



Mendocino County

Legislation Text

File #: 17-0852, Version: 1

To: Board of Supervisors

From: Planning and Building Services

Meeting Date: October 3, 2017

Department Contact: Julia Acker

Phone: 234-6650

Department Contact: Nash Gonzalez

Phone: 234-6650

Item Type: Consent Agenda

Time Allocated for Item: N/A

Agenda Title:

Adoption of Resolution Authorizing the Processing of a Consolidated Coastal Development Permit by the California Coastal Commission for the Nature Conservancy to Increase Winter Survival and Rearing Capacity for Coho Salmon in the South Fork of the Ten Mile River

Recommended Action/Motion:

Adopt Resolution authorizing the processing of a Consolidated Coastal Development Permit by the California Coastal Commission for the Nature Conservancy to increase winter survival and rearing capacity for Coho Salmon in the South Fork of the Ten Mile River; and authorize Chair to sign name.

Previous Board/Board Committee Actions:

None.

Summary of Request:

The Nature Conservancy proposes to increase winter survival and rearing capacity for Coho Salmon in the South Fork of the Ten Mile River by constructing a single off channel pond, a split flow side channel to maintain pond inlet accessibility, and two engineered log jams. Mapping from the California Coastal Commission has confirmed that the engineered log jams are under California Coastal Commission jurisdiction while the off channel pond and split flow channel are in Mendocino County jurisdiction. The Coastal Act was amended by Senate Bill 1843 effective January 1, 2007, which allows for a consolidated permitting process for projects for which the Coastal Development Permit authority is shared by a local government and the State Coastal Commission. Therefore, the Nature Conservancy is seeking a resolution which would consolidate the permit review under the Coastal Commission for this specific project only, and streamline the permit process. In support of this proposed consolidation request is that consolidation of the Coastal Development Permit would not substantially impair public participation in the review of the Coastal Development Permit, as a public hearing will still be held by the Coastal Commission and may be attended by interested parties or interested parties may submit written comments to the Coastal Commission.

Alternative Action/Motion:

Reject the Resolution and direct staff to process a Coastal Development Permit for that portion of the project located in the County's jurisdiction.

Supplemental Information Available Online at: N/A

Fiscal Impact:

Source of Funding: N/A

Current F/Y Cost: N/A

Budgeted in Current F/Y: N/A

Annual Recurring Cost: N/A

Supervisory District: District 4

Vote Requirement: Majority

Agreement/Resolution/Ordinance Approved by County Counsel: Yes

CEO Liaison: Kenneth Spain, Administrative Analyst II

CEO Review: Yes

CEO Comments:



FOR COB USE ONLY

Executed By: Nadia Tipton

Final Status: **Adopted**

Date: October 4, 2017

Executed Item No.: **Resolution** Number: 17-140

Note to Department:

Executed Documents Returned to

Department: Originals _____ Copies

_____ Hand Delivered _____ Interoffice

Mail _____ Executed Agreement Sent to

Auditor? Y/N