THE MENDOCINO COUNTY CODE

WHEREAS, on April 4, 2017, the Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance Number 4381, adding Chapters 10A.17 and 20.242 to the Mendocino County Code, referred to as the Medical Cannabis Cultivation Regulation, which was subsequently renamed the Mendocino Cannabs Cultivation Regulation (Project); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code section 21000 *et seq.*; CEQA) and the CEQA Guidelines (Title 14 California Code of Regulations section 15000 *et seq.*) an Initial Study was prepared, which determined that the Project will not have a significant effect on the environment with the implementation of mitigation measures, which supported the adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND); and

WHEREAS, by Resolution Number 17-042, adopted on March 21, 2017, following a public review period as required by CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines, the Mendocino County Board of Supervisors adopted a MND for the Project; and

WHEREAS, Section 15164 of the CEQA Guidelines provides that an addendum to a previously adopted MND may be prepared if only minor technical changes or additions to the project are necessary or none of the conditions described in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162 calling for the preparation of a subsequent environmental impact report or MND have occurred; and

WHEREAS, following the adoption of the MND and receiving applications for medical cannabis cultivation, the Board of Supervisors adopted amendments to Chapters 10A.17 and 20.242 of the Mendocino County Code, by Ordinance Nos. 4381, 4392, 4408, 4411 and 4413, for all of which the Board of Supervisors adopted addenda pursuant to CEQA; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors is desirous of making additional certain changes to Chapter 10A.17 of the Mendocino County Code, specifically to make changes relating to the definition of legal parcel, criminal history background checks and public nuisance declarations; and

WHEREAS, an addendum to the MND for the Project (Addendum) related to the changes proposed to be made to Chapter 10A.17 has been prepared, which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Mendocino County Board of Supervisors, based on the whole record before it, hereby makes the following findings:

- 1. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 2. The Addendum to the previously adopted MND has been completed in compliance with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.
- 3. The Addendum to the previously adopted MND was presented to the Board of Supervisors, which independently reviewed and considered the addendum and the

Board of Supervisors has exercised its independent judgment in making the findings and determinations set forth herein.

4. That, based on the evidence submitted and as demonstrated by the analysis and findings included in the Addendum, none of the conditions described in Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines calling for the preparation of a subsequent negative declaration or environmental impact report have occurred.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mendocino County Board of Supervisors hereby approves and adopts the Addendum to the previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Mendocino Cannabis Cultivation Regulation and directs the Mendocino County Department of Planning and Building Services to attach the Addendum to the MND.

Department o	i Planning and Building Services	to attacr	Title Addendum to the MIND.	
			ervisor , seconded by Supervisor he following vote:	
AYES: NOES ABSEI	:			
WHER	REUPON, the Chair declared said	l Resolu	tion adopted and SO ORDERED.	
ATTEST:	CARMEL J. ANGELO Clerk of the Board	Mend I her	DAN HAMBURG, Chair Mendocino County Board of Supervisors I hereby certify that according to the provisions of Government Code Section	
Deputy		25103	25103, delivery of this document has been made.	
APPROVED AS TO FORM: KATHARINE L. ELLIOTT County Counsel		BY:	CARMEL J. ANGELO Clerk of the Board	
		Depu	ty	

EXHIBIT A

ADDENDUM TO THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

FOR

MENDOCINO COUNTY MENDOCINO CANNABIS CULTIVATION REGULATION

SCH NO. 2016112028

DRAFT

Date: November 16, 2018

Modified Project Description and Project History

The Mendocino County Board of Supervisors (County) adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) (SCH# 2016112028) for Ordinance No. 4381, known as the Medical Cannabis Cultivation Regulations, which added Chapters 10A.17 and 20.242 to the Mendocino County Code, on March 21, 2017. Since that time, the County has approved multiple modifications for minor changes, including one change that renamed the project title to the Mendocino Cannabis Cultivation Regulations. Previous modifications have had separate addenda filed under the previous title.

The current project includes modifying the previously adopted ordinance (in particular, Section 10A.17.020) to modify the definition of legal parcel. Specifically, the following definition will replace the existing definition in section 10A.17.020:

"Legal parcel" or "Parcel" means a lot of real property which was created pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act or for which an application for subdivision was on file with the Department of Planning and Building Services prior to January 1, 2016, or for which a certificate of compliance was recognized and recorded prior to January 1, 2016; provided, however, for real property within Industrial zoning districts, subdivisions or certificates of compliance may be recognized and recorded after January 1, 2016.

The current project also includes modifications to Chapter 10A.17 that are administrative in nature. These changes are in relation to changes to the exceptions from the declaration of a public nuisance and the County's criminal history background check process, and are described in more detail in the staff memorandum dated November 16, 2018, and incorporated herein by this reference. These changes do not change the project description, discussion of environmental impacts or the adopted mitigation measures, and could not reasonably have a significant impact on the environment.

Purpose

Section 15164 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) provides that the lead agency shall prepare an addendum to a previously adopted Negative Declaration (ND) if some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for a subsequent ND have occurred. Section 15162 states that when an ND has been adopted for a project, no subsequent ND shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in the light of the whole record, one or more of the following:

- 1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project which require major revisions of the previous ND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;
- 2. Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous ND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or
- 3. New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous ND was certified as complete, shows any of the following: A) the project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous ND; B) significant effect previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous ND; C) mitigation measures or

alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or D) mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous ND would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

No substantial changes are proposed which would require major revisions to the previously approved Mitigated Negative Declaration. None of the proposed changes to the project will increase the severity of previously identified significant effects. The proposed changes will not result in a new environmental effect.

No additional mitigation is required. The proposed changes do not affect the effectiveness of the mitigation measures as there will be no additional environmental impact associated with allowing for applicants to temporarily delay application processing.

Explanation of Decision Not to Prepare a Supplemental Mitigated Negative Declaration:

See Purpose section above. In every impact category analyzed in this review, the projected consequences of the proposed ordinance changes are either the same or less than significantly increased compared to the project for which the Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted. Based upon this review, the following findings are supported:

Findings

- For the modified project there are no substantial changes proposed in the project which
 require major revisions of the previous MND due to the involvement of new significant
 environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified
 significant effects.
 - No new significant effects or increase of severity of effects are anticipated. Modifying the definition of "Legal parcel" to include those parcels for which an application for subdivision was on file with the Department of Planning and Building Services prior to January 1, 2016 will not change the anticipated environmental impacts. Although there would be an expansion in the number of sites eligible for a cultivation permit, there are approximately 20 parcels that this change would impact. The estimated 20 parcels comprise a small fraction of all land in Mendocino County eligible for cultivation. In addition, any cultivation existing on one of the parcels that would be eligible for culvitivation with this change would still be required to follow all Phase 1 requirements that are currently in place. Any new cultivation that may be proposed on one of the affected parcels would be required to comply with all existing regulations for Phase 3 applicants.
- For the modified project no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the
 circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of
 the previous MND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a
 substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

Based on the discussion in Finding 1, above, no new significant environmental effects resulting from the proposed text amendment are anticipated. The circumstances under which the project is undertaken remain the same.

For the modified project there has been no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous MND was adopted as complete.

There has been no new information of substantial importance which was not known and could not have been known at the time the previous MND was complete. The baseline conditions describing the overall impacts of existing cannabis cultivation remain the same.

4. The proposed changes do not constitute a change in the level of significance previously discussed in the original MND. As such, it is concluded that: the current project will not have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous MND. Furthermore, significant effects previously examined will not be substantially more severe than shown in the previous MND. There are no mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible that would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project.

The proposed change to modify the definition of "Legal parcel" does not involve changes to, or analysis of any mitigation measures. No new potential impacts have been identified requiring new mitigation measures to be developed.

5. Finally, there are no mitigation measures or alternatives identified in this analysis which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous MND, and which would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment.

The proposed text amendments do not involve changes to, or analysis of any mitigation measures.

Conclusion

Based on these findings it is concluded that an Addendum to the adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration is appropriate to address the requirements under CEQA for the proposed ordinance changes.