PA#18-290

CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF UKIAH AND THE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO SHARING THE COST OF CONSULTING SERVICES FOR COUNTY AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN UPDATE

This Agreement is made and entered in Ukiah, California on February 20, 2019 ("Effective Date"), between the City of Ukiah ("City"), a general law municipal corporation, and the County of Mendocino ("County"), a political subdivision of the State of California. The City and County may be referred to herein separately as a "Party" and collectively as "the Parties."

RECITALS:

1. The Mendocino County Airport Land Use Commission ("ALUC"), formed pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 21670, adopted a countywide Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan ("ALUCP"), the Mendocino County Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan, on October 21, 1993, which was revised on June 6, 1996.

2. According to the California Department of Transportation Division of Aeronautics Airport Land Use Planning Handbook, which outlines statutory requirements for ALUCs and ALUCPs in accordance with Public Utilities Code Sections 21670 - 21679.5, a comprehensive review and update of the ALUCP is recommended at least every five years.

3. Since last revised, among other changes that have occurred, the Federal Aviation Administration has modified the Runway Protection Zone ("RPZ") and on May 20, 2015 the City adopted a revised Airport Layout Plan for the Ukiah Municipal Airport.

4. Due to the passage of time and the above-recited changes affecting the Ukiah Municipal Airport, the City and the County have agreed to jointly fund updating the ALUCP for the Ukiah Municipal Airport only.

5. The purpose of this Agreement is to set forth the terms and conditions under which the City will contract with Mead and Hunt, Inc. ("Consultant") to provide consulting services to the ALUC to assist in the development, consideration of and adoption of revisions to the ALUCP.

AGREEMENT:

In consideration and reliance upon the above-recitals, the terms and conditions stated below and other good and valuable consideration the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows.

1. **City to Contract with Consultant.** The City will contract with Consultant to perform the scope of work for the fees and expenses set forth in the attached Exhibit A. County shall not be considered a party to the Consulting Agreement and shall have no responsibility or liability for the Consultant's performance or for payment of its fees. County shall provide the staff support and coordination assigned to it under Exhibit A.

1.1 City will assign staff to perform the City's responsibilities as described in Exhibit A and provide County with their names and contact information.

1.2 County will assign staff to perform the County's responsibilities as described in Exhibit A and provide City and Consultant with their names and contact information.

1.3 Both City and County will strive to diligently perform the tasks assigned to them in Exhibit A and this Agreement and to complete those tasks within the time estimated for their completion as set forth in Exhibit A.

2. **Payment for Consulting Services.** The City will pay Consultant in accordance with Exhibit A. County shall reimburse the City for up to one third (\$43,333.33) of the total cost as its share of Consultant's fee as detailed in Exhibit A. If the Consultant fee increases in accordance with the Exhibit A within the existing Scope of Work, the County contribution shall increase in proportion to its share of the fee quoted in Exhibit A. There shall be no change to the Scope of Work without the prior written consent of the City and the County. Any cost of any change in the Scope of Work sought by one party shall be paid by the Party seeking the change, even though approved by both Parties. If the change in the Scope of Work is sought by both Parties, they shall pay respective shares of that cost as they shall agree in the writing approving the change. The City will submit routine invoices to the County for reimbursement during the engagement along with the corresponding billing detail from the Consultant.

3. **Coordination of Consultant Services.** The Parties shall perform the coordination functions as generally described in Exhibit A. County shall be responsible for facilitating communication between the Consultant and the ALUC, for scheduling meetings of the ALUC to consider communications from the Consultant and providing staff and clerical support for the ALUC. The Parties understand and acknowledge that the ALUC is an independent commission established in accordance with state law and the County is not responsible for and shall have no liability for the decisions and actions of the ALUC, unless specifically imposed on County by state law.

4. **Mutual Defense.** In the event an action or proceeding is brought against the ALUC, the County or any officer or employee thereof to attack, review, set aside, void or annul the ALUC's adoption of revisions to the ALUCP or the environmental document which accompanies it, the City and the County shall jointly defend the action or proceeding, sharing costs of the defense equally. Outside counsel may be used to defend the decision, if both the City and the County agree to use outside counsel. If jointly funding the defense, both parties shall exercise equal control over the conduct thereof.

If, during the administrative proceedings conducted to revise the ALUCP, the parties agree that additional costs should be incurred for outside legal counsel or consulting services, they shall each share those costs equally.

5. Access to County GIS. During the term of this Agreement, County shall provide City and Consultant with remote access to the County's GIS system for performing tasks identified in Exhibit A, subject to any reasonable conditions generally applied to similar users of the GIS system.

6. Miscellaneous.

6.1 **Choice of Law.** This Agreement, and any dispute arising from the relationship between the parties to this Agreement, shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, excluding any laws that direct the application of another jurisdiction's laws.

6.2 **Venue.** All lawsuits relating to this contract must be filed in Mendocino County Superior Court, Mendocino County, California.

6.3 **Waiver.** No waiver of a breach, failure of any condition, or any right or remedy contained in or granted by the provisions of this Agreement shall be effective unless it is in writing and signed by the party waiving the breach, failure, right or remedy. No waiver of any breach, failure, right or remedy shall be deemed a waiver of any other breach, failure, right or remedy, whether or not similar, nor shall any waiver constitute a continuing waiver unless the writing so specifies.

6.4. Entire Agreement. This Agreement, including all attachments, exhibits, and any other documents specifically incorporated into this Agreement, shall constitute the entire agreement between County and Contractor relating to the subject matter of this Agreement. As used herein, Agreement refers to and includes any documents incorporated herein by reference and any exhibits or attachments. This Agreement supersedes and merges all previous understandings, and all other agreements, written or oral, between the parties and sets forth the entire understanding of the parties regarding the subject matter thereof. This Agreement may not be modified except by a written document signed by both parties.

6.5 **Headings.** Herein are for convenience of reference only and shall in no way affect interpretation of this Agreement.

6.6 **Modification of Agreement.** This Agreement may be supplemented, amended or modified only by the mutual agreement of the parties. No supplement, amendment or modification of this Agreement shall be binding unless it is in writing and signed by authorized representatives of both parties.

6.7 **Severability.** If a court of competent jurisdiction holds any provision of this Agreement to be illegal, unenforceable, or invalid in whole or in part for any reason, the validity and enforceability of the remaining provisions, or portions of them, will not be affected, unless an essential purpose of this Agreement would be defeated by the loss of the illegal, unenforceable, or invalid provision.

6.8 Notice. Whenever written notice is required or permitted under this Agreement, it shall be deemed given and received as follows:

a. If given by overnight courier, certified mail, return receipt requested or personal delivery, it shall be deemed given and received on the date received.

b. If given by fax or email, it shall be deemed given and received, when the sender receives an acknowledgment of receipt.

c. When sent by regular first-class mail, it shall be deemed given and received, 48 hours after the notice is deposited in the mail.

The notice shall be deemed given and received as provided above, if sent to the address, fax number or email address specified below.

City of Ukiah

Craig Schlatter, Community Development Director Ukiah Civic Center 300 Seminary Avenue Ukiah, CA 95482 Email: <u>cschlatter@cityofukiah.com</u> County of Mendocino

Brent Schultz, Director of Planning and Building Services County Administration Offices 501 Low Gap Road, Room 1030 Ukiah, CA 95482 Email: <u>schultzb@mendocinocounty.org</u>

WHEREFORE, the Parties have entered this Agreement on the Effective Date.

CITY OF UKIAH

Sage Sangiacomo, City Manager

ATTEST:

Kristine Lawler, City Clerk

Approved as to form:

David J. Rapport, City Attorney

COUNTY OF MENDOCINO

Carmel J. Angelo, County Executive Officer

ATTEST:

Karla Van Hagen, Senior Deputy Clerk

ounsel Katharine L. Elliott, County C

IN WITNESS WHEREOF

DEPARTMENT FISCAL REVIEW:
DEPARTMENT HEAD DATE
Budgeted: 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
Budget Unit: 2851
Line Item: 862189
Grant: 🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Grant No.:N/A

CARMEL J. ANGELO, Chief Executive Officer

BY: VUOD PURCHASING AGENT

3.6.10 Date:

INSURANCE REVIEW: By:

Risk Management

3/6

5-10-19 Date:

CONTRACTOR/COMPANY NAME

By: See Page 4

Date:

NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR:

City of Ukiah

300 Seminary Ave.

Ukiah, CA 95482

By signing above, signatory warrants and represents that he/she executed this Agreement in his/her authorized capacity and that by his/her signature on this Agreement, he/she or the entity upon behalf of which he/she acted, executed this Agreement

COUNTY COUNSEL REVIEW:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

KATHARINE L. ELLIOTT, County Counsel

2.4.19 By:

Date:

EXECUTIVE OFFICE/FISCAL REVIEW:

By: Deputy CEO

Date:

Signatory Authority: \$0-25,000 Department; **\$25,001- 50,000 Purchasing Agent;** \$50,001+ Board of Supervisors Exception to Bid Process Required/Completed _______ Mendocino County Business License: Valid ______ Exempt Pursuant to MCC Section:

ATTACHMENT A - CONTRACT 1819164

UKIAH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN

SCOPE OF SERVICES

2019-01-31

This document outlines the Scope of Services (SCOPE) to be provided by Mead & Hunt, Inc. (CONTRACTOR) for preparation of a new Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (UKIALUCP) under contract with the City of Ukiah (CITY). Responsibilities of CITY under this agreement are also listed.

The new UKIALUCP is intended to replace the Ukiah Municipal Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan, which is currently included as part of the *Mendocino County Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan* (MCACLUP) adopted by the Mendocino County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) in 1993, and later amended. The new UKIALUCP will be a complete plan unto itself and separate from policies or other content contained in the remainder of the MCACLUP.

Although CITY owns the Ukiah Municipal Airport (UKI) and has land use control over most of the surrounding area, implementation of the new UKIALUCP will first require adoption by ALUC. ALUC functions under the auspices of the County of Mendocino (COUNTY). Participation of COUNTY/ALUC is essential to accomplishment of SCOPE. Specific responsibilities of COUNTY/ALUC under this agreement are listed herein.

The overall endeavor under SCOPE, including responsibilities of CITY and COUNTY/ALUC, is referred to herein as PROJECT.

Element 1. Project Management and Coordination

1.1 Project Administration

CONTRACTOR shall perform routine administrative duties including regular communication with CITY staff, processing contract documents, and monthly invoicing for the anticipated twelve (12) month duration of PROJECT.

1.2 Coordination with County/ALUC

PROJECT will require efficient coordination among CONTRACTOR, CITY, and COUNTY/ALUC. Much of this coordination will be accomplished via conference calls. In-person meetings, including public meetings, are also provided. The purposes of some meetings will be to enable CONTRACTOR to obtain information from CITY and COUNTY/ALUC necessary for preparation of UKIALUCP, as well as feedback on draft materials to be prepared by CONTRACTOR. Other meetings will be public meetings for presentation of a draft UKIALUCP or components thereof, for obtaining public input, and ultimately for adoption of the UKIALUCP by ALUC. The timing and function of individual meetings are identified in subsequent tasks.

Mead&Hunt

SCOPE provides for a total of up to six (6) project trips for staff-level and public meetings to be attended in person by one (1) CONTRACTOR staff and up to six (6) conference calls involving CONTRACTOR, CITY, and COUNTY/ALUC representative. Any in-person staff-level and public meetings held on the same day will be counted as a single meeting.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- Final scope, budget, and schedule.
- Monthly communication with CITY staff for purposes of tracking progress, schedule, and budget.
- Monthly invoices and status reports.
- Participation in meetings with CITY and COUNTY/ALUC as described under Task 1.2 (all meetings are budgeted under this element).

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Identify an individual with whom CONTRACTOR shall maintain coordination and who shall participate in PROJECT meetings.
- Arrange rooms, noticing PROJECT meetings, distribute deliverables, and maintain overall coordination with the COUNTY/ALUC.

COUNTY/ALUC RESPONSIBILITIES

- Identify an individual or individuals with whom CITY staff shall coordinate and who shall participate in PROJECT meetings.
- Schedule and agendize ALUC meetings where PROJECT is to be discussed or acted upon.

Element 2. Data Collection and Compilation

2.1 Kickoff Meeting

CONTRACTOR shall formally begin PROJECT by making a presentation to ALUC. This presentation will allow CONTRACTOR staff to introduce ourselves and outline the work products, schedule and planned interaction among CONTRACTOR, CITY, and COUNTY/ALUC.

2.2 Airport and Land Use Data and Mapping

PROJECT will require certain background data and maps regarding UKI and surrounding land uses in CITY and COUNTY jurisdictions to be made available to CONTRACTOR. CONTRACTOR will rely upon CITY and COUNTY to provide the following data:

Airport Layout Plan (ALP) Drawing: California state law requires that an ALUCP be based on a current master plan of the airport or, if none exists, a current ALP may be used with the approval of the Caltrans Division of Aeronautics. The Division typically requests an ALP that has been approved by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). As CITY has not recently adopted a Master Plan for UKI, CONTRACTOR will utilize the FAA-approved 2015 ALP drawing. The existing and future airfield configurations depicted in the 2015 ALP will be reflected in new UKIALUCP maps. CONTRACTOR will submit the UKI ALP to Caltrans for approval as the basis for UKIALUCP.

- Airport Activity and Flight Track Data: CONTRACTOR will work with airport management, other CITY staff, and airport tenants familiar with UKI to estimate the current composition and overall level of aircraft operations at UKI utilizing readily available data. These sources will also be asked to assist with mapping of typical flight routes used by various types of aircraft operating at UKI.
- Airspace Plan Drawing: The Airspace Plan drawing shows the critical airspace surfaces established in accordance with Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Part 77, Safe, Efficient Use, and Preservation of the Navigable Airspace. The Airspace Plan will be used to establish height restrictions for the areas surrounding UKI.
- Land Use Data and Mapping: CONTRACTOR shall rely upon GIS data from CITY and COUNTY
 depicting existing land uses and the adopted general plan land use designations within the UKI vicinity.
 CONTRACTOR shall also review adopted CITY and COUNTY general plans and other policy
 documents for information and policies pertaining to land uses around UKI.

2.3 Activity Forecasts and Noise Contours

By law, ALUCPs must have a time horizon of at least 20 years. The activity data and forecasts are used to generate projected aircraft noise contours.

- Activity Forecasts: Using activity data obtained in Task 2.2, CONTRACTOR shall prepare new UKI aircraft operations forecasts for the year 2040.
- Noise Contours: Using current activity data and forecasts of future operations together with flight track information from Task 2.2, CONTRACTOR shall prepare Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) noise contours for current and 2040 aircraft operations at UKI. Contours will be depicted in 5 dB increments for CNEL 55 dB and above. The FAA's AEDT noise model will be used. The 2040 contours will take into account both the current and proposed configurations of the UKI runway as shown on the 2015 ALP.

2.4 Background Data Chapter

Documentation of facts pertaining to UKI and land uses around it is an essential foundation to UKIALUCP. It also will form the basis for the environmental impact analysis to be completed in Element 4. Once the airport and land use information has been gathered and sorted, CONTRACTOR shall prepare new maps and data tables for inclusion as a Background Data chapter in UKIALUCP. The CONTRACTOR shall hold a conference call with the CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives to obtain comments on and corrections to the Background Data chapter.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- List to be provided to CITY and COUNTY indicating airport and land use data needs for UKIALUCP.
- Letter to Caltrans requesting acceptance of 2015 UKI ALP as basis of UKIALUCP.
- Draft UKIALUCP Background Data chapter for review by CITY and COUNTY.

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

Assist CONTRACTOR in obtaining or estimating current UKI aircraft activity and flight track data.

- Provide CONTRACTOR with current land use and future land use designation maps in GIS format for areas in CITY jurisdiction.
- Review draft Background Data chapter and provide corrections to CONTRACTOR.

COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Provide CONTRACTOR with current land use and future land use designation maps in GIS format for areas in COUNTY jurisdiction.
- Review draft Background Data chapter and provide corrections to CONTRACTOR.

Element 3. Draft ALUCP Preparation

3.1 Impact Identification

Consistent with the guidelines in the 2011 California Airport Land Use Planning Handbook (Handbook), the UKIALUCP will continue to address the four types of compatibility concerns in a composite manner:

- Noise is the impact most directly affected by the airport activity forecasts.
- Safety is the compatibility concern associated with the risks that potential aircraft accidents pose to people and property on the ground. Using data and guidance from the 2011 Handbook, CONTRACTOR shall delineate locations around UKI where heightened risk levels may warrant restrictions on future land use development.
- Airspace Protection is critical to airport viability in that airspace obstructions can be hazards to flight
 and can necessitate changes to aircraft flight procedures. The UKI Airspace Plan will be used to depict
 this critical airspace. Additionally, FAA guidelines will be used to map locations where certain types of
 land uses can attract birds in a manner that can be hazardous to aircraft in flight.
- Overflight pertains to areas beyond the noise contours where aircraft noise can be annoying or disruptive. For general aviation airports, the area of overflight concern is primarily within and near the aircraft traffic pattern.

Using the aeronautical and land use data gathered in the preceding tasks, CONTRACTOR shall map and describe the magnitude and extent of these concerns. The combined geographic extent of the four impact concerns will constitute the UKI influence area.

CONTRACTOR shall identify the compatibility factors that have changed in a manner that warrant a change to the 1993 (as amended) UKIALUCP compatibility zones and/or criteria. CONTRACTOR shall also identify the basic strategies available for addressing the changed conditions. CONTRACTOR shall summarize this information in a Discussion Paper to be presented to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC and hold a conference call to obtain feedback.

3.2 Draft Policies Chapter

The centerpiece of an ALUCP is the policy section. There are two types of policies that an ALUCP must include: compatibility policies and procedural policies. Compatibility policies and associated maps establish the basis for an ALUC's determination of whether proposed land use plans and projects are

compatible with the airport involved. Procedural policies define the steps to be followed both by local agencies in referring actions for ALUC review and by an ALUC in conducting the reviews.

The ALUC's 1993 compatibility and procedural policies for UKI are outdated and do not conform to guidance in the Caltrans 2011 Handbook. Regardless of this status, because these are the adopted policies now in effect, CONTRACTOR will consider them as a starting point for preparation of policies for the new UKIALUCP. Nevertheless, significant clarification, expansion, and potential substantive changes to the current policies are envisioned. As part of PROJECT, CONTRACTOR shall prepare a complete new Policies chapter containing both compatibility and procedural policies applicable only to UKI, not the other airports for which ALUC has responsibility. A composite Compatibility Map, similar in concept to the one in the 1993 CLUP for UKI, which reflects the combined effects of all four compatibility concerns will be in the Policies chapter.

The Policies chapter will be presented in draft form to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC for discussion and feedback. Separately, CONTRACTOR shall prepare a Discussion Paper listing major differences in compatibility and procedural policies between those currently in effect and the ones contained in the draft Policies chapter. The CONTRACTOR shall hold a conference call with CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives to seek input to the Discussion Paper.

3.3 General Plan Consistency Review

For CITY and COUNTY/ALUC to understand the implications of the draft UKIALUCP upon their plans and policies, they will want to know where the conflicts lie. In this task, CONTRACTOR shall conduct a preliminary review of CITY and COUNTY general plans to assess the extent to which they may be inconsistent with the draft ALUCP criteria. CONTRACTOR shall emphasize the adopted general plan land use maps but shall also assess whether other types of essential airport land use compatibility measures, such as limits on heights of antennas and other structures, are in place. The outcome of this review will initially be presented to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC but will later become a central component of the CEQA document for the draft UKIALUCP. The CONTRACTOR shall hold a conference call with the CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives to discuss the results of the consistency analysis.

3.4 Administrative Draft and Public Draft UKIALUCP

The intent of PROJECT is to produce a new, self-contained UKIALUCP. In this task, CONTRACTOR shall assemble a complete draft document for presentation to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC. CONTRACTOR shall modify the draft Background Data and Policies chapters (prepared in Tasks 2,4 and 3.3) in response to comments from CITY and COUNTY/ALUC. Also to be included in the draft document shall be an Introduction Chapter explaining the purpose of the UKIALUCP and the respective roles of CITY and COUNTY/ALUC in implementing its policies. Standard appendix material (current state laws regarding ALUCs, FAA airspace protection regulations, typical avigation easement wording, glossary, etc.) will also be included.

The UKIALUCP will initially be prepared as an Administrative Draft for review at the staff level by CITY and COUNTY/ALUC. Following receipt of staff comments, CONTRACTOR shall make essential revisions and produce a Public Draft to be made available for public review and comment. The CONTRACTOR

shall hold a conference call to present the Administrative Draft UKIALUCP to the CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives. COUNTY Director of Planning and Building Services and CITY Director of Community Development shall provide written approval of the Draft UKIALUCP to CONTRACTOR and CITY before the Draft UKIALUCP is released for public review or presented to any public commission, council or board.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- Draft Policies chapter for CITY and COUNTY/ALUC review.
- General plan consistency review.
- Administrative Draft UKIALUCP (pdf format).
- Public Draft UKIALUCP (pdf format).

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review draft material and provide feedback to CONTRACTOR within one week of receipt.
- Prior to document release, provide written approval of the Draft UKIALUCP to CONTRACTOR.
- Make Public Draft available on CITY website.

COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review draft material and provide feedback to CONTRACTOR.
- Prior to document release, provide written approval of the Draft UKIALUCP to CONTRACTOR.
- Make Public Draft available on COUNTY/ALUC website and distribute public noticing of document's availability.

Element 4. Environmental Impact Documentation

4.1 Displacement Analysis

Adoption and implementation of the Draft UKIALUCP could result in changes to future development patterns by shifting or displacing the location of future residential and nonresidential land uses in the UKI influence area. The purpose of the displacement analysis is to identify conflicts with the land use and growth patterns provided in CITY and COUNTY planning documents and to determine the extent to which future development would be displaced. With certain exceptions, the displacement analysis will quantify the potential displacement of residential uses and qualify potential future displacement of nonresidential uses. This analysis will supplement the initial general plan consistency review conducted as part of the Element 3 work. CONTRACTOR shall provide the displacement results to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC for review and comment as it will become the central component of the CEQA documentation. The CONTRACTOR shall hold an in-person meeting to present the displacement results to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC staff representatives and invited key stakeholders.

4.2 CEQA Initial Study/Negative Declaration

This task accomplishes preparation of environmental impact documentation necessary for adoption of the UKIALUCP in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the 2007 California Supreme Court decision in Muzzy Ranch Company v. Solano County Airport Land Use Commission. An

ALUCP is regulatory in nature and does not involve physical construction but does have indirect impacts. Accordingly, CONTRACTOR shall analyze the environmental impacts associated with the UKIALUCP and shall emphasize the issues associated with project implementation; specifically: noise, land use, population and housing, and cumulative impacts.

CONTRACTOR shall document the results of the above analysis in an Initial Study structured in accordance with CEQA requirements. Recognizing that the assessment will focus on where the new UKIALUCP will increase restrictions on underlying land uses, CONTRACTOR anticipates that the likelihood of significant displaced development to be low. CONTRACTOR expects that a Negative Declaration incorporating the Initial Study will be sufficient to achieve CEQA compliance. If this should prove not to be the case, then the Initial Study can serve as the basis for preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) under a subsequent or amended contract agreement. CONTRACTOR shall submit the CEQA document to the State Clearinghouse.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- Discussion paper outlining the displacement results (pdf format).
- Draft Initial Study and Negative Declaration (pdf format).
- State Clearinghouse submittal package.

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review Discussion Paper and Draft Initial Study and provide comments to CONTRACTOR.
- Make CEQA document available on CITY website.

COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review Discussion Paper and Draft Initial Study and provide comments to CONTRACTOR.
- Make CEQA document available on COUNTY/ALUC website and distribute public noticing of document's availability as required by law.

Element 5. Review and Adoption

5.1 Presentation to ALUC

At the outset of the public review period, CONTRACTOR shall make a presentation to ALUC to describe the draft UKIALUCP, its differences from the current plan, and major findings of the environmental review. The ALUC meeting should be structured to allow public comment on the draft UKIALUCP and CEQA document. Two additional trips are provided to present the draft UKIALUCP to joint meetings of 1) the City Council and Board of Supervisors; and 2) the Ukiah Planning Commission and Mendocino County Planning Commission..

5.2 Response to Comments

CONTRACTOR shall tabulate comments received on the draft UKIALUCP made during the ALUC meeting or subsequently received in writing. CONTRACTOR shall include brief responses to each comment and indicate recommended changes to the draft UKIALUCP. CONTRACTOR shall list recommended revisions to the draft ALUCP in a formal Addendum.

Mead&+lunt Page 7

X.\2112200\190459.01\CFEEworking\UKI ALUCP.MeedHunt scope 2019-01-31.docx

5.4 Adoption by ALUC

CONTRACTOR shall make a presentation to the ALUC summarizing the comments received and revisions proposed for the UKIALUCP at an ALUC hearing. Approval of the environmental document and adoption of the UKIALUCP will require formal actions by ALUC. CONTRACTOR shall assist CITY and COUNTY staff with preparation of the staff report and adopting documents for the approval of the environmental document and adoption of the UKIALUCP.

5.5 Final ALUCP

After ALUC adopts the UKIALUCP, CONTRACTOR shall prepare a final version of the UKIALUCP incorporating all the changes listed in the Addendum. CONTRACTOR shall provide CITY and COUNTY with one hard copy each of the final plan and a CD containing all text, GIS maps, and other final document material in their original digital file formats.

Element 6. Additional Services

While the deliverables and basic work effort required for the project are known, many times a need arises for additional services. CONTRACTOR recommends that the work scope include a contingency budget of at least five thousand dollars (\$5,000) to cover unanticipated tasks.

ATTACHMENT B - CONTRACT #1819164

.

•

.

Attachment B Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Mead Hunt Project Budget January 10, 2019

WORK PLAN	ABOR COST	EXPENSES	TOTAL	PERCENT OF
Bement 1: Projett Maatgament, Coordination, and Mestings	\$79,234	\$574	\$28,810	249
Element 3, Dela Collection and Compilation	\$24,874	2	\$74,574	207
Gement J: Orah ALUCP Preparation	\$15,320	2 7	\$31,320	287
Element 4: Environmental Impact Documentation	\$17,200	566	\$17,268	147
Between Si Review and Adapter	\$17,768	\$162	\$17,910	149
LUMP SUM TOTAL	\$15124,150		STREET	1009
Demant & Administral Secretary			10.000	•
1000	2	۱۲.	1	

lauget Associations import Layout Plan (ALP) and akspace plan to be provided in AutoCAD Tormat by SCAS

Angent Layout Pan (LAP) and airspice plan to be provided in AutoCAD format by SCAS Processing radur light leads not linducid CITY static provide or coordinate obtaining GIS land use and zoning data from local agences Ashal photographs will be provided by the client, or used from publicly available databases. CITY or COUNTY/ALUG stall responsible for noticing Public Drah ALUCP and CEOA document Only one public circulation of CEOA documents is assumed Only one public circulation of CEOA documents is assumed Only one public circulation of CEOA documents is assumed Only one CONTRACTOR stall person to atland mestings and provide technical input. Sa distinct project type provided (Schour day, no examiph) stay), Mosings will uliate a combination of Power/Pont and PDF displays CITY at all responsible for arranging rooms, noticing project meetings, making available project documents and overal coordivation with ALUCP, Caltrans, Effected agencies and/or the public. Katsple meetings may be scheduled on same day, where feasible. Additional meetings requiring separatio lines or overright stays to be charged against contingency task.

	THE TELL	FAUCHER	BROOV/	THOMPSON	SMITH L	ENGLISTAD	EROHU I	
LARCA HOURS	CLASSIFICATION	Felera.		PROMOT NOUNDER		Ellinnin) Ta	TICHNCAN	ASIM DISTIZATION &
	IN THE RATE	3. 5310	2015237 (N)	STATE A	A	Lisur 1	\$148 A.	1 Siles
Comment 1: Project Manufement', Coverdination, and Meetings		2	32	104				
diment & Day Coloring of Completing				12	74	56	24	3
Clement 1 Drift AUG Preservien	JE KING U		16	52		56	43	16
Remarks in Environmental Despert Decommentation				15		64	20	
denerflagt fran Proving and the states			16	32		32	16	12
	SUSTOTAL HOURS:	SET OF		100	305011	123221	Stat 100	distant in the second
	PERCENT OF TOTAL	DE LOTA	118	ANA BAN	See Max	A LUX	114%	A STATISTICS
Exemple of the second se	TOTALHOUNS	SHOWARD S.	in all and on the	ESTANDA		CTIC SE		100000000000000000000000000000000000000

Attachment B Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Mead Hunt Project Budget January 10, 2013

	TLAM	FAUCHER	MODT/ 4	THOMPSON	SHATH	DIAZ/	EROH/MEYER	
: Element 1: Project Management, Coordination, and Meetings	CLASSIFICATION	PRINCIPAL 1	(RELEASED)	HONCT.	SENSOR PLANNER	PLANNER)	SEMICAN TECHNICIAN	ADMINISTRATIVE
	RATE	5110	63 522 G	\$1.50	\$163 ····	5132 K	- +sia	\$202
1 1 Project Administration (12 months)	VIE SAM	2	2	17				
1 2 Countination and Meetings with County/ALUC (6 trips)	53.788 5		30					
ា សំ សំពីសំពីសំពីសំពីសំពីសំពីសំពីសំពីសំពីសំពី	TOTAL HOURS:	An Sarah an	- 12	stalet togete	 Source (Source) 		alanda arean aj	100 y 100
	DIRECT LABOR COST:	\$629	\$7,232	\$21,384				
	an an an an an a sa an		en in de la serie de la se		PRODUCTION			
ALLAN AREAS IN SACTOR	OR SUBTOTAL DOLLARS:	Belle Aller	TO COLLEG		ITSIT MAL	12. S. V. S. S.	SOMASS!	579,736

COPESS	
Travel (6 Vips)	\$574
TOTAL EXPENSES:	

.

	DURECT LANCA COST	EUPENSES	TOTAL
ELEMENT 1 TOTAL	\$29,246	\$\$74	(\$25,810)

Attachment B Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Mead Nunt Project Budget Immary 10, 2015

and the second	CARL OF THE ATTRACTOR	3381001/#1		
	TAN TIME P FALCHER	DIETL/HOOPLE THOMPSON	SMITH SHOTISTAD	CHORADETER CALIFORNIA C & MERS
Adokty Forecists and Nation Contourn Bed Trough Calls Chapter Contourned Control Contourned Contour	CLASSIRGATION	Transfer Banagers		ATTACK AND
	AATE HEITEN		tis 22 Atin	Salipide This Joint The
Airport and Land Use Data and Mapping			80	4
3 Activity Forecasts and Noise Contours 4 Background Data Chapter		2 4	40	20 2
	Contraction of the Contraction o	Survey () 1 - The second state		
	Service and the service and the service of the serv			Address of the second second second
II and the second s	BOR SUSTOTAUDOLLANSE ISSUE			
		1		2010 000 11 1 20 14 14
DTAL EXPENSES INCOMENTAL CONTRACTOR OF TAXABLE PARTY				
	-			DIALCT DUPENSES
				JCOST AL
LEMENT 2 TOTAL SECONDENSION AND A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT O			and the second second	534,574 - (
	TIAN MANA			INCHANTER MARTINE
lement 3: Drait ALUCP Preparation	CLASSIRCATION MERINGIAL	SAUNDED THE STATE	Laman Cale and	Kalendar (Alexandra (Alexandra)
	""BARDIN, CRISS MATE STORY (1116 JUST			AND A LOCAL STREET AND AND A LOCAL
1 Impact Identification 2 Draft Policies Chapter		3 4	16	
3 General Plan Consistency Review 4 Administrative Draft and Public Draft URALL CP		3	24	
	SCHOLENESS TOTAL COURSE CONTRACTOR	PERSONAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.	Atting the second second second second	
	CALIFORNIA PULSE IN CALIFORNIA	SPECIAL PLANE STREET, 11 AND		Transferrer 17/001 Comments and a second second
	ICA SUITOTAL COLLARS) (MEMORY		And the second s	STATES THE ACT
		1		
OTAL EXPENSES: MARCHINE COMPANY COMPANY				
				OWNECT
				LANOR
				COST

÷

University

*

.

•

÷

Attachment B Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Mead Hunt Project Budgat January 10, 2013

÷

.

• •

×.

n 19 mil 19 m Na start s	the second second second second		T ALLOW T			DUN	-	here and the second	
		PAUCHER	DICT2/HOOPER	THOMPSON	SMITH	ENCLISTAD	ELOH/MEYER	alan ang 🕯 na Salan	
Element 4: Environmental Impact Documentation	CLASSIFICATION	THE PROPERTY ALS		TOHA	COMPRESS OF	Distant in	150	No. ADDITION AND	3
iemerk +. Chercurnence appact Decomentation		A 110	Section 19	Manantis	Manual -	110		ASSISTANT	
1 D-splacement Asshrife				1988-1988 (1998) 1998-1998 (1998)				AT MAX WAR IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF	-
2 CEGA Initial Study/Negative Declaration		ARCHN PARS	4	A					8
	A CONTRACTOR OF A	Manufact Laboration		र होत्र जेगो है जिस्ताल 🕻		Jug we we bud	Transferration Provide	The second second second	
	SCHERKER OF IT			Maria 181		51.44		2 144 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
		DATE OF		a superior and the second	All days and	BURN PRANT THE CONT			
		1. and owner states	S. B. B. Ballio	r war o'n de gelage yn de gelage	enes wyourserverser :	M. Stkand Gale	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	And a state of the	
PUGG - Company and a state of the second state	ารการณ์รู้และกำให้สะหมายในการณ์ส								
Inting and Shipping (State Clearinghouse Submittai)		54							
			1						
OTAL COPENSES:	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	56	1						
			<u> </u>				DIRECT	- ~ 5.04####################################	6.2
							LASOR	DPENSES.	TOTA
(<u>1</u>)							COST	a hall a series	学习的变
ELEMENT A TOTAL:	Second Second	800.997 B	A 3. 40.		的复数形式使用的复数	1911 (B.4.1994)	\$17,200	565	\$17,26
Jement 5: Review and Adoption	CLASSIFICATION	FAUCHER	Denty/Househa		SMITH SUMOR PLANNER	SHORLINAD PLANNER PLANNER	LINCH/MEYTER BURNEY TECHNOLINE	NOTANTERNALDA	
	Constanting the Martin			1221 12 2	Instant Pression			SLAB	
Aresentation to ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Tesk 1 2) Response to Cemments								The second s	2
3 Adaption by ALUC (travel lime/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2)		ment of the							1
4 Final ALUCE	CONSTRUCTION OF	STATISTICS.				THEOREM H			
				1965 - Charles - Ball	a state of the sta	4		A State Sea Sea State	Ϋ́ς,
A second s		Married Street		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		·		and a scale support	
	R SUPPORAL DONUALES			TOT OF I THERE	SP CLINS"	91	Same mas	10 - 11 - 517 J	
		der mar a				1.0862.244	253 /··	A COMPANY AND A COMPANY A	
KALING and Shipping (One hardcopy each for CITY and COUNTY)	A BREAK PROCESS	Sie Sie	1						
winning and authorit fours or acoby each los cit is and confident?		216	1						
OTAL OPENSES:	and the second sec	51C							
							DIRECT	1	ST
							LABOR	EXPENSES	TOTA
53.							COST		11 2
LEMENT'S TOTAL	the second states and the second						\$17,768	5162	\$17.93

...

UKIAH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN

SCOPE OF SERVICES 2019-01-31

This document outlines the Scope of Services (SCOPE) to be provided by Mead & Hunt, Inc. (CONTRACTOR) for preparation of a new Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (UKIALUCP) under contract with the City of Ukiah (CITY). Responsibilities of CITY under this agreement are also listed.

The new UKIALUCP is intended to replace the Ukiah Municipal Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan, which is currently included as part of the *Mendocino County Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan* (MCACLUP) adopted by the Mendocino County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) in 1993, and later amended. The new UKIALUCP will be a complete plan unto itself and separate from policies or other content contained in the remainder of the MCACLUP.

Although CITY owns the Ukiah Municipal Airport (UKI) and has land use control over most of the surrounding area, implementation of the new UKIALUCP will first require adoption by ALUC. ALUC functions under the auspices of the County of Mendocino (COUNTY). Participation of COUNTY/ALUC is essential to accomplishment of SCOPE. Specific responsibilities of COUNTY/ALUC under this agreement are listed herein.

The overall endeavor under SCOPE, including responsibilities of CITY and COUNTY/ALUC, is referred to herein as PROJECT.

Element 1. Project Management and Coordination

1.1 Project Administration

CONTRACTOR shall perform routine administrative duties including regular communication with CITY staff, processing contract documents, and monthly invoicing for the anticipated twelve (12) month duration of PROJECT.

1.2 Coordination with County/ALUC

PROJECT will require efficient coordination among CONTRACTOR, CITY, and COUNTY/ALUC. Much of this coordination will be accomplished via conference calls. In-person meetings, including public meetings, are also provided. The purposes of some meetings will be to enable CONTRACTOR to obtain information from CITY and COUNTY/ALUC necessary for preparation of UKIALUCP, as well as feedback on draft materials to be prepared by CONTRACTOR. Other meetings will be public meetings for presentation of a draft UKIALUCP or components thereof, for obtaining public input, and ultimately for adoption of the UKIALUCP by ALUC. The timing and function of individual meetings are identified in subsequent tasks.

Mead&Hunt

SCOPE provides for a total of up to six (6) project trips for staff-level and public meetings to be attended in person by one (1) CONTRACTOR staff and up to six (6) conference calls involving CONTRACTOR, CITY, and COUNTY/ALUC representative. Any in-person staff-level and public meetings held on the same day will be counted as a single meeting.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- Final scope, budget, and schedule.
- Monthly communication with CITY staff for purposes of tracking progress, schedule, and budget.
- Monthly invoices and status reports.
- Participation in meetings with CITY and COUNTY/ALUC as described under Task 1.2 (all meetings are budgeted under this element).

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Identify an individual with whom CONTRACTOR shall maintain coordination and who shall participate in PROJECT meetings.
- Arrange rooms, noticing PROJECT meetings, distribute deliverables, and maintain overall coordination with the COUNTY/ALUC.

COUNTY/ALUC RESPONSIBILITIES

- Identify an individual or individuals with whom CITY staff shall coordinate and who shall participate in PROJECT meetings.
- Schedule and agendize ALUC meetings where PROJECT is to be discussed or acted upon.

Element 2. Data Collection and Compilation

2.1 Kickoff Meeting

CONTRACTOR shall formally begin PROJECT by making a presentation to ALUC. This presentation will allow CONTRACTOR staff to introduce ourselves and outline the work products, schedule and planned interaction among CONTRACTOR, CITY, and COUNTY/ALUC.

2.2 Airport and Land Use Data and Mapping

PROJECT will require certain background data and maps regarding UKI and surrounding land uses in CITY and COUNTY jurisdictions to be made available to CONTRACTOR. CONTRACTOR will rely upon CITY and COUNTY to provide the following data:

Airport Layout Plan (ALP) Drawing: California state law requires that an ALUCP be based on a current master plan of the airport or, if none exists, a current ALP may be used with the approval of the Caltrans Division of Aeronautics. The Division typically requests an ALP that has been approved by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). As CITY has not recently adopted a Master Plan for UKI, CONTRACTOR will utilize the FAA-approved 2015 ALP drawing. The existing and future airfield configurations depicted in the 2015 ALP will be reflected in new UKIALUCP maps. CONTRACTOR will submit the UKI ALP to Caltrans for approval as the basis for UKIALUCP.

- Airport Activity and Flight Track Data: CONTRACTOR will work with airport management, other CITY staff, and airport tenants familiar with UKI to estimate the current composition and overall level of aircraft operations at UKI utilizing readily available data. These sources will also be asked to assist with mapping of typical flight routes used by various types of aircraft operating at UKI.
- Airspace Plan Drawing: The Airspace Plan drawing shows the critical airspace surfaces established in accordance with Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Part 77, *Safe, Efficient Use, and Preservation of the Navigable Airspace*. The Airspace Plan will be used to establish height restrictions for the areas surrounding UKI.
- Land Use Data and Mapping: CONTRACTOR shall rely upon GIS data from CITY and COUNTY depicting existing land uses and the adopted general plan land use designations within the UKI vicinity. CONTRACTOR shall also review adopted CITY and COUNTY general plans and other policy documents for information and policies pertaining to land uses around UKI.

2.3 Activity Forecasts and Noise Contours

By law, ALUCPs must have a time horizon of at least 20 years. The activity data and forecasts are used to generate projected aircraft noise contours.

- Activity Forecasts: Using activity data obtained in Task 2.2, CONTRACTOR shall prepare new UKI aircraft operations forecasts for the year 2040.
- Noise Contours: Using current activity data and forecasts of future operations together with flight track information from Task 2.2, CONTRACTOR shall prepare Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) noise contours for current and 2040 aircraft operations at UKI. Contours will be depicted in 5 dB increments for CNEL 55 dB and above. The FAA's AEDT noise model will be used. The 2040 contours will take into account both the current and proposed configurations of the UKI runway as shown on the 2015 ALP.

2.4 Background Data Chapter

Documentation of facts pertaining to UKI and land uses around it is an essential foundation to UKIALUCP. It also will form the basis for the environmental impact analysis to be completed in Element 4. Once the airport and land use information has been gathered and sorted, CONTRACTOR shall prepare new maps and data tables for inclusion as a Background Data chapter in UKIALUCP. The CONTRACTOR shall hold a conference call with the CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives to obtain comments on and corrections to the Background Data chapter.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- List to be provided to CITY and COUNTY indicating airport and land use data needs for UKIALUCP.
- Letter to Caltrans requesting acceptance of 2015 UKI ALP as basis of UKIALUCP.
- Draft UKIALUCP Background Data chapter for review by CITY and COUNTY.

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

• Assist CONTRACTOR in obtaining or estimating current UKI aircraft activity and flight track data.

- Provide CONTRACTOR with current land use and future land use designation maps in GIS format for areas in CITY jurisdiction.
- Review draft Background Data chapter and provide corrections to CONTRACTOR.

COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Provide CONTRACTOR with current land use and future land use designation maps in GIS format for areas in COUNTY jurisdiction.
- Review draft Background Data chapter and provide corrections to CONTRACTOR.

Element 3. Draft ALUCP Preparation

3.1 Impact Identification

Consistent with the guidelines in the 2011 *California Airport Land Use Planning Handbook* (Handbook), the UKIALUCP will continue to address the four types of compatibility concerns in a composite manner:

- Noise is the impact most directly affected by the airport activity forecasts.
- Safety is the compatibility concern associated with the risks that potential aircraft accidents pose to people and property on the ground. Using data and guidance from the 2011 Handbook, CONTRACTOR shall delineate locations around UKI where heightened risk levels may warrant restrictions on future land use development.
- Airspace Protection is critical to airport viability in that airspace obstructions can be hazards to flight and can necessitate changes to aircraft flight procedures. The UKI Airspace Plan will be used to depict this critical airspace. Additionally, FAA guidelines will be used to map locations where certain types of land uses can attract birds in a manner that can be hazardous to aircraft in flight.
- Overflight pertains to areas beyond the noise contours where aircraft noise can be annoying or disruptive. For general aviation airports, the area of overflight concern is primarily within and near the aircraft traffic pattern.

Using the aeronautical and land use data gathered in the preceding tasks, CONTRACTOR shall map and describe the magnitude and extent of these concerns. The combined geographic extent of the four impact concerns will constitute the UKI influence area.

CONTRACTOR shall identify the compatibility factors that have changed in a manner that warrant a change to the 1993 (as amended) UKIALUCP compatibility zones and/or criteria. CONTRACTOR shall also identify the basic strategies available for addressing the changed conditions. CONTRACTOR shall summarize this information in a Discussion Paper to be presented to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC and hold a conference call to obtain feedback.

3.2 Draft Policies Chapter

The centerpiece of an ALUCP is the policy section. There are two types of policies that an ALUCP must include: compatibility policies and procedural policies. Compatibility policies and associated maps establish the basis for an ALUC's determination of whether proposed land use plans and projects are

Page 4

compatible with the airport involved. Procedural policies define the steps to be followed both by local agencies in referring actions for ALUC review and by an ALUC in conducting the reviews.

The ALUC's 1993 compatibility and procedural policies for UKI are outdated and do not conform to guidance in the Caltrans 2011 Handbook. Regardless of this status, because these are the adopted policies now in effect, CONTRACTOR will consider them as a starting point for preparation of policies for the new UKIALUCP. Nevertheless, significant clarification, expansion, and potential substantive changes to the current policies are envisioned. As part of PROJECT, CONTRACTOR shall prepare a complete new Policies chapter containing both compatibility and procedural policies applicable only to UKI, not the other airports for which ALUC has responsibility. A composite Compatibility Map, similar in concept to the one in the 1993 CLUP for UKI, which reflects the combined effects of all four compatibility concerns will be in the Policies chapter.

The Policies chapter will be presented in draft form to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC for discussion and feedback. Separately, CONTRACTOR shall prepare a Discussion Paper listing major differences in compatibility and procedural policies between those currently in effect and the ones contained in the draft Policies chapter. The CONTRACTOR shall hold a conference call with CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives to seek input to the Discussion Paper.

3.3 General Plan Consistency Review

For CITY and COUNTY/ALUC to understand the implications of the draft UKIALUCP upon their plans and policies, they will want to know where the conflicts lie. In this task, CONTRACTOR shall conduct a preliminary review of CITY and COUNTY general plans to assess the extent to which they may be inconsistent with the draft ALUCP criteria. CONTRACTOR shall emphasize the adopted general plan land use maps but shall also assess whether other types of essential airport land use compatibility measures, such as limits on heights of antennas and other structures, are in place. The outcome of this review will initially be presented to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC but will later become a central component of the CEQA document for the draft UKIALUCP. The CONTRACTOR shall hold a conference call with the CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives to discuss the results of the consistency analysis.

3.4 Administrative Draft and Public Draft UKIALUCP

The intent of PROJECT is to produce a new, self-contained UKIALUCP. In this task, CONTRACTOR shall assemble a complete draft document for presentation to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC. CONTRACTOR shall modify the draft Background Data and Policies chapters (prepared in Tasks 2,4 and 3.3) in response to comments from CITY and COUNTY/ALUC. Also to be included in the draft document shall be an Introduction Chapter explaining the purpose of the UKIALUCP and the respective roles of CITY and COUNTY/ALUC in implementing its policies. Standard appendix material (current state laws regarding ALUCs, FAA airspace protection regulations, typical avigation easement wording, glossary, etc.) will also be included.

The UKIALUCP will initially be prepared as an Administrative Draft for review at the staff level by CITY and COUNTY/ALUC. Following receipt of staff comments, CONTRACTOR shall make essential revisions and produce a Public Draft to be made available for public review and comment. The CONTRACTOR

shall hold a conference call to present the Administrative Draft UKIALUCP to the CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives. COUNTY Director of Planning and Building Services and CITY Director of Community Development shall provide written approval of the Draft UKIALUCP to CONTRACTOR and CITY before the Draft UKIALUCP is released for public review or presented to any public commission, council or board.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- Draft Policies chapter for CITY and COUNTY/ALUC review.
- General plan consistency review.
- Administrative Draft UKIALUCP (pdf format).
- Public Draft UKIALUCP (pdf format).

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review draft material and provide feedback to CONTRACTOR within one week of receipt.
- Prior to document release, provide written approval of the Draft UKIALUCP to CONTRACTOR.
- Make Public Draft available on CITY website.

COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review draft material and provide feedback to CONTRACTOR.
- Prior to document release, provide written approval of the Draft UKIALUCP to CONTRACTOR.
- Make Public Draft available on COUNTY/ALUC website and distribute public noticing of document's availability.

Element 4. Environmental Impact Documentation

4.1 Displacement Analysis

Adoption and implementation of the Draft UKIALUCP could result in changes to future development patterns by shifting or displacing the location of future residential and nonresidential land uses in the UKI influence area. The purpose of the displacement analysis is to identify conflicts with the land use and growth patterns provided in CITY and COUNTY planning documents and to determine the extent to which future development would be displaced. With certain exceptions, the displacement analysis will quantify the potential displacement of residential uses and qualify potential future displacement of nonresidential uses. This analysis will supplement the initial general plan consistency review conducted as part of the Element 3 work. CONTRACTOR shall provide the displacement results to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC for review and comment as it will become the central component of the CEQA documentation. The CONTRACTOR shall hold an in-person meeting to present the displacement results to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC staff representatives and invited key stakeholders.

4.2 CEQA Initial Study/Negative Declaration

This task accomplishes preparation of environmental impact documentation necessary for adoption of the UKIALUCP in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the 2007 California Supreme Court decision in Muzzy Ranch Company v. Solano County Airport Land Use Commission. An

ALUCP is regulatory in nature and does not involve physical construction but does have indirect impacts. Accordingly, CONTRACTOR shall analyze the environmental impacts associated with the UKIALUCP and shall emphasize the issues associated with project implementation; specifically: noise, land use, population and housing, and cumulative impacts.

CONTRACTOR shall document the results of the above analysis in an Initial Study structured in accordance with CEQA requirements. Recognizing that the assessment will focus on where the new UKIALUCP will increase restrictions on underlying land uses, CONTRACTOR anticipates that the likelihood of significant displaced development to be low. CONTRACTOR expects that a Negative Declaration incorporating the Initial Study will be sufficient to achieve CEQA compliance. If this should prove not to be the case, then the Initial Study can serve as the basis for preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) under a subsequent or amended contract agreement. CONTRACTOR shall submit the CEQA document to the State Clearinghouse.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- Discussion paper outlining the displacement results (pdf format).
- Draft Initial Study and Negative Declaration (pdf format).
- State Clearinghouse submittal package.

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review Discussion Paper and Draft Initial Study and provide comments to CONTRACTOR.
- Make CEQA document available on CITY website.

COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review Discussion Paper and Draft Initial Study and provide comments to CONTRACTOR.
- Make CEQA document available on COUNTY/ALUC website and distribute public noticing of document's availability as required by law.

Element 5. Review and Adoption

5.1 Presentation to ALUC

At the outset of the public review period, CONTRACTOR shall make a presentation to ALUC to describe the draft UKIALUCP, its differences from the current plan, and major findings of the environmental review. The ALUC meeting should be structured to allow public comment on the draft UKIALUCP and CEQA document. Two additional trips are provided to present the draft UKIALUCP to joint meetings of 1) the City Council and Board of Supervisors; and 2) the Ukiah Planning Commission and Mendocino County Planning Commission.

5.2 Response to Comments

CONTRACTOR shall tabulate comments received on the draft UKIALUCP made during the ALUC meeting or subsequently received in writing. CONTRACTOR shall include brief responses to each comment and indicate recommended changes to the draft UKIALUCP. CONTRACTOR shall list recommended revisions to the draft ALUCP in a formal Addendum.

Mead&Hunt

Page 7

5.4 Adoption by ALUC

CONTRACTOR shall make a presentation to the ALUC summarizing the comments received and revisions proposed for the UKIALUCP at an ALUC hearing. Approval of the environmental document and adoption of the UKIALUCP will require formal actions by ALUC. CONTRACTOR shall assist CITY and COUNTY staff with preparation of the staff report and adopting documents for the approval of the environmental document and adoption of the UKIALUCP.

5.5 Final ALUCP

After ALUC adopts the UKIALUCP, CONTRACTOR shall prepare a final version of the UKIALUCP incorporating all the changes listed in the Addendum. CONTRACTOR shall provide CITY and COUNTY with one hard copy each of the final plan and a CD containing all text, GIS maps, and other final document material in their original digital file formats.

Element 6. Additional Services

While the deliverables and basic work effort required for the project are known, many times a need arises for additional services. CONTRACTOR recommends that the work scope include a contingency budget of at least five thousand dollars (\$5,000) to cover unanticipated tasks.

ATTACHMENT A - CONTRACT 1819164

UKIAH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN

SCOPE OF SERVICES

2019-01-31

This document outlines the Scope of Services (SCOPE) to be provided by Mead & Hunt, Inc. (CONTRACTOR) for preparation of a new Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (UKIALUCP) under contract with the City of Ukiah (CITY). Responsibilities of CITY under this agreement are also listed.

The new UKIALUCP is intended to replace the Ukiah Municipal Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan, which is currently included as part of the *Mendocino County Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan* (MCACLUP) adopted by the Mendocino County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) in 1993, and later amended. The new UKIALUCP will be a complete plan unto itself and separate from policies or other content contained in the remainder of the MCACLUP.

Although CITY owns the Ukiah Municipal Airport (UKI) and has land use control over most of the surrounding area, implementation of the new UKIALUCP will first require adoption by ALUC. ALUC functions under the auspices of the County of Mendocino (COUNTY). Participation of COUNTY/ALUC is essential to accomplishment of SCOPE. Specific responsibilities of COUNTY/ALUC under this agreement are listed herein.

The overall endeavor under SCOPE, including responsibilities of CITY and COUNTY/ALUC, is referred to herein as PROJECT.

Element 1. Project Management and Coordination

1.1 Project Administration

CONTRACTOR shall perform routine administrative duties including regular communication with CITY staff, processing contract documents, and monthly invoicing for the anticipated twelve (12) month duration of PROJECT.

1.2 Coordination with County/ALUC

PROJECT will require efficient coordination among CONTRACTOR, CITY, and COUNTY/ALUC. Much of this coordination will be accomplished via conference calls. In-person meetings, including public meetings, are also provided. The purposes of some meetings will be to enable CONTRACTOR to obtain information from CITY and COUNTY/ALUC necessary for preparation of UKIALUCP, as well as feedback on draft materials to be prepared by CONTRACTOR. Other meetings will be public meetings for presentation of a draft UKIALUCP or components thereof, for obtaining public input, and ultimately for adoption of the UKIALUCP by ALUC. The timing and function of individual meetings are identified in subsequent tasks.

Mead&Hunt

SCOPE provides for a total of up to six (6) project trips for staff-level and public meetings to be attended in person by one (1) CONTRACTOR staff and up to six (6) conference calls involving CONTRACTOR, CITY, and COUNTY/ALUC representative. Any in-person staff-level and public meetings held on the same day will be counted as a single meeting.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- Final scope, budget, and schedule.
- Monthly communication with CITY staff for purposes of tracking progress, schedule, and budget.
- Monthly invoices and status reports.
- Participation in meetings with CITY and COUNTY/ALUC as described under Task 1.2 (all meetings are budgeted under this element).

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Identify an individual with whom CONTRACTOR shall maintain coordination and who shall participate in PROJECT meetings.
- Arrange rooms, noticing PROJECT meetings, distribute deliverables, and maintain overall coordination with the COUNTY/ALUC.

COUNTY/ALUC RESPONSIBILITIES

- Identify an individual or individuals with whom CITY staff shall coordinate and who shall participate in PROJECT meetings.
- Schedule and agendize ALUC meetings where PROJECT is to be discussed or acted upon.

Element 2. Data Collection and Compilation

2.1 Kickoff Meeting

CONTRACTOR shall formally begin PROJECT by making a presentation to ALUC. This presentation will allow CONTRACTOR staff to introduce ourselves and outline the work products, schedule and planned interaction among CONTRACTOR, CITY, and COUNTY/ALUC.

2.2 Airport and Land Use Data and Mapping

PROJECT will require certain background data and maps regarding UKI and surrounding land uses in CITY and COUNTY jurisdictions to be made available to CONTRACTOR. CONTRACTOR will rely upon CITY and COUNTY to provide the following data:

Airport Layout Plan (ALP) Drawing: California state law requires that an ALUCP be based on a
current master plan of the airport or, if none exists, a current ALP may be used with the approval of
the Caltrans Division of Aeronautics. The Division typically requests an ALP that has been approved
by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). As CITY has not recently adopted a Master Plan for UKI,
CONTRACTOR will utilize the FAA-approved 2015 ALP drawing. The existing and future airfield
configurations depicted in the 2015 ALP will be reflected in new UKIALUCP maps. CONTRACTOR
will submit the UKI ALP to Caltrans for approval as the basis for UKIALUCP.

- Airport Activity and Flight Track Data: CONTRACTOR will work with airport management, other CITY staff, and airport tenants familiar with UKI to estimate the current composition and overall level of aircraft operations at UKI utilizing readily available data. These sources will also be asked to assist with mapping of typical flight routes used by various types of aircraft operating at UKI.
- Airspace Plan Drawing: The Airspace Plan drawing shows the critical airspace surfaces established in accordance with Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Part 77, Safe, Efficient Use, and Preservation of the Navigable Airspace. The Airspace Plan will be used to establish height restrictions for the areas surrounding UKI.
- Land Use Data and Mapping: CONTRACTOR shall rely upon GIS data from CITY and COUNTY
 depicting existing land uses and the adopted general plan land use designations within the UKI vicinity.
 CONTRACTOR shall also review adopted CITY and COUNTY general plans and other policy
 documents for information and policies pertaining to land uses around UKI.

2.3 Activity Forecasts and Noise Contours

By law, ALUCPs must have a time horizon of at least 20 years. The activity data and forecasts are used to generate projected aircraft noise contours.

- Activity Forecasts: Using activity data obtained in Task 2.2, CONTRACTOR shall prepare new UKI aircraft operations forecasts for the year 2040.
- Noise Contours: Using current activity data and forecasts of future operations together with flight track information from Task 2.2, CONTRACTOR shall prepare Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) noise contours for current and 2040 aircraft operations at UKI. Contours will be depicted in 5 dB increments for CNEL 55 dB and above. The FAA's AEDT noise model will be used. The 2040 contours will take into account both the current and proposed configurations of the UKI runway as shown on the 2015 ALP.

2.4 Background Data Chapter

Documentation of facts pertaining to UKI and land uses around it is an essential foundation to UKIALUCP. It also will form the basis for the environmental impact analysis to be completed in Element 4. Once the airport and land use information has been gathered and sorted, CONTRACTOR shall prepare new maps and data tables for inclusion as a Background Data chapter in UKIALUCP. The CONTRACTOR shall hold a conference call with the CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives to obtain comments on and corrections to the Background Data chapter.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- List to be provided to CITY and COUNTY indicating airport and land use data needs for UKIALUCP.
- Letter to Caltrans requesting acceptance of 2015 UKI ALP as basis of UKIALUCP.
- Draft UKIALUCP Background Data chapter for review by CITY and COUNTY.

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

Assist CONTRACTOR in obtaining or estimating current UKI aircraft activity and flight track data.

- Provide CONTRACTOR with current land use and future land use designation maps in GIS format for areas in CITY jurisdiction.
- Review draft Background Data chapter and provide corrections to CONTRACTOR.

COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Provide CONTRACTOR with current land use and future land use designation maps in GIS format for areas in COUNTY jurisdiction.
- Review draft Background Data chapter and provide corrections to CONTRACTOR.

Element 3. Draft ALUCP Preparation

3.1 Impact Identification

Consistent with the guidelines in the 2011 California Airport Land Use Planning Handbook (Handbook), the UKIALUCP will continue to address the four types of compatibility concerns in a composite manner:

- Noise is the impact most directly affected by the airport activity forecasts.
- Safety is the compatibility concern associated with the risks that potential aircraft accidents pose to people and property on the ground. Using data and guidance from the 2011 Handbook, CONTRACTOR shall delineate locations around UKI where heightened risk levels may warrant restrictions on future land use development.
- Airspace Protection is critical to airport viability in that airspace obstructions can be hazards to flight
 and can necessitate changes to aircraft flight procedures. The UKI Airspace Plan will be used to depict
 this critical airspace. Additionally, FAA guidelines will be used to map locations where certain types of
 land uses can attract birds in a manner that can be hazardous to aircraft in flight.
- Overflight pertains to areas beyond the noise contours where aircraft noise can be annoying or disruptive. For general aviation airports, the area of overflight concern is primarily within and near the aircraft traffic pattern.

Using the aeronautical and land use data gathered in the preceding tasks, CONTRACTOR shall map and describe the magnitude and extent of these concerns. The combined geographic extent of the four impact concerns will constitute the UKI influence area.

CONTRACTOR shall identify the compatibility factors that have changed in a manner that warrant a change to the 1993 (as amended) UKIALUCP compatibility zones and/or criteria. CONTRACTOR shall also identify the basic strategies available for addressing the changed conditions. CONTRACTOR shall summarize this information in a Discussion Paper to be presented to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC and hold a conference call to obtain feedback.

3.2 Draft Policies Chapter

The centerpiece of an ALUCP is the policy section. There are two types of policies that an ALUCP must include: compatibility policies and procedural policies. Compatibility policies and associated maps establish the basis for an ALUC's determination of whether proposed land use plans and projects are

compatible with the airport involved. Procedural policies define the steps to be followed both by local agencies in referring actions for ALUC review and by an ALUC in conducting the reviews.

The ALUC's 1993 compatibility and procedural policies for UKI are outdated and do not conform to guidance in the Caltrans 2011 Handbook. Regardless of this status, because these are the adopted policies now in effect, CONTRACTOR will consider them as a starting point for preparation of policies for the new UKIALUCP. Nevertheless, significant clarification, expansion, and potential substantive changes to the current policies are envisioned. As part of PROJECT, CONTRACTOR shall prepare a complete new Policies chapter containing both compatibility and procedural policies applicable only to UKI, not the other airports for which ALUC has responsibility. A composite Compatibility Map, similar in concept to the one in the 1993 CLUP for UKI, which reflects the combined effects of all four compatibility concerns will be in the Policies chapter.

The Policies chapter will be presented in draft form to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC for discussion and feedback. Separately, CONTRACTOR shall prepare a Discussion Paper listing major differences in compatibility and procedural policies between those currently in effect and the ones contained in the draft Policies chapter. The CONTRACTOR shall hold a conference call with CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives to seek input to the Discussion Paper.

3.3 General Plan Consistency Review

For CITY and COUNTY/ALUC to understand the implications of the draft UKIALUCP upon their plans and policies, they will want to know where the conflicts lie. In this task, CONTRACTOR shall conduct a preliminary review of CITY and COUNTY general plans to assess the extent to which they may be inconsistent with the draft ALUCP criteria. CONTRACTOR shall emphasize the adopted general plan land use maps but shall also assess whether other types of essential airport land use compatibility measures, such as limits on heights of antennas and other structures, are in place. The outcome of this review will initially be presented to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC but will later become a central component of the CEQA document for the draft UKIALUCP. The CONTRACTOR shall hold a conference call with the CITY and COUNTY/ALUC presentatives to discuss the results of the consistency analysis.

3.4 Administrative Draft and Public Draft UKIALUCP

The intent of PROJECT is to produce a new, self-contained UKIALUCP. In this task, CONTRACTOR shall assemble a complete draft document for presentation to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC. CONTRACTOR shall modify the draft Background Data and Policies chapters (prepared in Tasks 2,4 and 3.3) in response to comments from CITY and COUNTY/ALUC. Also to be included in the draft document shall be an Introduction Chapter explaining the purpose of the UKIALUCP and the respective roles of CITY and COUNTY/ALUC in implementing its policies. Standard appendix material (current state laws regarding ALUCs, FAA airspace protection regulations, typical avigation easement wording, glossary, etc.) will also be included.

The UKIALUCP will initially be prepared as an Administrative Draft for review at the staff level by CITY and COUNTY/ALUC. Following receipt of staff comments, CONTRACTOR shall make essential revisions and produce a Public Draft to be made available for public review and comment. The CONTRACTOR

shall hold a conference call to present the Administrative Draft UKIALUCP to the CITY and COUNTY/ALUC representatives. COUNTY Director of Planning and Building Services and CITY Director of Community Development shall provide written approval of the Draft UKIALUCP to CONTRACTOR and CITY before the Draft UKIALUCP is released for public review or presented to any public commission, council or board.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- Draft Policies chapter for CITY and COUNTY/ALUC review.
- General plan consistency review.
- Administrative Draft UKIALUCP (pdf format).
- Public Draft UKIALUCP (pdf format).

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review draft material and provide feedback to CONTRACTOR within one week of receipt.
- Prior to document release, provide written approval of the Draft UKIALUCP to CONTRACTOR.
- Make Public Draft available on CITY website.

COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review draft material and provide feedback to CONTRACTOR.
- Prior to document release, provide written approval of the Draft UKIALUCP to CONTRACTOR.
- Make Public Draft available on COUNTY/ALUC website and distribute public noticing of document's availability.

Element 4. Environmental Impact Documentation

4.1 Displacement Analysis

Adoption and implementation of the Draft UKIALUCP could result in changes to future development patterns by shifting or displacing the location of future residential and nonresidential land uses in the UKI influence area. The purpose of the displacement analysis is to identify conflicts with the land use and growth patterns provided in CITY and COUNTY planning documents and to determine the extent to which future development would be displaced. With certain exceptions, the displacement analysis will quantify the potential displacement of residential uses and qualify potential future displacement of nonresidential uses. This analysis will supplement the initial general plan consistency review conducted as part of the Element 3 work. CONTRACTOR shall provide the displacement results to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC for review and comment as it will become the central component of the CEQA documentation. The CONTRACTOR shall hold an in-person meeting to present the displacement results to CITY and COUNTY/ALUC staff representatives and invited key stakeholders.

4.2 CEQA Initial Study/Negative Declaration

This task accomplishes preparation of environmental impact documentation necessary for adoption of the UKIALUCP in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the 2007 California Supreme Court decision in Muzzy Ranch Company v. Solano County Airport Land Use Commission. An

ALUCP is regulatory in nature and does not involve physical construction but does have indirect impacts. Accordingly, CONTRACTOR shall analyze the environmental impacts associated with the UKIALUCP and shall emphasize the issues associated with project implementation; specifically: noise, land use, population and housing, and cumulative impacts.

CONTRACTOR shall document the results of the above analysis in an Initial Study structured in accordance with CEQA requirements. Recognizing that the assessment will focus on where the new UKIALUCP will increase restrictions on underlying land uses, CONTRACTOR anticipates that the likelihood of significant displaced development to be low. CONTRACTOR expects that a Negative Declaration incorporating the Initial Study will be sufficient to achieve CEQA compliance. If this should prove not to be the case, then the Initial Study can serve as the basis for preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) under a subsequent or amended contract agreement. CONTRACTOR shall submit the CEQA document to the State Clearinghouse.

CONTRACTOR DELIVERABLES

- Discussion paper outlining the displacement results (pdf format).
- Draft Initial Study and Negative Declaration (pdf format).
- State Clearinghouse submittal package.

CITY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review Discussion Paper and Draft Initial Study and provide comments to CONTRACTOR.
- Make CEQA document available on CITY website.

COUNTY RESPONSIBILITIES

- Review Discussion Paper and Draft Initial Study and provide comments to CONTRACTOR.
- Make CEQA document available on COUNTY/ALUC website and distribute public noticing of document's availability as required by law.

Element 5. Review and Adoption

5.1 Presentation to ALUC

At the outset of the public review period, CONTRACTOR shall make a presentation to ALUC to describe the draft UKIALUCP, its differences from the current plan, and major findings of the environmental review. The ALUC meeting should be structured to allow public comment on the draft UKIALUCP and CEQA document. Two additional trips are provided to present the draft UKIALUCP to joint meetings of 1) the City Council and Board of Supervisors; and 2) the Ukiah Planning Commission and Mendocino County Planning Commission..

5.2 Response to Comments

CONTRACTOR shall tabulate comments received on the draft UKIALUCP made during the ALUC meeting or subsequently received in writing. CONTRACTOR shall include brief responses to each comment and indicate recommended changes to the draft UKIALUCP. CONTRACTOR shall list recommended revisions to the draft ALUCP in a formal Addendum.

Mead&Hunt

Page 7

X.\2112200\190459.01\CFEE\working\UKI ALUCP.MeadHunt scope 2019-01-31.docx

5.4 Adoption by ALUC

CONTRACTOR shall make a presentation to the ALUC summarizing the comments received and revisions proposed for the UKIALUCP at an ALUC hearing. Approval of the environmental document and adoption of the UKIALUCP will require formal actions by ALUC. CONTRACTOR shall assist CITY and COUNTY staff with preparation of the staff report and adopting documents for the approval of the environmental document and adoption of the UKIALUCP.

5.5 Final ALUCP

After ALUC adopts the UKIALUCP, CONTRACTOR shall prepare a final version of the UKIALUCP incorporating all the changes listed in the Addendum. CONTRACTOR shall provide CITY and COUNTY with one hard copy each of the final plan and a CD containing all text, GIS maps, and other final document material in their original digital file formats.

Element 6. Additional Services

While the deliverables and basic work effort required for the project are known, many times a need arises for additional services. CONTRACTOR recommends that the work scope include a contingency budget of at least five thousand dollars (\$5,000) to cover unanticipated tasks.

ATTACHMENT B - CONTRACT #1819164

. .

Attachment B

Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Mezd Hunt Project Budget January 10, 2019

COST PERCENT OF TOTAL EXPENSES WORK PLAN Bement 1: Project Managament, Coordination, and Meatings \$79,234 5574 \$78.610 24% Element 2, Data Collection and Compilation \$24,874 \$14,674 20% 28% Sement 1: Graft ALUCP Preservition \$15,120 535,320 lement 4: Environmental Impact Doromentation \$17,200 166 \$17,256 14% Peinessi 3: Raview and Adapping \$17,764 \$162 \$17,910 14% 15124,150 LUMP SUM TOTAL: 5402 SHEOD 100% 1. S. OO ement 6: Additional Services

Recycle Associations August Layout Plan (ALP) and alripace plan to be provided in AutoCAD format by SCAS Processing radar light tracks not included

CITY stat! to provide or coordinate obtaining GIS land use and zoning data from local agencies

Aerial photographs will be provided by the client, or used from publicly available databases,

CITY or COUNTY/ALUG stall responsible for noticing Public Drah ALUCP and CEOA document

Only one public circulation of CEOA documents is assumed

Circly minor revenues anticipated to be needed to ALLICP draits to address agency or public comments,

Gno CONTRACTOR stall parson to allend mestings and provide technical input, Ba delinici project typs provided (8-hourday, no overright stay), Mosings will ulike a combination of PowerPoint and PDF displays GTV stati responsible for arranging rooms, noticing project meetings, making as a table project documents and overall condition with ALUC, Caltrans, affected egendes and/or the public. Hatiple meetings may be scheduled on same day, where feasible Additional meetings requiring separate tops or overnight stays to be charged against confingency task.

	the second s	FAUCHER	DIETZ/HOOPLA	THOMPSON	SMITH	ENGELSTAD	ERONI/	
LABOR HOURS	CLASSIFICATION	HERED AL	M. HORET	PROJECT NOUNDER		S PULINHER	I SENIOR	ADMINISTRATIVE TASSISTING
	IN THE RATE	\$310	5216	ISIN .	A Sies .	a isur 21	1115148 ACT	\$102
Coment 1: Projet Makigement Georgination, and Meetings		2	32	108				
Dement & Dets Callection and Compilation	O STATE O			12	74	36	24	3
Sement 3 Drat ALUCE Preparation	U		16	\$2			48	16
Element & Environmental Impact Documentation				15		54	20	
Compil St Raday and Education	ALL CONTRACTOR		16	32		32	16	12
「「「「「「「」」」、「「」」、「」」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、「」	SUSTOTAL HOURS:	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A	E COMPANY	a sta	323 200	13. SZ	CLE 2 100	
	MIRCENT OF TOTAL	ALC: IN	11%	23%		20%	114%	STATE IN
	AN STOTAL HOURS				a stand stand	UAL SE		A 10 1 10 1761

Attachment B Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Mead Hunt Project Budget Inwary 10, 2019

•

٠

	TEAM	FAUCHER	DETT/HOOPER	THOMPSON	SHAFTH	DIAZ/	EROH/MEYER	Hereiter And
Element 1: Project Management, Coordination, and Meetings	CLASSIFICATION	PRINCIPAL	SR. PROJECT	PROKET	SUMOR PLANNER	PLANINER	SEKOR TECHNICIAN	ADIVENESTRATIVE
	RATE		5226	\$1.90 T	2 \$163	5112	5144	\$141
1 1 Pro,est Administration (12 months)	\$3,441 N	2	2	12				
2 Coordinat an and Meetings with County/ALUC (6 trips)	\$13,750 5		30					
	TOTAL HOURS:	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 	n		[소리가~ 희기		1997 - 1997 1	30
	DIRECT LANON COST:	\$629	\$7,232	\$21,544	20 N. S.			
ان د در در می باشند. این د این می باشند از میشود می باشند و میشود می میشود میشود میشود و میشود و میشود از می می این می این و این و می باشند از این این می باشند از این این این این این این این این این و میشود و می می این این این این و می این و	and the second secon	21.283	(a) <u>3</u> .95%		108942cmini	30		
STARSER STORE IN A REPORT	BOR SUBTOTAL DOLLARS:	R. S. F.	to de la		CREAT PARTY		SEL MARKEN	\$29,236

EVENNES Travel (6 vips) 5374 TOTAL DIPENSES:

. .

-

	COST	EXPENSES	TOTAL
ELEMENT 1 TOTAL:	- \$29,236	\$574	\$25,810)

- j.

Attachment B Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Mead Hunt Project Budget January 10 2015

\$:

	TIAN	FAUCHER	DIETL/HOOPLE	THOMPSON		ENGELSTAD	SINCH/METTA	- Langence Walts	
ement 2: Data Collection and Compliation	CLASSIFICATION	Theory are	States -	IN PROVECT OF	SCHOOL PLANNER	PLANNER	SURGA TELEVISION	ACHERETTATIVE	
	Prostant LATE	TEL Spin O	5226	1141	SILES .	\$132	\$140	Sales Star	
1 Kickelf Meeting (travel labor/e-pentes budgeted under Task 1 2)	Statementerin BLATA					STREET, STREET,		1	
2 Airport and Land Use Data and Alapping 3 Activity Forecasts and Roise Contours	TERMANDARY 4LONO		1	2	20	20			
4 Background Data Chapter	THE REPORT OF A HOUNG			4		32	20		
CUACH PENSES INTEL OKPENSES	OBJECT LALOR COST.		TURNET \$1,00			111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 1111 1111			
	2010 11 - 2010 11 - 1		683 - E		Standing of the	and the second		1 / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	In Control and the State	4445-475X	1940 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 1			THE REAL PROPERTY.	u. Danar in a	- Barter	
	way attached and and	The sector of the sector							
ane .									
OTAL EXPENSES	Pin The Atter	TRACE MOINT	1						
			-				DIRECT	387 - Carl	
							LABOR	EXPENSES	TOTAL
LEMENT 2 TOTAL	Same and the second street of the			Philippine Maderiana	and the second discourse	Mean's Sectore 14	\$24,674	A W	\$24,574
			I TRACOVI			1			
	TAM	FAUCHER	ONETZ/HOOPER		SMITH		ENCHUMENT		
lement 3: Drak ALUCP Preparation	CLASSIFICATION	PERCIPAL	SR. MORCI	A PROPERTY.		A ANALA	TELECON TRA	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	
	CONSIGNATION BAN	100 \$316 AM	S218		SIG	20 20 5 1.22 Card		ANXINATIC	
1 Impact Identification			1	4	105	1	16		
2 Draft Policies Chapter 3 General Plan Consistency Review	THE REAL \$7,000			16		1		the second s	
4 Administrative Draft and Public Draft URIALL CP	I GULLE COMPANY	-		1 16		4			
	TOTAL HOURSE BREET JULION COST	COST ACCORDING AND FORM	THE REAL	57	Stand House	WE \$11.67			
Constant where the second s							the second s	the second of the late of the second se	
	and the second sec	Adjustment press contracted	A STATE OF STREET	And Sand August Street Street	States and states and states		Same in the second second	STATES TO A STATES STATES	
	ROA SUBTOTAL DOLLARS			770000000		1.00		ALL ALLAND	
IDENSES	and the second sec			17/0/0/02510		an an		ALL	
	NOR SUBJOTAL DOLLAND			120020020		a the second		and the second	
22068 Gone	ROA SURTOFAL COLLANS:								
IDENSES	ROA SURTOFAL COLLANS:								
22068 Gone	ROA SURTOFAL COLLANS:						ORECT	DOPUNES	TOTAL

ŧ.

Uninger

* 4

¥

Attachment B Ukiah Municipal Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Mead Hunt Project Budgat January 18, 2019

.

¥

i.

*

* \$

2

Element 4: Environmental Impact Documentation	TUNG	FAUCHER	BROUY!	THOMPSON	SMITH	ENGELSTAD	EROHU/MEYER	and the second	1
	CLASSRCATION	PRINCIPAL	St. PROMIT	PROMET	CONTRACTOR -	PLANER	Station	ADAMPETTATIVE	1
	ANTE MATE	110	PLANNUR	MANAGER	PLANEYER 5163		TECHNICIAN .	ASSISTANT	1
1 Displacement Analysis	19,610					1			1
2 CEOA Initial Study/Negative Declaration	TOTAL HOURS			14					
	DURCT LARCE COST.	Alter R	J1.60	Lie		11.44	\$2,960	They are the second part	
and the second		Martin State	1980 (Septer) 200	A N T Shaper I	- the part is the				1
and the second	SELUCIONAL DOLLARS	Start a second second second	and the second second	and of seturation of a	a day a fait and and any and	N. REALT	an estant to pe		5
WINGS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1						
rinning and Shippang State (learinghouse Submitted		54	1						
the second s			1						
OTAL EXPENSES:	Bon de sta sontwards " win	with about \$6							
							ORRECT	17 PE 240	19.24 T
現象を							LABOR	COPENSES	TOTAL
REEMENT 4 TOTAL:						\$17,260	\$65	\$17,266	
	the state of the state	1.4 141 444 111	1 Maderil	2011 1 1 may		THE	- Pro there		1
	1	FAUCHER	MADON/	THOMPSON	SMITH	BLAS/	LACH/MEYER	and the second second]
Jement 5: Review and Adoption	CLASSIFICATION	FAUCHER REIRCIPAL	DIETE/HOOPLE	THE REAL	SEMICH	E HOR STAD	ALL DE MODEL	ADVANCES	
			DIETE/HOOPLE	THOMPSON PROJECT		E HORLETAD			
1 Presentation to ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1.2)		PRINCIPAL	DIETE/HOOPER	PROMINE MANUAL	SUMON PLASMES	E KORLETAD PLANSJER	TELMOCIAN	TANTERIA	
Presentation to ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1.2) Response to Comments	CLASSIFICATION MATE	PRINCIPAL	DIETE/HOOPER	PROMINE MANUAL	SUMON PLASMES	E KORLETAD PLANSJER	TELMOCIAN	TANTERIA	
Presentation to ALUC (travel time/expensions budgeted under Task 1 3) Response to Comments Adoption by ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2)		PRINCIPAL	DIET/ACOPE		States	E KORLETAD PLANSJER	Statia TECHNICLIAN Stati	TANTERIA	
Presentation to ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2) Response to Continents Adoption by ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2)		PRINCIPAL	DIETE/HOOPEN I SA: PROJECT I PLANICE SZIS		PLANKS			TALITERA	
Presentation to ALUC (travel time/expensions budgeted under Task 1 3) Response to Comments Adoption by ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2)		PRINCIPAL	DIET/ACOPE		PLANKS			TANTERIA	
1) Presentation to ALUC (travel inne/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2) 2) Response to Commentu 3) Adoption by ALUC (travel linne/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2) 4) Final ALUCP		PRINCIPAL	PHETE/HOOPAEL SB. PROMETT PLANNET SIZE		SEMICH PLANNES \$143	CATELORN 3 REALING ELLIS I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		ASETTAT Bari Bari Bari Bari	
1 Presentation to ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2) 2 Response to Comments 3 Adoption by ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2); 4 Final ALUCF	CLASSINKATION ATTO SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE	PRINCIPAL	PHETE/HOOPAEL SB. PROMETT PLANNET SIZE		SEMICH PLANNES \$143	CATELORN 3 REALING ELLIS I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	SEARCH TECHNOLLAN BAG 16 16 16 16 16 16	ASSETTATION Basel	
Presentation to ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1.3) Response to Commence Adoption by ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1.3) Adoption by ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1.3) Afinal ALUCP Commence (LAUC)	CLASSINKATION ATTO SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE	PRINCIPAL	DETT/ACCOVE IS. MORECT PLANES STAR		SEMICH PLANNES \$143	CATELORN 3 REALING ELLIS I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	SEARCH TECHNOLLAN BAG 16 16 16 16 16 16	ASSETTATION Basel	
Presentation to ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1.3) Response to Continents Adoption by ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Task 1.3) Adoption by ALUCP Inal AL	CLASSINKATION ATTO SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE	FDIO FDIO	DETT/ACCOVE IS. MORECT PLANES STAR		SEMICH PLANNES \$143	CATELORN 3 REALING ELLIS I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	SEARCH TECHNOLLAN BAG 16 16 16 16 16 16	ASSETTATION Basel	
1 Presentation to ALUC (travel inmo/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2) 2 Response to Comments 3 Adoption by ALUC (travel lime/expenses budgeted under Task 1 2) 4 Final ALUCP Comments Commen	CLASSINKATON APR SLAT SLAT SLAT SLAT SLAT SALAR	\$10 \$10	PARTA/100PU		SEMICH PLANNES \$143	CATELORN 3 REALING ELLIS I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	SEARCH TECHNOLLAN BAG 16 16 16 16 16 16	ASSETTATION Basel	1
SPEARES Holding and Shipping (One hardcopy sech for City and COUNTY)	CLASSINKATION ATTO SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SLIPA SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE SUPE	BIO BIO 516	PARTA/100PU		SEMICH PLANNES \$143	CATELORN 3 REALING ELLIS I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	60000 TTCINECUS 1824 1 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	ASSETTATION Basel	
Presentation to ALUC (travel inme/expenses budgeted under Tesk 1 2) Response to Comments Adoption by ALUC (travel lime/expenses budgeted under Tesk 1 2) A final ALUCP Comments	CLASSINKATON APR SLAT SLAT SLAT SLAT SLAT SALAR	\$10 \$10	PARTA/100PU		SEMICH PLANNES \$143	CATELORN 3 REALING ELLIS I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	614004 TTCPRCL44 1814 1814 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	Assertant SLA	
Aresentation to ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Yask 1 2) Response to Comments Adoption by ALUC (travel time/expenses budgeted under Yask 1 2); 4 Final ALUCP Around ALUCP Arou	CLASSINKATON APR SLAT SLAT SLAT SLAT SLAT SALAR	\$10 \$10	PARTA/100PU		SEMICH PLANNES \$143	CATELORN 3 REALING ELLIS I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	60000 TTCINECUS 1824 1 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	ASSETTATION Basel	

į