



Mendocino County

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 22-0839 **Version:** 1 **Name:**
Type: Approval **Status:** Agenda Ready
File created: 5/27/2022 **In control:** Executive Office
On agenda: 6/8/2022 **Final action:**
Title: Discussion and Possible Action Regarding Clarification of Emergency Medical Services including Ambulance Transport as Public Safety; Review Current Service Gaps and Risks; and Direction to Staff to Return with Plan
(Sponsors: Supervisor Williams and Supervisor Mulheren)

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Lomita 1986, 2. Mendocino County Ambulance Service Areas & Fire Districts, 3. 6-8-22 Mendocino County BOS EMS Presentation

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
6/8/2022	1	Board of Supervisors		

To: Board of Supervisors

From: Supervisor Williams and Supervisor Mulheren

Meeting Date: June 8, 2022

Department Contact: Ted Williams

Phone: 707-937-6500

Item Type: Regular Agenda

Time Allocated for Item: 45 Min

Agenda Title:

Discussion and Possible Action Regarding Clarification of Emergency Medical Services including Ambulance Transport as Public Safety; Review Current Service Gaps and Risks; and Direction to Staff to Return with Plan
(Sponsors: Supervisor Williams and Supervisor Mulheren)

Recommended Action/Motion:

Clarify Emergency Medical Services including Ambulance transport as public safety; Review current service gaps and risks; and direct staff to return with plan, working with the Fire-EMS Ad Hoc as necessary.

Previous Board/Board Committee Actions:

None.

Summary of Request:

California Constitution, Article XIII - Taxation, Section 35, (2): "(2) The protection of the public safety is the first responsibility of local government and local officials have an obligation to give priority to the provision of adequate public safety services."

The public views emergency medical response and transport as public safety. It's time for the County to

formally adopt emergency medical response as a public safety priority.

See attached fire district and ambulance service area map.

See attached CITY OF LOMITA et al., Petitioners, v. THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES..., Court of Appeal of California, Second District, Division Four, Oct 16, 1986

Alternative Action/Motion:

Take no action.

Does This Item Support the General Plan? Yes

Supervisory District: All

vote requirement: Majority

Supplemental Information Available Online At: N/A

Fiscal Details:

source of funding: General Fund, Other

current f/y cost: N

annual recurring cost: Y

budget clarification:

budgeted in current f/y: No

if no, please describe:

revenue agreement: Choose an item.

Agreement/Resolution/Ordinance Approved by County Counsel: N/A

CEO Liaison: Executive Office

CEO Review: Yes

CEO Comments:

FOR COB USE ONLY

Executed By: Atlas Pearson, Deputy Clerk II

Final Status: Direction Given to Staff

Date: June 14, 2022

