

Hello and welcome new board members,

I am concerned that a previous board member went out of his way to mislead and promote misinformation so publicly concerning the proposed updates to the canna ordinance.

I am in favor of the changes for medical grows as proposed in the final draft. People living in most of the county areas that are not incorporated have been using and growing their own cannabis for well over forty years. Six plants or 12 w a medical paper(s) in unincorporated areas gives the oldest residents a reasonable amount without the demands of having to go through the convoluted commercial growers process.

I live in the North County area and most would residents agree w me. I truly hope you are not pressured to not modify the very restrictive current regulations which basically allow for one or at the most two plants. Your final draft would be much more in alliance w the state if you agree to revise it.

I'm also concerned about the 10% of property grow change. This area is not conducive for that. It seems bizarre that people in the incorporated areas are so upset about a few plants for the average homeowner but have nothing to say about the big grows taking up water and other valuable resources.

I am very tired of all the divisions but such is politics. Perhaps we can allow for a compromise w the household growers based on regional concerns.

Thank you all,

Mare Murphy